# **Technical Paper 55**

# Estimates of Poverty Including the Value of Noncash Benefits:1984

U. S. Department of Commerce Bureau of the Census

## **Acknowledgments**

This report was prepared in Population Division by the Income Statistics Branch, John Coder, Chief, and the Poverty and Wealth Statistics Branch, John M. McNeil, Chief. Dan Burkhead wrote the computer programs, and Patricia A. Wilkinson prepared the manuscript. Overall direction was provided by Gordon W. Green, Jr., Assistant Chief (Socioeconomic Statistics Programs). Sampling review was conducted by Robert C. Abramson of Statistical Methods Division. The report was planned and produced in Publications Services Division.

## **Technical Paper 55**

# Estimates of Poverty Including the Value of Noncash Benefits: 1984

Issued August 1985

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Malcolm Baldrige, Secretary
Clarence J. Brown, Deputy Secretary
Sidney Jones, Under Secretary for
Economic Affairs

Bureau of the Census John G. Keane, Director



#### **BUREAU OF THE CENSUS**

John G. Keane, Director C.L. Kincannon, Deputy Director William P. Butz, Associate Director for Demographic Fields

POPULATION DIVISION Roger A. Herriot, Chief

#### **SUGGESTED CITATION**

U.S. Bureau of the Census, Technical Paper 55, *Estimates of Poverty Including the Value of Noncash Benefits: 1984*, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1985.

For sale by Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

## **Contents**

l 4		Page
	oduction	
	lanation of valuation techniques	
	larket value	
	ecipient or cash equivalent value	
	overty budget share value	-
	stration of valuation techniques	
	ood stamps	
	ledicaid	
	inges in poverty, 1983-84	
	fficial poverty statistics	
	overty statistics after valuing noncash benefits	_
	eipt of noncash benefits and average noncash benefit values	_
	erty before and after cash and noncash benefits	
	itations of the study	
Rev	ised survey procedures	10
TE	XT TABLES	
Α.	Means-tested cash assistance, outlays on food stamps and medical care programs, and estimated market value of school lunch and housing subsidies: 1970, 1975, and	
В.	1979-84	
Б. С.	Number of persons in poverty, by valuation technique and type of noncash benefit	
D.	included: 1979-84  Percent of persons in poverty, by valuation technique and type of noncash benefit included: 1979-84	
E.	Percent of persons in poverty, by valuation technique and selected characteristics:	6
F.	1984 and 1983	
G.	mean market value of noncash benefits, by selected characteristics: 1984 and 1983 Poverty status of families and unrelated individuals before and after cash and noncash	8
Н.	transfers: 1984 and 1983	9
	revised weighting procedures	11
DET	TAILED TABLES	
1.	Number of persons below the poverty level and poverty rate—current poverty definition and alternative methods of valuing noncash benefits, by selected characteristics:	40
2.	1979 to 1984  Poverty status of persons and families, by the official poverty definition and alternative	12
3.	methods of valuing noncash benefits, by selected characteristics: 1984	18
4.	benefits received, by type of benefit and valuation method, by poverty status: 1984 Families and unrelated individuals below the poverty thresholds based on income, cash	42
	transfers, and noncash transfers, by selected characteristics: 1984	48

APF	PENDIXES	
A.	U.S. Senate Statement, "Data Collection and Poverty Level"	Page <b>51</b>
В.	Description of Noncash Valuation Techniques  Market value  Recipient or cash equivalent value  Poverty budget shares	53 56 64
C.	Source and Reliability of Estimates  Source of data	67 67 68 69
D.	Program Descriptions and Data Collection Food stamps School lunches Public or other subsidized housing Medicaid Medicare	75 75 76 76 76
E.	Definitions and Explanations	79
F.	Underreporting of Cash Income and Noncash Benefits	81
APP	PENDIX TABLES	
	Contributions per meal and annual market value subsidies for National School Lunch Program benefits, by cost status of lunch: 1979-84	53 54
	Mean annual subsidized rent for public or other subsidized housing units, by total household money income and size of family unit	55
B-5.	Mean annual market value of housing subsidies for public or other subsidized housing units, by total household money income and size of family unit	55 57
	risk class: 1979	58
B-8.	risk class: 1984	59
B-9.	risk class: 1979	60
B-10	risk class: 1984	61
B-11	Annual food expenditure-to-income ratios, by total household money income and size of family unit	62 63
B-12	Mean annual normal expenditures for rental units in nonsubsidized housing, by total household money income and size of family unit	63
B-13	Normal expenditure values for medical care, by age or disability status of the householder and size of household.	64
	Poverty budget shares for food, by year and size of family unit: 1979-84	65
B-16	unit	66

#### **APPENDIX TABLES - Continued**

		Page
C-1.	Standard errors of estimated numbers of households or persons: 1979-84	69
C-2.	Standard errors of estimated percentages of households or persons: 1979-84	69
C-3.	"a" and "b" parameters for computing approximate standard errors of estimated	
	numbers and percentages of households and persons: 1979-84	70
C-4.	Parameters for estimated numbers and percentages of persons, by poverty status, age,	
	sex, race, and Spanish origin: 1979-84	72
C-5.	Year-to-year correlation coefficients for poverty estimates of households and persons:	
	1979-84	72
<b>E</b> -1.	Weighted average poverty thresholds: 1979-84	79
E-2.	Annual average Consumer Price Index (CPI): 1947-84	80
F-1.	Comparisons of CPS aggregate money income in 1982 with independently derived	
	estimates, by income type	82

#### **SYMBOLS USED IN TABLES**

- Represents zero or rounds to zero.
- B Base less than 75,000.
- X Not applicable.
- r Revised

# Estimates of Poverty Including the Value of Noncash Benefits: 1984

Estimates in this report are based on a sample that includes households from both the 1970 census-based sample design and the new 1980 census-based design. Since this overlap in the sample design does not permit the development of estimates for metropolitan, nonmetropolitan, farm, and nonfarm categories that are comparable to either the 1980 or 1970 census definitions, figures for these residence categories have been omitted. The estimates in this report for 1983 and 1984 reflect the introduction of new survey weighting procedures for the Spanish-origin population. See the section on revised survey procedures for a detailed explanation of these changes.

#### INTRODUCTION

This report describes alternative procedures for valuing noncash benefits received by the low-income population and estimates the effect of these benefits on the size and composition of the poverty population in 1984. The report updates the estimates for 1979 to 1983 presented in Technical Paper 52 (issued in August 1984), using identical methods to value noncash benefits. Each of these methods is subject to many conceptual and measurement problems. In addition, there is as yet no consensus concerning the relative merits of various methods of valuing noncash benefits; therefore, all the work in this report should be viewed as exploratory in nature.

The Bureau of the Census has been the source of the official estimates of the poverty population since 1969 as specified in Directive No. 14 issued by the Office of Management and Budget. Estimates of the poverty population have been published annually since that time based on the cash or money income data collected in the March Current Population Survey (CPS). In March 1980, the Bureau began collecting data on participation in a selected group of noncash benefit programs. Questions covering participation in the National School Lunch Program, Food Stamp Program, public and other subsidized rental housing programs, Medicare, and Medicaid have been a permanent part of the March survey since that time. These serve as the basis for valuing benefits and estimating the effect on poverty for this study.

The Bureau's work in the area of noncash valuation research began in the fall of 1980, following concerns expressed by Congress as outlined in appendix A. At that time Dr. Timothy Smeeding came to the Census Bureau as a visiting scholar under the American Statistical Association Fellowship Program. Dr. Smeeding worked closely with the Census Bureau staff to investigate various procedures that might be used to value noncash benefits for 1979. This investigation resulted in the publication of Technical Paper 50, issued in March 1982, showing the effect of including the value of noncash benefits as income for

purposes of measuring the poverty population. The report examined three different valuation methods: the market value, the cash equivalent value, and the poverty budget share value. (The conceptual basis of these methods is described later.) The three valuation approaches were applied to three different combinations of food, housing, and medical care benefits, thus producing nine different estimates of poverty for 1979. It was found that the estimated number of persons in poverty was reduced between 12 and 42 percent in 1979, depending upon the choice of valuation technique and combination of benefits. The calculations that resulted in these estimates were based on the assumption that it is appropriate to include the value of noncash benefits as part of the income measure that is compared to the official poverty thresholds. For a discussion of this point and other issues, see the section on "Limitations of the Study."

This report is organized into several sections. Following the introduction are sections covering the growth of noncash benefits programs and a description of the three valuation concepts used in this analysis. Succeeding those are sections on changes in the number of persons in poverty between 1983 and 1984, changes in receipt and average values of noncash benefits, and estimates of poverty before and after inclusion of both cash and noncash benefits. This material is followed by a discussion of the study's limitations. Next are the detailed tables, providing data on noncash benefits and their effect on poverty for various demographic and socioeconomic subgroups of the population. Technical appendixes are included after the detailed tables. Appendix A is the U.S. Senate statement that initiated noncash benefit research at the Bureau. Appendix B provides the technical details about the methods used to value noncash benefits under each of the different approaches. Appendix C provides information on the source and reliability of the estimates. Appendix D gives a description of each of the noncash benefit programs. Appendix E is a glossary of standard statistical definitions and explanations. Appendix F discusses problems of underreporting of income in the March CPS.

#### **GROWTH OF NONCASH BENEFITS**

Federal expenditures intended to assist the low-income population are now concentrated in programs that provide in-kind or noncash benefits. The market value of these means-tested benefits surpassed that of means-tested cash assistance by 1970 and has continued to grow in importance. The growth of both cash and noncash benefit programs is illustrated in table A. In 1970, the market value of means-tested noncash benefits (in constant 1984 dollars) was about \$21.2 billion. About 71 percent of these benefits were in the form of medical assistance. The amount of cash assistance received by low-income persons in 1970 was \$19.1 billion. By 1979, the first year for which noncash benefit data were collected in the March CPS, noncash benefits to the low-income population stood at about \$47.2 billion, compared with \$33.1 billion for means-tested cash assistance programs.

The market value of means-tested noncash benefits increased to \$51.8 billion in 1981 and remained near that level through 1984. Means-tested cash benefits declined from \$33.1 billion in 1979 to \$28.8 billion in 1983; the 1984 figure was also \$28.8 billion. Medicaid is the largest means-tested noncash benefit program, accounting for about 65 percent of the total in 1984. The market value of Medicaid alone, \$33.3 billion, exceeded the \$28.8 billion in means-tested cash assistance.

The lower portion of table A shows the two nonmeans-tested benefits that were valued in this study. The market value of Medicare, \$60.9 billion in 1984, was the largest of any government noncash benefit program.

The other nonmeans-tested benefit, the subsidy received by those who pay the full price for school lunches, had a market value of \$629 million in 1984.

#### **EXPLANATION OF VALUATION TECHNIQUES**

The valuation of noncash benefits in this report is based on the three valuation methods presented in Technical Paper 50. Before examining each valuation technique in detail, it is useful to understand the major conceptual differences between them and their general relationship to one another. Market value is the estimated private market purchase price of the goods and services transferred to the recipient. Recipient or cash equivalent value is the estimated cash amount for which recipients would be willing to trade their right to the noncash benefit given their current incomes (including cash and the market value of any noncash benefits received). The poverty budget share value approach assigns a value to the benefit no greater than the estimated amount spent on a comparable item by unsubsidized persons at or near the poverty line. The value assigned by either of the latter two approaches cannot exceed the value assigned by the market value approach.

#### Market Value

The market value (MV) of an in-kind transfer is equal to the private market value of the benefits received by the individual. In the case of food stamps, the market value is directly

Table A. Means-Tested Cash Assistance, Outlays on Food Stamps and Medical Care Programs, and Estimated Market Value of School Lunch and Housing Subsidies: 1970, 1975, and 1979-84

(Figures i	n billions	of 1984	dollars)
------------	------------	---------	----------

Type of benefit	1970	1975	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
Means-tested cash								
assistance1	\$19,070	\$34,546	\$33,130	\$32,137	\$30,710	\$29,276	\$28,775	\$28,834
Noncash benefits, total	40,669	69,155	91,175	97,245	104,271	106,072	110.551	113,052
Means-tested, total	21,195	38,164	47,152	49,253	51,768	, ,	51,988	51,474
Food stamps	1,475	8,468	9,284	10,954	12,129	10,987	11,595	10,677
Free and reduced-price			7,7	,	,	10,307	,,,,,	
school lunches2	330	1,595	1,889	1.931	1,926	1.906	2,075	1,809
Public and subsidized		-,	-,00	-,,,,,,	1,720	1,500	2,073	1,000
housing <sup>3</sup>	4,389	4.370	5.987	5,679	5,256	5.398	5.448	5,692
Medicaid	15,001	23,732	29,991	30,689	32,457	32,195	32,871	33,296
Nonmeans-tested, total	19,474	30,990	43,969	47.993	52,503	55,586	58.562	61,578
Medicare	18,998	30,096	42,987	47,018	51.695	54.996	,	•
Regular-price school	20,770	30,030	72,307	77,010	21,093	24,990	57,947	60,949
lunches 2, 4	476	894	981	974	807	589	615	629

Includes Aid to Families with Dependent Children, general assistance, Supplemental Security Income, and means-tested Veteran's pensions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Excludes commodities.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ Estimates for 1979 through 1984 were derived directly from the noncash valuation techniques presented in this report.

<sup>4</sup>Includes state contributions.

measurable as the dollar value of food coupons. In other cases, MV is not so easily determined.

The market values of Medicaid and Medicare benefits were estimated by dividing total medical benefits paid by the programs by the number of noninstitutionalized persons covered. The calculations were carried out after persons were placed in various risk categories. For Medicare, the risk classes were (1) age 65 and over and (2) blind and disabled. For Medicaid, the risk classes were (1) age 65 and over, (2) blind and disabled, (3) age 21 to 64, nondisabled, and (4) age less than 21, nondisabled. The market value assigned varied by risk class, state of residence, and whether, in the calculation of mean expenditures per covered person, the value of benefits going to institutionalized persons was included with the value of benefits going to those not in institutions. In the calculation of mean expenditures per covered person, the denominator was the number of covered noninstitutionalized persons even when the numerator was based on expenditures for both noninstitutional and institutional persons. For example, including the value of benefits going to the institutionalized, the market value of Medicaid benefits in 1984 was estimated to be \$8,921 for a person 65 and over living in New York. If the benefits going to the institutionalized were not counted, the estimated market value dropped to \$2,789. For nondisabled persons under 21 living in New York, the estimated market value of Medicaid was \$610 when benefits going to the institutionalized were included and \$580 when they were not included.

In the case of public housing, the conceptual measure of MV was defined as the difference between the private market rental value of the unit and the rent paid by the tenants. Estimating MV for public housing is difficult because the private market rental value of public housing units is not available directly from surveys or other sources. Complex statistical procedures were used to link data from the Annual Housing Survey and the March CPS in order to arrive at estimates of MV for this benefit.

#### Recipient or Cash Equivalent Value

The receipt of noncash benefits may distort consumption patterns and, therefore, add less to a recipient's economic well-being than an equal dollar value cash transfer. If so, the benefits should be discounted from their market value to their recipient value to reflect this lower value. Recipient value (RV) theoretically reflects the program beneficiary's own valuation of the benefit. Theoretically, it would be measured by the amount of cash that would make the recipient feel just as well off as the noncash benefit. Many economists feel that cash equivalent value is the proper measure for valuing noncash benefits to evaluate their effect on the economic well-being of the poor, but not all economists are in full agreement on this issue.

In theory, the recipient or cash equivalent value can be estimated by assigning a utility function<sup>1</sup> to all recipients. The cash equivalent measure is the amount of cash transfer that

leaves the recipient at the same level of well-being or utility as the noncash transfers. Accurate estimates of cash equivalent value require knowledge of all recipients' differing utility functions and the prices they pay. Because utility functions cannot be observed and measured with a high degree of accuracy, and because of difficulties with current consumption data, a simplified measure of recipient value was developed as a substitute.

The cash equivalent value estimates in this study are based on household survey data that allow the calculation of normal (average) expenditures at different income levels. These estimates were derived by assuming that the cash equivalentvalue of a noncash benefit is equal to the normal expenditure on that good or service by unsubsidized consumers with similar characteristics (e.g., income size, location, and age). Calculating cash equivalent value in this manner implicitly assumes that there is no difference between the comparable family and the recipient family. However, if both units are eligible for a given benefit and only one actually participates in the program while the other (the comparison unit) does not, it may be incorrect to infer that the expenditures for the given good by the nonparticipant are equivalent to those of the participant if there was no program. This may result in selectivity bias, one of the limitations of the cash equivalent value approach.

If the recipient normally spends less than the MV of the noncash benefit on the subsidized good or service, the noncash benefit will cause a change in the expenditure pattern. This means that the noncash benefit is worth less to the individual than an equal amount of cash that would not lead to a change in spending habits. If the MV of the benefit exceeds the normal expenditure level, RV can be approximated by the level of normal expenditures. If normal expenditures exceed the MV of the benefit, RV is equal to MV. That is, because the noncash benefit recipient would normally spend at least as much as the MV on the good, it would not alter the normal expenditure pattern.

The estimates of RV's were based on data from several sources. The normal expenditures for food were computed using diary data from the 1980, 1981, and 1982 Consumer Expenditure Surveys. Those for public housing were based on the complex linkage of March CPS and Annual Housing Survey data for 1979 and 1981. The data used to compute the RV's for medical benefits are especially weak. They were derived from the 1972-1973 Consumer Expenditure Survey and required the inclusion of persons covered by Medicare and employer-provided health insurance. More details on these problems can be found in appendix B and Technical Paper 50.

#### **Poverty Budget Share Value**

The third valuation method examined in this study was poverty budget share (PBS). The PBS approach is a different type of valuation technique that links the value of noncash benefits directly to the current concept of poverty. PBS is not strictly a measure of the value of noncash benefits, but rather, it is a method for dealing with such benefits in the determination of a person's poverty status. The poverty thresholds can be thought

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A utility function is an economic construct that indicates consumer's relative preferences for various goods and services depending on how consumers substitute these goods and services for one another.

of as the amount of money which, if spent wisely, will be sufficient to meet the basic needs of a family or single person. The approach places a limit on the value of specific benefits that is equal to the amount spent on the specific good or service by unsubsidized families and single persons at the poverty level. For example, if a person participates in the Medicaid program, then PBS assumes that the value of those benefits cannot be more than the amount spent on medical care by people near the poverty level who were not receiving medical care benefits. This assumption presumes that recipients cannot use "extra" amounts of one noncash benefit to meet their basic needs for other types of goods and services. To assign values larger than PBS to a particular benefit requires the assumption that recipients can make such substitutions to a significant extent.

Derivation of PBS values were based on data from the Annual Housing Survey and the 1960-61 Consumer Expenditure Survey. Because the poverty levels were developed assuming one-third of income is spent on food, the PBS value limits on food were set at one-third of the poverty levels. The PBS value limits for housing were obtained from the Annual Housing Surveys for 1979 and 1981 by computing the average proportions of income spent on housing by families with incomes near the poverty level not residing in public housing. Values for medical benefits were estimated based on the 1960-61 Consumer Expenditure Survey. Poverty levels were multiplied by the proportions of income spent on medical care during the 1960-61 period to arrive at the PBS limits.

#### **ILLUSTRATION OF VALUATION TECHNIQUES**

Two of the three valuation techniques used in this study, recipient value and poverty budget shares, are relatively complex. To help provide a clearer picture of these concepts and the relationship between the three approaches, hypothetical examples have been included for food stamp and Medicaid benefits.

#### Food Stamps

The market value has been defined as the price of the good or service provided for by the noncash benefit. A four-person family with an annual cash income of \$6,000 in 1984 and receiving an annual face value of \$1,500 in food stamps would be assigned \$1,500 as a market value. This value was assigned because the food stamps purchase that amount of the good, in this case food. The total income of the family would then be \$7,500.

The recipient value assigned would, in most cases, be somewhat less than the market value because most recipients would prefer cash and would be willing to exchange the food stamps for an amount that is less than the face value of \$1,500. The normal expenditure approach used in this study assigned recipient values for food stamps that averaged about 96 percent of the market value. Hence, this hypothetical family would have been assigned a value of \$1,440 for the recipient value.

The third approach, poverty budget shares, requires the calculation of the amount that the family needs to meet its basic

food requirements. Because the official poverty definition assumes that one-third of total income is required for food, the food budget is calculated by multiplying the poverty threshold (\$10,609 for a four-person family) by one-third. In this case, the amount required for food is set at \$3,536. The value assigned by the poverty budget share approach is equal to the market value of the benefit if the market value is less than or equal to the calculated required budget amount. If the market value of the benefit exceeds the calculated required budget amount, then the latter amount is assigned as the value of the benefit. In this example, the poverty budget share approach assigns the market value of the food stamps (\$1,500).

#### Medicaid

An insurance value approach was used to assign the market value of Medicaid benefits. Under this concept total medical benefits paid were divided by the number of persons enrolled in the program. Beneficiaries were grouped into four categories: aged, blind or disabled, nondisabled persons age 21 to 64 years, and nondisabled persons under age 21. Insurance values for persons in these four groups were computed by state of residence and by whether total benefits were defined to include or exclude those going to persons in institutions. For example, a person 65 years old living in New York with money income of \$4,400 in 1984 would have been assigned additional income of \$8,921 if he or she were covered by Medicaid, if expenditures for institutional care were included in the calculation of average benefits. This amount is \$3,942 higher than the poverty level of \$4,979 for elderly unrelated individuals.

The normal expenditure approach to assigning recipient value for Medicaid would have used data from the 1972-73 Consumer Expenditure Survey to assign a value of approximately \$450 for the insurance value of Medicaid to this individual. Under this concept, the value of the benefit is limited to the amount spent for the good or service, on average, by unsubsidized persons at the same level of income.

The poverty budget shares for medical care were based on the 1960-61 Consumer Expenditure Survey. This survey showed that persons 65 years old or over, living alone, with money income near the poverty level, spent about 11.4 percent of their income on medical care. Based on this figure, the required budget for medical care in 1984 was \$568, 11.4 percent of the \$4,979 poverty level for this person in 1984. Because the market value of Medicaid exceeded the calculated required budget amount, the poverty budget share approach valued the benefits this person received from Medicaid coverage at \$568.

#### **CHANGES IN POVERTY, 1983-84**

#### **Official Poverty Statistics**

The number of persons below the poverty level declined by 1.8 million to 33.7 million in 1984, and the poverty rate fell from

15.3 to 14.4 percent<sup>2</sup> The poverty threshold for a family of four was \$10,609 in 1984, an increase of 4.2 percent over the previous year.

As shown in table B, the poverty rate declined for both White and Black persons between 1983 and 1984. The rate for Whites dropped from 12.2 to 11.5 percent, and the rate for Blacks fell from 35.7 to 33.8 percent. The number of Whites in poverty declined by 1.2 million and there was some evidence of a decrease in the number of low-income Blacks. No statistically significant changes were recorded in the number or percentage of Spanish-origin persons in poverty.

There was a decline in the poverty rate among persons under 18 years old (from 22.4 percent in 1983 to 21.5 percent in 1984). Among persons 65 years old and over, both the number in poverty and the poverty rate fell from 1983 to 1984. The number of elderly poor declined by 400,000 to 3.3 million and the rate decreased by 1.8-percentage points to 12.4 percent.

Between 1983 and 1984, the total number of poor families declined as did the number of poor married-couple families.

About 7.3 million families were below the poverty level in 1984 and 3.5 million of them were married-couple families; the comparable figures for 1983 were 7.7 and 3.8 million, respectively. There were 3.5 million poor families with a female householder, no husband present in 1984, not significantly different than in 1983. However, the poverty rate among these families decreased from 36.1 percent in 1983 to 34.5 percent in 1984. The poverty rate among unrelated individuals was 21.8 percent in 1984, compared with 23.5 percent in 1983. The decline in the poverty rate for unrelated individuals was significant for both males and females.

#### **Poverty Statistics After Valuing Noncash Benefits**

Comparisons of estimates of the poverty population before and after inclusion of the value of noncash benefits are summarized in tables C, D, and E. Because there are serious questions concerning some of the techniques used to value noncash benefits, the estimates shown in this report must be viewed as experimental. The tables show data for nine different combinations of the three valuation approaches and three groupings of benefits valued. These nine alternative estimates are identical to those

Table B. Poverty Status of Persons, by Selected Characteristics: 1979-84

(Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March of the following year)

Characteristic	1984	1983 <sup>r</sup>	1982	1981	1980	1979
NUMBER IN POVERTY						
All persons	33,700	35,515	34,398	31,822	29,272	26,072
White	22,955	24,189	23,517	21,553	19,699	17,214
Black	9,490	9,888	9,697	9,173	8,579	8,050
Spanish origin 1	4,806	4,641	4,301	3,713	3,491	2,921
Persons under 18 years	13,420	13,932	12,647	12,647	11,543	10,377
Persons 65 years and over	3,330	3,730	3,751	3,853	3,871	3,682
Persons in families, total	26,458	28,025	27,349	24,850	22,601	19,964
Persons in married-couple families	13,717	15,111	14,839	13,177	11,861	10,074
Persons in families maintained by			1	•		
women, no husband present	11,831	12,101	11,701	11,051	10,120	9,400
Unrelated individuals	6,609	6,861	6,458	6,490	6,227	5,743
Males	2,575	2,654	2,347	2,239	2,109	1,972
Females	4,035	4,206	4,110	4,251	4,118	3,771
PERCENT IN POVERTY						
All persons	14.4	15.3	15.0	14.0	13.0	11.7
White	11.5	12.2	12.0	11.1	10.2	9.0
Black	33.8	35.7	35.6	34.2	32.5	31.0
Spanish origin <sup>1</sup>	28.4	28.1	29.9	26.5	25.7	21.8
Persons under 18 years	21.5	22.4	20.3	20.0	18.3	16.4
Persons 65 years and over	12.4	14.2	14.6	15.3	15.7	15.2
Persons in families, total	13.1	13.9	13.6	12.5	11.5	10.2
Persons in married-couple families	8.3	9.1	8.9	8.0	7.2	6.1
Persons in families maintained by	1		Į			
women, no husband present	38.4	40.3	40.6	38.7	36.7	34.9
Unrelated individuals	21.8	23.5	23.1	23.4	22.9	21.9
Males	18.7	20.2	18.8	18.1	17.4	16.9
Females	24.4	26.3	26.6	27.7	27.4	26.0

r Revised.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This section, "Official Poverty Statistics," is a summary of the results presented in Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 149, Money Income and Poverty Status of Families and Persons in the United States: 1984 (Advance Data from the March 1985 Current Population Survey).

<sup>1</sup>Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table C. Number of Persons in Poverty, by Valuation Technique and Type of Noncash Benefit Included: 1979-84

Type of measure	1984	1983r	1982	1981	1980	1979
Official definition	33,700	35,515	34,398	31,822	29,272	26,072
Market value approach:	ı					
Including food and housing	30,103	32,123	30,688	27,932	25,042	21,698
for noninstitutionalized persons Including food, housing, and all	23,019	24,512	23,563	21,046	18,221	15,696
medical care	22,602	23,911	22,885	20,500	17,706	15,099
Recipient value approach:						
Including food and housing Including food, housing, and medical care	30,909	32,718	31,365	28,651	25,633	22,270
for noninstitutionalized persons Including food, housing, and all	28,917	30,720	29,407	26,784	23,895	20,478
medical care	28,623	30,332	29,058	26,500	23,512	20,152
Poverty budget share value approach:						
Including food and housing	30,455	32,458	31,111	28,317	25,602	22,409
Including food, housing, and medical care for noninstitutionalized persons Including food, housing, and all	28,296	30,137	28,720	26,175	23,299	20,186
medical care	28,296	30,137	28,713	26,175	23,299	20,184

shown in Technical Papers 50, 51, and 52. Three different groupings of food, housing, and medical benefits were chosen because of the overwhelming importance of medical benefits and concern over the proper treatment of medical expenditures for the institutionalized in the calculation of insurance values for Medicare and Medicaid. Detailed discussions of these issues are contained in Technical Paper 50. The three categories of benefits

used were 1) food and housing benefits only; 2) food, housing, and medical benefits including expenditures for institutional care; and 3) food, housing, and medical benefits excluding expenditures for institutional care.

The effect of the value of noncash benefits on estimates of poverty varies significantly for different subgroups of the population since certain subgroups tend to receive larger or smaller

Table D. Percent of Persons in Poverty, by Valuation Technique and Type of Noncash Benefit Included: 1979-84

Type of measure	1984	1983r	1982	1981	1980	1979
Official definition	14.4	15.3	15.0	14.0	13.0	11.7
Market value approach:						
Including food and housing only	12.9	13.9	13.4	12.3	11.1	9.7
Including food, housing, and medical care for noninstitutionalized persons	9.8	.10.6	10.3	9.3	8.1	7.0
Including food, housing, and all medical care	9.7	10.3	10.0	9.0	7.9	6.8
Recipient value approach: Including food and housing only	13.2	14.1	13.7	12.6	11.4	10.0
Including food, housing, and medical care for noninstitutionalized persons	12.4	13.3	12.8	11.8	10.6	9.2
Including food, housing, and all	12.57	13.3	12.0	'''	10.0	7.2
medical care	12.2	13.1	12.7	11.7	10.4	9.0
Poverty-budget-share value approach:		sa tena inau mantii	= == == :			
Including food and housing only	13.0	14.0	13.6	12.5	11.4	10.1
Including food, housing, and medical care for noninstitutionalized persons	12.1	13.0	12.5	11.5	10.4	9.1
Including food, housing, and all medical care	12.1	13.0	12.5	11.5	10.4	9.1

amounts than others. The poverty rate for persons 65 years or older is especially sensitive to the value of medical benefits since such a large proportion of this group is covered by Medicare.

When medical care benefits are included, the market value approach produces poverty estimates that are much lower than the official estimates or the estimates produced by the recipient value or poverty budget share approach. The official estimate of the number of persons in poverty in 1984 was 33.7 million; this compares with an estimate of 22.6 million under the market value approach that includes expenditures on institutionalized persons. The estimates produced by the recipiency value and poverty budget share approaches were higher than the market value approach and lower than the official estimate. Counting all medical care benefits, the 1984 poverty estimate was 28.6 million under the recipiency approach and 28.3 million under the poverty budget share approach.

All valuation approaches showed declines in the number of persons in poverty from 1983 to 1984. In general, the declines under the different valuation approaches were not statistically different than the decline of approximately 1.8 million in the official estimate, but there was some evidence that the decline under the market value approach that includes all medical care was smaller than the official decline. A similar pattern was observed for the poverty rate. The rate declined for each of the valuation techniques, and, in general, the changes were not statistically different than the official decline from 15.3 percent in 1983 to 14.4 percent in 1984. The exception was the rate under the market value approach that included all medical care benefits. The decline in that rate was less than the decline in the official rate.

Table E shows poverty rates for persons with selected characteristics under the official definition and the three valua-

Table E. Percent of Persons in Poverty, by Valuation Technique and Selected Characteristics: 1984 and 1983

		and med	ical benefits	all food, housing, fits (including e expenditures)		
Year and characteristic	Official poverty definition	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value		
1984				,		
All persons	14.4	9.7	12.2	12.1		
White	11.5	8.0	9.8	9.8		
Black	33.8	20.5	28.3	27.4		
Spanish origin 1	28.4	19.9	24.7	24.3		
Persons under 18 years	21.5	14.9	18.7	18.1		
Persons 65 years and over	12.4	2.6	7.3	7.6		
Persons in families, total	13.1	8.8	11.1	10.9		
Persons in married-couple families Persons in families maintained by women,	8.3	6.0	7.1	7.1		
no husband present	38.4	23.6	32.3	30.8		
Unrelated individuals	21.8	13.8	18.2	18.5		
Males	18.7	14.7	17.1	17.4		
Females	24.4	13.1	19.1	19.4		
1983 <sup>r</sup>						
All persons	15.3	10.3	13.1	13.0		
White	12.2	8.7	10.6	10.6		
Black	35.7	21.2	29.2	28.7		
Spanish origin 1	28.1	19.9	24.6	24.4		
Persons under 18 years	22.4	15.7	19.5	19.1		
Persons 65 years and over	14.2	3.3	8.8	9.1		
Persons in families, total	13.9	9.4	11.9	11.8 7.9		
Persons in married-couple families  Persons in families maintained by women,	9.1	6.6	7.9			
no husband present	40.3	24.8	33.9	32.7		
Unrelated individuals	23.5	15.1	19.9	20.1		
Males	20.2	15.7	18.6	18.9		
Females	26.3	14.6	20.9	21.1		

r Revised.

<sup>1</sup> Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

tion techniques described above including the value of all medical care benefits. It was noted earlier that there were declines in the official poverty rate from 1983 to 1984 among Whites and Blacks but not among persons of Spanish origin. The decline in the poverty rate among Whites was observed for each of the three valuation approaches, but the market value and recipiency value approaches did not show a significant decline among Blacks. For example, under the market value approach, the poverty rate declined from 8.7 percent to 8.0 percent among Whites, but the difference between the 1984 figure for Blacks (21.2 percent) and the 1983 figure (20.5 percent) was not statistically different. None of the approaches showed a significant change in the poverty rate of persons of Spanish origin.

The very strong effect of using the market value approach to assign a dollar value to the benefits of being covered by Medicare and/or Medicaid is shown by the figures in table E. In 1984, the poverty rate for all persons under the market value approach was 9.7 percent, one-third lower than the official estimate. Among persons 65 and over, however, the market value approach was only one-fifth of the official figure. The difference is dramatic but not surprising considering that the market value approach sometimes assigns dollar values to the benefit of being covered by medical care programs that are higher than the poverty thresholds that measure the income needed to meet all basic

requirements. By comparison, the 1984 poverty rates based on the recipiency value approach are about 15 percent lower than the official rate among persons of all ages and about 41 percent lower among persons 65 years old and over. The persistence of the differential is caused in large part by the fact that nearly all persons 65 and over are covered by Medicare.

# RECEIPT OF NONCASH BENEFITS AND AVERAGE NONCASH BENEFIT VALUES

In 1984, 81.9 percent of all families below the poverty level received at least one noncash benefit, as shown in table F. This proportion was higher than the 1983 figure of 80.3 percent. The proportion of unrelated individuals in poverty who received one or more noncash benefits showed no change from 1983 to 1984.

The average value of noncash benefits received in 1984 by families in poverty was \$3,637 under the market value approach, \$1,843 under the recipient value approach, and \$1,988 under the poverty budget share approach. The mean values under the three approaches were not statistically different than the comparable figures for 1983 (in constant dollars). The importance of choosing a technique to value medical care benefits is shown

Table F. Percent of Families and Unrelated Individuals in Poverty Receiving Noncash Benefits and Mean Market Value of Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984 and 1983

(Numbers in thousands. Dollar figures in 1984 dollars)

			Par	cent		includin		efits re housing, care)		
Characteristic	Number		Percent receiving one or more noncash benefits		Market value		Recipient value		Poverty budget share	
	1984	1983r	1984	1983r	1984	1983r	1984	1983r	1984	1983r
FAMILIES										
Total Two-person families,	7,277	7,681	81.9	80.3	\$3,637	\$3,655	\$1,843	\$1,834	\$1,988	\$1,955
householder under 65	1,785	1,871	66.8	64.3	2,894	2,908	1,394	1,367	1,584	1,529
Two-person families, householder 65 and over	498	638	99.0	96.6	4 266	4 500	1 264	1 202	0.17	007
Three-person families	1,716	1,654	82.6		4,266 3,207	4,502 3,179	1,264	1,293	917 1,875	927 1,890
Four-person families	1,716	1,564	82.6		3,589	3,356	1,894	1,768	2,174	2,011
Five-person families	890	977	89.1		3,471	3,495	2,042	2,021	2,107	2,091
Six-person families Seven-or-more-person	458	494	92.8		4,977	4,681	2,876	2,501	2,860	2,611
families	414	482	94.2	94.2	5,711	5,971	2,996	3,389	3,194	3,604
UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS										No. 2 mag 193
Total	6,609	6,861	55.3	55.2	3,064	2,875	958	842	771	726
Under age 65	4,486	4,581	35.2	34.6	2,464	2,357	790	743	575	550
65 and over	2,123	2,279	97.6	96.5	3,521	3,248	1,086	913	920	852

by the figures in table F. The mean value of benefits received by two-person families with a householder 65 years old and over was \$4,266 in 1984 using the market value approach, and \$917 using the poverty budget share approach.

#### POVERTY BEFORE AND AFTER CASH AND NON-CASH BENEFITS

The cumulative effect of cash assistance programs and noncash benefit programs on the poverty population is summarized in table G for families and unrelated individuals for 1983 and 1984. The detailed tables contain similar data for these two groups by selected characteristics.

If neither cash assistance nor the value of noncash benefits is considered, 11.6 million families would have been classified as poor in 1984, resulting in a poverty rate of 18.5 percent. Both of these figures declined from 1983 to 1984. Adding in income received from Social Security, by far the largest cash transfer program, reduced the estimated number of poor families in 1984 to 7.8 million. Adding in income from means-tested cash transfers lowered this figure to 7.3 million, and yielded a poverty rate of 11.6 percent. Because the official poverty estimate is based on cash income, the figure of 11.6 percent is the official poverty rate among families.

The impact of counting noncash benefits, including the value of medical care with institutional expenditures, depended on the

valuation method used. The market value approach lowered the 1984 estimate of poor families to 4.8 million. The recipient value approach and the poverty budget share approach produced estimates of 6.1 million and 6.0 million, respectively.

The lower portion of table G shows data for unrelated individuals. Before transfers, 10.9 million unrelated individuals would have been classified as poor in 1984, not different from the figure of one year earlier. Adding in Social Security reduced the number in poverty to 7.0 million and adding in means-tested cash transfers yielded the official estimate of 6.6 million unrelated individuals in poverty. Adding in the dollar value of noncash benefits would reduce the number of unrelated individuals in poverty in 1984 to 4.2 million if the market value approach were used. Use of the recipient value approach resulted in an estimate of 5.5 million and the poverty budget share approach produced an estimate of 5.6 million.

#### LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

There are many conceptual and empirical problems associated with the procedures used to value noncash benefits for purposes of measuring the poverty population. Limitations of the valuation techniques themselves are discussed separately in the sections describing each procedure. While Technical Paper 50 discussed many of these limitations in detail, some of the problems are outlined below.

Table G. Poverty Status of Families and Unrelated Individuals Before and After Cash and Noncash Transfers: 1984 and 1983

(Numbers in thousands. Cash transfers include Social Security and Railroad Retirement, SSI, AFDC, and other cash assistance.)

	Num	ber in pov	erty	Per	cent in po	verty
Recipiency	1984	1983r	Differ- ence	1984	1983r	Differ- ence
FAMILIES					:	
Before transfers	11,625	12,122	-497	18.5	19.5	-1.0
After Social Security and Railroad Retirement	7,775	8,174	-399	12.4	13.2	-0.8
After all cash transfers 1	7,277	7,681	-404	11.6	12.4	-0.8
Market value	4,819	5,105	-286	7.7	8.2	-0.5
Recipient value	6,114	6,497	-383	9.8	10.5	-0.7
Poverty budget share	5,987	6,420	-433	9.5	10.4	-0.9
UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS						
Before transfers	10,914	10,864	+50	36.1	37.3	-1.2
After Social Security and Railroad Retirement	6,971	7,151	-180	23.0	24.5	-1.5
After all cash transfers 1	6,609	6,861	-252	21.8	23.5	-1.7
After all cash and noncash transfers <sup>2</sup> :						
Market value	4,180	4,403	-223	13.8	15.1	-1.3
Recipient value	5,508	5,797	-289	18.2	19.9	-1.7
Poverty budget share	5,596	5,872	-276	18.5	20.1	-1.6

Income concept used in the official poverty definition.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ Value of noncash benefits includes all medical care benefits, including those for institutionalized persons.

A major empirical problem that was encountered in this research effort was the lack of a more comprehensive data base from which to launch the study. First, the March CPS does not collect information on all government sources of noncash benefits. Data for some public noncash programs such as free or reduced-price school breakfasts, the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children, several smaller programs for child nutrition and low-income energy assistance, were not included. Data covering all noncash benefits received by the low-income population have not been collected because of constraints on interview time and questionnaire size. Second, no data were collected on in-kind assistance provided by the private sector. Third, the questions on the March CPS were not specifically designed for purposes of noncash valuation. The lack of detailed information covering participation in the National School Lunch Program (data are not collected on cost status or days of participation) probably prevented a more accurate distribution of these benefits. Estimating the value of subsidies for public or other low-rent housing was also difficult. Data from the Annual Housing Survey were used to assign market rents and subsidy values for units in public or other subsidized rental housing. No program or administrative statistics were available for making these estimates. Because interviews are conducted in March following the end of the calendar year, it was not possible to determine the extent to which household members had lived in subsidized housing during the calendar year. The simplifying assumption was that persons living in subsidized housing in March had lived in such housing for the entire preceding calendar year.

A second empirical problem is the underreporting of cash income and noncash benefits. This is a common problem encountered in household surveys that attempt to collect such data. The effect of underreporting is downward biased estimates of income and program participation and overestimation of the extent of poverty. The magnitude of this problem is unknown. While income underreporting is a serious problem in household surveys such as the March CPS, its effect on measures of year-

to-year change in levels of income and poverty is much less important because year-to-year variations in underreporting are relatively small. Estimates of underreporting are contained in appendix F.

There is a conceptual issue concerning the measurement of poverty that is germane to this report. The official poverty definition is based essentially on the cost of a 1961 economy food plan and a "multiplier" which was intended to account for amounts needed to cover the basic costs of housing, medical care, clothing, transportation, and other items. The value of the multiplier was set at three on the basis of a 1955 survey which showed that families spent one-third of their after-tax income on food. The poverty thresholds are updated annually to account for price changes. The value of the multiplier, then, depended only on the total value of money income after taxes and on the proportion spent on food. The introduction of noncash benefits into the income measure raises the question of whether it is appropriate to compare this augmented income measure against poverty thresholds which were developed on the basis of the proportion of cash income spent on food and did not take into account noncash resources. It should be noted, however, that Federal Government in-kind transfers were much less important at the time the poverty definition was developed than they are now.

#### REVISED SURVEY PROCEDURES

The note at the beginning of this report mentions revisions to survey procedures and estimation techniques introduced in the March 1985 CPS. The first was the change to a sample design based on the 1980 census. The second was the implementation of new survey weighting procedures for the Spanish population.

During the period from April 1984 through June 1985, the Bureau of the Census was systematically introducing a new sample design for the Current Population Survey. The purposes of

this new sample design are to update the sampling frame to the 1980-census base, to improve survey efficiency, and to improve the quality of the survey estimates. By March 1985, approximately 60 percent of the sample was based on the new 1980-based sampling frame. Most geographic areas selected for the new sampling frame, about 80 percent, were also included in the 1970-based design.

While the effect of the new sample design is small on estimates of income and poverty, its introduction has temporarily prevented the development of estimates for metropolitan, nonmetropolitan, farm, and nonfarm residence categories. Since the mixed 1970- and 1980-based sampling frame cannot provide figures that are comparable to either the 1970 or 1980 census definitions for these geographic areas, they have been omitted from this report. Publication of data by residence categories will resume when estimates can be derived using the full sample from the 1980-based survey design.

The estimates in this report for 1984 and 1983 are also based on revised survey weighting procedures for persons of Spanish origin. In previous years the estimation procedures used in this survey involved the inflation of weighted sample results to independent estimates of the noninstitutional population by age, sex, and race. There was, therefore, no specific control of the survey estimates for the Spanish population. During the last several years, the Bureau of the Census has developed independent population controls for the Spanish population by sex and detailed age groups and revised weighting procedures to incorporate these new controls. It should be noted that the independent population estimates include some, but not all, illegal immigrants.

The data in table H illustrate the effect of introducing the new weighting methods by contrasting 1983 estimates based on the "original" and revised procedures. Overall, the revised procedures increased the Spanish population estimate from the survey by approximately 1.6 million. Since the original weighting procedures yielded underestimates of the number of Spanish and since Spanish have lower incomes, on average, than the total

Table H. Number of Persons and Persons Below the Poverty Level in 1983 Based on Original and Revised Weighting Procedures

(Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March 1984)

Race or Spanish origin	Revised	Original	Difference, revised minus original
ALL PERSONS			
Total White	231,700	231,612	88
	197,496	197,671	-175
	27,678	27,668	10
	16,544	14,938	1,606
Total White	35,515	35,266	249
	24,189	23,974	215
	9,888	9,885	3
	4,641	4,249	392
Total White Black Spanish origin l	15.3	15.2	0.1
	12.2	12.1	0.1
	35.7	35.7	-
	28.1	28.4	-0.3

<sup>-</sup> Represents zero.

population the net effects of the revised weighting were slightly higher estimates of the poverty population overall for 1983. The estimates of the number of persons of Spanish origin below the poverty level increased by 392,000 following the revised procedures, however, their poverty rate declined slightly from 28.4 percent to 28.1 percent.

<sup>1</sup>Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 1. Number of Persons Below The Poverty Level and Poverty Rate--Current Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1979 to 1984

	Number below the poverty level									
Year and characteristic			aluing food and sing benefits only	,	Valuin all	g food, housing, a medical benefits	and	medica	g food, housing, a al benefits, exclud utional expenditur	ing
	Current poverty definition	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value
ALL PERSONS										
1984	33 700 35 515 34 398 31 822 29 272 26 072	30 103 32 123 30 688 27 932 25 042 21 698	30 909 32 718 31 365 28 651 25 633 22 270	30 455 32 458 31 111 28 317 25 602 22 409	22 602 23 911 22 885 20 500 17 706 15 099	28 623 30 332 29 058 26 500 23 512 20 152	28 296 30 137 28 713 26 175 23 299 20 184	23 019 24 512 23 563 21 046 18 221 15 696	28 917 30 720 29 407 26 784 23 895 20 478	28 296 30 137 28 720 26 175 23 299 20 186
RACE AND SPANISH ORIGIN										
White										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1981 1990	22 955 24 189 23 517 21 553 19 699 17 214	20 881 22 299 21 280 19 219 17 381 14 897	21 279 22 569 21 665 19 632 17 727 15 135	21 066 22 480 21 507 19 440 17 689 15 253	15 956 17 096 16 272 14 482 12 728 10 645	19 568 20 962 20 102 18 092 16 257 13 701	19 521 20 929 19 937 17 936 16 151 13 748	16 136 17 464 16 653 14 767 12 997 10 965	19 755 21 193 20 363 18 286 16 503 13 888	19 521 20 929 19 938 17 936 16 151 13 748
Black ·						٠			İ	
1984 1983 1982 1982 1981 1990	9 490 9 888 9 697 9 173 8 579 8 050	8 084 8 479 8 347 7 764 6 767 6 088	8 464 8 786 8 633 8 060 7 006 6 407	8 225 8 626 8 533 7 925 7 004 6 425	5 747 5 863 5 839 5 278 4 291 3 867	7 956 8 094 7 982 7 498 6 404 5 747	7 696 7 939 7 811 7 327 6 289 5 741	5 976 6 091 6 126 5 536 4 525 4 126	8 060 8 246 8 068 7 579 6 529 5 884	7 696 7 939 7 817 7 327 6 289 5 743
Spanish Origin <sup>1</sup>		-						'	* .	
1984	4 806 4 641 4 301 3 713 3 491 2 921	4 315 4 228 3 806 3 201 2 923 2 328	4 394 4 292 3 917 3 307 3 014 2 398	4 350 4 273 3 867 3 270 2 990 2 416	3 371 3 285 2 949 2 355 2 069 1 606	4 172 4 074 3 755 3 118 2 785 2 214	4 113 4 042 3 673 3 032 2 733 2 185	3 413 3 343 3 029 2 401 2 111 1 668	4 197 4 104 3 780 3 137 2 829 2 234	4 113 4 042 3 673 3 032 2 733 2 185
AGE										•
Under 6 Years	•	,	·							•.
1984	5 115 5 256 4 977 4 555 4 107 3 521	4 627 4 791 4 472 3 964 3 502 2 870	4 734 4 904 4 597 4 113 - 3 602 2 973	4 676 4 846 4 535 4 034 3 607 2 983	3 741 3 842 3 587 3 113 2 670 2 192	4 583 4 729 4 423 3 935 3 468 2 803	4 453 4 606 4 297 3 818 3 376 2 744	3 778 3 913 3 649 3 160 2 722 2 253	4 591 4 746 4 431 3 949 3 482 2 815	4 453 4 606 4 297 3 818 3 376 2 744
6 to 17 Years										
1984	8 305 8 676 8 670 7 950 7 436 6 856	7 193 7 693 7 514 6 732 6 032 5 298	7 404 7 826 7 663 6 930 6 239 5 550	7 261 7 748 7 623 6 814 6 179 5 564	5 592 5 923 5 811 5 193 4 334 3 824	7 069 7 433   7 275   6 645   5 900   5 205	6 877 7 305 7 121 6 462 5 726 5 125	5 701 6 050 5 982 5 314 4 452 3 934	7 099 7 470 7 320 6 661 5 940 5 251	6 877 7 305 7 123 6 462 5 726 5 125
18 to 24 Years							- 120	0 004	5 251	3 123
1984 1983:	4 616 4 931 4 546 4 329 3 818 3 366	4 317 4 570 4 182 3 932 3 429 2 883	4 384 4 627 4 259 4 015 3 482 2 925	4 348 4 601 4 224 3 978 3 484 2 947	3 689 3 860 3 557 3 359 2 868 2 381	4 228 4 451 4 122 3 876 3 370 2 800	4 179 4 427 4 053 3 842 3 337 2 793	3 717 3 924 3 613 3 407 2 902 2 433	4 236 4 479 4 143 3 884 3 386 2 816	4 179 4 427 4 054 3 842 3 337 2 794
25 to 44 Years							- /	_ ,55	- 5.0	2 704
1984	7 938 8 422 8 031 7 010 6 242 4 949	7 140 7 669 7 178 6 170 5 319 4 106	7 318 7 791 7 344 6 304 5 456 4 227	7 219 7 733 7 272 6 249 5 438 4 253	5 855 6 345 6 011 5 156 4 311 3 271	6 969 7 488 7 033 6 057 5 224 4 000	6 856 7 390 6 897 5 958 5 137 3 993	5 924 6 431 6 124 5 236 4 365 3 348	7 013 7 528 7 069 6 075 5 256 4 023	6 856 7 390 6 899 5 958 5 137 3 993

Table 1. Number of Persons Below The Poverty Level and Poverty Rate--Current Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1979 to 1984—Con.

				<u>-</u>	Pover	ty rate				
Year and characteristic		Va hou	aluing food and sing benefits on	ly	Valuir al	ng food, housing I medical benefit	, and is	medic	ng food, housing cal benefits, excl itutional expendi	uding
	Current poverty definition	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value
ALL PERSONS										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	14.4 15.3 15.0 14.0 13.0 11.7	12.9 13.9 13.4 12.3 11.1 9.7	13.2 14.1 13.7 12.6 11.4 10.0	13.0 14.0 13.6 12.5 11.4 10.1	9.7 10.3 10.0 9.0 7.9 6.8	12.2 13.1 12.7 11.7 10.4 9.0	12.1 13.0 12.5 11.5 10.4 9.1	9.8 10.6 10.3 9.3 8.1 7.0	12.4 13.3 12.8 11.8 10.6 9.2	12.1 13.0 12.5 11.5 10.4 9.1
RACE AND SPANISH ORIGIN										
White										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	11.5 12.2 12.0 11.1 10.2 9.0	10.5 11.3 10.9 9.9 9.0 7.8	10.7 11.4 11.1 10.1 9.2 7.9	10.6 11.4 11.0 10.0 9.2 8.0	8.0 8.7 8.3 7.4 6.6 5.6	9.8 10.6 10.3 9.3 8.4 7.1	9.8 10.6 10.2 9.2 8.4 7.2	8.1 8.8 8.5 7.6 6.7 5.7	9.9 10.7 10.4 9.4 8.6 7.2	9.8 10.6 10.2 9.2 8.4 7.2
Black										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1981 1980	33.8 35.7 35.6 34.2 32.5 31.0	28.8 30.6 30.7 28.9 25.6 23.5	30.1 31.7 31.7 30.0 26.5 24.7	29.3 31.2 31.4 29.5 26.5 24.8	20.5 21.2 21.5 19.7 16.2 14.9	28.3 29.2 29.3 27.9 24.2 22.2	27.4 28.7 28.7 27.3 23.8 22.1	21.3 22.0 22.5 20.6 17.1 15.9	28.7 29.8 29.6 28.2 24.7 22.7	27.4 28.7 28.7 27.3 23.8 22.1
Spanish Origin¹										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	28.4 28.1 29.9 26.5 25.7 21.8	25.5 25.6 26.5 22.8 21.5 17.4	26.0 25.9 27.2 23.6 22.2 17.9	25.7 25.8 26.9 23.3 22.0 18.1	19.9 19.9 20.5 16.8 15.2 12.0	24.7 24.6 26.1 22.2 20.5 16.6	24.3 24.4 25.5 21.6 20.1 16.3	20.2 20.2 21.1 17.1 15.5 12.5	24.8 24.8 26.3 22.4 20.8 16.7	24.3 24.4 25.5 21.6 20.1 16.3
AGE										
Under 6 Years										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980	24.0 25.0 23.8 22.4 20.7 18.2	21.7 22.8 21.4 19.5 17.6 14.8	22.2 23.3 22.0 20.3 18.1 15.4	21.9 23.0 21.7 19.9 18.2 15.4	17.5 18.3 17.2 15.3 13.4 11.3	21.5 22.5 21.2 19.4 17.5 14.5	20.9 21.9 20.6 18.8 17.0 14.2	17.7 18.6 17.5 15.6 13.7 11.6	21.5 22.6 21.2 19.4 17.5 14.5	20.9 21.9 20.6 18.8 17.0 14.2
6 to 17 Years										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980	20.2 21.0 20.9 18.9 17.3 15.6	17.5 18.6 18.1 16.0 14.0 12.0	18.0 18.9 18.5 16.4 14.5 12.6	17.7 18.8 18.4 16.2 14.3 12.6	13.6 14.3 14.0 12.3 10.1 8.7	17.2 18.0 17.6 15.8 13.7 11.8	16.7 17.7 17.2 15.3 13.3 11.6	13.9 14.6 14.4 12.6 10.3 8.9	17.3 18.1 17.7 15.8 13.8 11.9	16.7 17.7 17.2 15.3 13.3 11.6
18 to 24 Years		•								
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	16.6 17.3 15.7 14.8 13.1	15.5 16.1 14.4 13.5 11.7 9.9	15.7 16.3 14.7 13.8 11.9 10.0	15.6 16.2 14.5 13.6 11.9 10.1	13.2 13.6 12.2 11.5 9.8 8.2	15.2 15.6 14.2 13.3 11.5 9.6	15.0 15.6 14.4 13.2 11.4 9.6	13.4 13.8 12.4 11.7 9.9 8.4	15.2 15.7 14.3 13.3 11.6 9.7	15.0 15.6 14.0 13.2 11.4 9.6
25 to 44 Years										
1984	11.0 12.0 11.8 10.6 9.8 8.0	9.9 10.9 10.5 9.3 8.3 6.6	10.1 11.1 10.8 9.5 8.5 6.8	10.0 11.0 10.7 9.5 8.5 6.9	8.1 9.0 8.8 7.8 6.7 5.3	9.7 10.7 10.3 9.2 8.2 6.4	9.5 10.5 10.1 9.0 8.0 6.4	8.2 9.2 9.0 7.9 6.8 5.4	9.7 10.7 10.4 9.2 8.2 6.5	9.5 10.5 10.1 9.0 8.0 6.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 1. Number of Persons Below The Poverty Level and Poverty Rate--Current Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1979 to 1984—Con.

-					Poverty rate						
Year and characteristic		V hou	aluing food and sing benefits only	,	Valuing all	food, housing, as medical benefits	nd	Valuing medica institu	food, housing, a li benefits, exclud tional expenditure	and ling es	
	Current poverty definition	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	
AGE-CONTINUED											
45 to 64 Years											
1984 1983 1982 1982 1981 1980	9.9 10.1 10.0 9.3 8.6 8.4	9.0 9.3 9.2 8.6 7.7 7.5	9.3 9.6 9.4 8.7 7.8 7.6	9.3 9.6 9.4 8.8 8.0 7.7	6.8 6.9 6.8 6.2 5.6 5.4	8.6 8.8 8.6 8.1 7.1 6.9	8.7 9.0 8.8 8.2 7.5 7.1	7.0 7.3 7.1 6.5 5.9 5.7	8.7 9.0 8.8 8.2 7.3 7.0	8.7 9.0 8.8 8.2 7.5 7.1	
65 Years and Over										•••	
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	12.4 14.2 14.6 15.3 15.7 15.2	10.5 12.4 12.8 13.3 13.6 13.4	10.8 12.6 13.1 13.6 13.8 13.4	10.5 12.4 12.8 13.3 13.6 13.4	2.6 3.3 3.5 3.7 4.2 4.3	7.3 8.8 9.3 9.6 9.7 9.5	7.6 9.1 9.6 9.8 9.8 9.8	3.0 3.7 4.1 4.2 4.7 5.0	7.9 9.5 10.0 10.3 10.5 10.2	7.6 9.1 9.6 9.8 9.8 9.8	
FAMILY STATUS											
In Families, Total <sup>2</sup>											
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	13.1 13.9 13.6 12.5 11.5 10.2	11.6 12.5 12.0 10.8 9.6 8.2	11.9 12.7 12.3 11.1 9.9 8.5	11.7 12.6 12.2 11.0 9.8 8.5	8.8 9.4 9.1 8.1 6.9 5.7	11.1 11.9 11.5 10.3 9.2 7.7	10.9 11.8 11.3 10.2 9.0 7.7	9.0 9.7 9.4 8.3 7.1 6.0	11.2 12.0 11.6 10.4 9.3 7.8	10.9 11.8 11.3 10.2 9.0	
In Married-Couple Families											
1984 1983 1982 1981 1981 1979	8.3 9.1 8.9 8.0 7.2 6.1	7.6 8.4 8.0 7.1 6.2 5.3	7.6 8.4 8.1 7.2 6.3 5.3	7.6 8.4 8.1 7.2 6.3 5.3	6.0 6.6 6.4 5.6 4.8 3.9	7.1 7.9 7.5 6.7 5.8 4.8	7.1 7.9 7.5 6.7 5.8 4.9	6.1 6.8 6.5 5.7 4.8 4.0	7.2 7.9 7.6 6.7 5.9 4.9	7.1 7.9 7.5 6.7 5.8 4.9	
In Families With A Female Householder, No Husband Present											
1984	38.4 40.3 40.6 38.7 36.7 34.9	32.8 34.9 34.9 32.2 29.7 26.0	34.4 36.2 36.2 34.0 31.1 27.6	33.3 35.4 35.7 33.0 30.7 27.7	23.6 24.8 24.8 22.5 19.3 16.6	32.3 33.9 33.9 31.7 28.9 25.2	30.8 32.7 32.7 30.5 27.7 24.5	24.3 25.3 25.8 23.5 20.1 17.6	32.5 34.2 34.2 31.9 29.2 25.5	30.8 32.7 32.7 30.5 27.7 24.5	
All Unrelated Individuals											
984 983 982 981 989 979 979	21.8 23.5 23.1 23.4 22.9 21.9	19.8 21.7 21.4 21.6 20.9 20.2	20.5 22.3 21.9 22.1 21.2 20.3	20.3 22.2 21.8 22.0 21.4 20.6	13.8 15.1 14.7 14.4 14.0	18.2 19.9 19.6 19.9 18.7 18.1	18.5 20.1 19.7 19.9 19.1 18.5	14.2 15.5 15.2 14.9 14.5 14.1	18.7 20.5 20.1 20.3 19.2 18.5	18.5 20.1 19.7 19.9 19.1 18.5	
Male Unrelated Individuals							١٠٠٠	13.7	10.3	10.3	
1984 983 982 981 981 980	18.7 20.2 18.8 18.1 17.4 16.9	17.9 19.4 17.9 17.4 16.6 16.1	18.2 19.6 18.2 17.6 16.7 16.2	18.2 19.7 18.3 17.7 16.9 16.4	14.7 15.7 14.9 14.1 13.1 12.9	17.1 18.6 17.2 16.8 15.5 15.1	17.4 18.9 17.5 16.8 15.8	14.9 16.0 15.3 14.4 13.4 13.2	17.3 18.9 17.4 16.9 15.8 15.3	17.4 18.9 17.5 16.8 15.8 15.3	
Female Unrelated Individuals								.5.2		10.0	
984 983 982 981 980	24.4 26.3 26.6 27.7 27.4 26.0	21.5 23.7 24.2 24.9 24.4 23.5	22.4 24.4 24.9 25.6 24.7	22.0 24.2 24.7 25.4 25.0	13.1 14.6 14.5 14.6	19.1 20.9 21.5 22.5 21.2 20.6	19.4 21.1 21.6 22.4 21.7	13.5 15.0 15.0 15.2 15.5	19.8 21.8 22.2 23.0 21.9	19.4 21.1 21.6 22.4 21.7	

<sup>2</sup>Includes families with a male householder, no wife present, not shown separately.

Table 1. Number of Persons Below The Poverty Level and Poverty Rate--Current Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1979 to 1984—Con.

			<del></del>	<u> </u>	lumber below t	he poverty level	<u> </u>			
Year and characteristic			aluing food and sing benefits only	y	Valuin all	ng food, housing, a medical benefits	and	medica	g food, housing, a al benefits, exclud utional expenditu	ding
	Current poverty definition	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value
AGECONTINUED					·					
45 to 64 Years	Ì									
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	4 397 4 500 4 423 4 125 3 799 3 697	4 020 4 144 4 048 3 787 3 405 3 304	4 162 4 254 4 133 3 859 3 460 3 353	4 142 4 269 4 151 3 883 3 530 3 415	3 026 3 066 3 006 2 755 2 489 2 399	3 814 3 918 3 807 3 560 3 146 3 039	3 898 4 015 3 874 3 629 3 296 3 150	3 098 3 223 3 153 2 870 2 611 2 527	3 863 3 999 3 877 3 623 3 232 3 097	3 898 4 015 3 876 3 629 3 296 3 150
65 Years and Over						-				
1984 1983 1982 1981 1981 1980	3 330 3 730 3 751 3 853 3 871 3 682	2 806 3 257 3 294 3 347 3 355 3 237	2 907 3 317 3 368 3 430 3 395 3 242	2 808 3 261 3 306 3 360 3 364 3 248	700 875 912 924 1 034 1 033	1 960 2 312 2 399 2 427 2 405 2 304	2 034 2 396 2 471 2 466 2 427 2 378	801 973 1 043 1 059 1 169 1 200	2 114 2 498 2 566 2 591 2 600 2 476	2 034 2 396 2 471 2 466 2 427 2 379
FAMILY STATUS										
In Families, Total <sup>2</sup>										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980	26 458 28 025 27 349 24 850 22 601 19 964	23 483 25 173 24 144 21 491 18 968 16 070	24 092 25 614 24 665 22 074 19 477 16 604	23 694 25 378 24 438 21 764 19 379 16 668	17 873 18 982 18 273 16 085 13 553 11 258	22 508 23 936 23 019 20 533 18 038 15 056	22 090 23 666 22 631 20 216 17 723 15 006	18 179 19 467 18 809 16 500 13 914 11 696	22 659 24 139 23 219 20 717 18 281 15 274	22 090 23 666 22 638 20 216 17 723 15 008
In Married-Couple Families										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	13 717 15 111 14 839 13 177 11 861 10 074	12 529 13 923 13 342 11 722 10 264 8 644	12 643 13 983 13 478 11 807 10 377 8 743	12 599 13 973 13 412 11 781 10 381 8 772	9 939 10 962 10 572 9 253 7 826 6 471	11 739 13 053 12 547 10 961 9 578 7 895	11 809 13 134 12 534 10 985 9 597 8 002	10 032 11 230 10 762 9 372 7 946 6 613	11 831 13 167 12 647 11 085 9 745 8 010	11 809 13 134 12 534 10 985 9 597 8 002
In Families With A Female Householder, No Husband Present										,
1984	11 831 12 101 11 701 11 051 10 120 9 400	10 117 10 496 10 064 9 214 8 183 6 988	10 602 10 885 10 437 9 710 8 572 7 425	10 257 10 647 10 284 9 428 8 470 7 458	7 291 7 445 7 137 6 437 5 316 4 473	9 968 10 188 9 788 9 071 7 965 6 772	9 500 9 824 9 417 8 710 7 645 6 607	7 500 7 615 7 438 6 716 5 535 4 741	10 022 10 275 9 870 9 122 8 039 6 861	9 500 9 824 9 423 8 710 7 645 6 608
All Unrelated Individuals						1				
1984 1983 1982 1981 1981 1979	6 609 6 861 6 458 6 490 6 227 5 743	6 001 6 339 5 958 5 981 5 669 5 280	6 197 6 493 6 115 6 116 5 741 5 314	6 141 6 470 6 088 6 089 5 802 5 389	4 180 4 403 4 094 3 989 3 793 3 537	5 508 5 797 5 462 5 519 5 064 4 745	5 596 5 872 5 506 5 511 5 170 4 830	4 284 4 510 4 228 4 119 3 946 3 696	5 647 5 976 5 603 5 618 5 202 4 853	5 596 5 872 5 506 5 511 5 170 4 830
Male Unrelated Individuals										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	2 575 2 654 2 347 2 239 2 109 1 972	2 455 2 547 2 231 2 150 2 010 1 875	2 496 2 580 2 269 2 181 2 025 1 885	2 501 2 590 2 282 2 184 2 050 1 910	2 019 2 065 1 863 1 749 1 584 1 505	2 355 2 446 2 146 2 071 1 883 1 762	2 389 2 488 2 182 2 071 1 911 1 788	2 047 2 105 1 908 1 779 1 623 1 542	2 382 2 481 2 174 2 086 1 914 1 779	2 389 2 488 2 182 2 071 1 911 1 788
Female Unrelated Individuals										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	4 035 4 206 4 110 4 251 4 118 3 771	3 546 3 792 3 728 3 831 3 659 3 405	3 702 3 914 3 847 3 935 3 716 3 429	3 640 3 879 3 805 3 905 3 751 3 479	2 161 2 338 2 231 2 2.0 2 209 2 031	3 153 3 351 3 316 3 448 3 182 2 983	3 206 3 384 3 324 3 440 3 258 3 042	2 238 2 405 2 320 2 340 2 323 2 154	3 265 3 495 3 429 3 532 3 288 3 074	3 206 3 384 3 324 3 440 3 258 3 042

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Includes families with a male householder, no wife present, not shown separately.

Table 1. Number of Persons Below The Poverty Level and Poverty Rate--Current Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1979 to 1984—Con.

				Nu	umber below th	e poverty level		.,		
Year and characteristic		Ve hou	aluing food and sing benefits only	,	Valuing all	food, housing, a medical benefits	and	medica	food, housing, a l benefits, excludi tional expenditure	ng
	Current poverty definition	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value
REGION	į									
Northeast										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	6 531 6 643 6 364 5 815 5 369 5 058	5 587 5 936 5 451 5 049 4 456 3 932	5 832 6 056 5 631 5 212 4 613 4 095	5 716 6 024 5 590 5 154 4 567 4 127	3 772 3 753 3 579 3 377 2 609 2 299	5 340 5 444 5 102 4 850 4 135 3 640	5 171 5 420 4 971 4 718 4 032 3 607	3 819 3 930 3 685 3 442 2 683 2 443	5 391 5 584 5 228 4 887 4 226 3 684	5 171 5 420 4 971 4 718 4 032 3 607
Midwest							ŀ		. •	
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	8 303 8 559 7 772 7 142 6 592 5 639	7 490 7 771 7 113 6 277 5 698 4 753	7 670 7 923 7 278 6 477 5 893 4 901	7 568 7 836 7 202 6 371 5 883 4 891	5 397 5 727 5 189 4 518 4 009 3 238	7 144 7 409 6 720 5 999 5 451 4 388	7 074 7 314 6 610 5 879 5 324 4 343	5 510 5 812 5 343 4 632 4 114 3 329	7 212 7 472 6 792 6 050 5 533 4 455	7 074 7 314 6 616 5 879 5 324 4 343
South										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	12 792 13 575 13 967 13 256 12 353 11 098	11 454 12 218 12 507 11 675 10 498 9 248	11 754 12 435 12 705 11 893 10 693 9 467	11 550 12 330 12 611 11 813 10 684 9 558	8 962 9 553 9 588 8 906 7 783 6 772	10 867 11 540 11 841 10 956 9 859 8 620	10 833 11 525 11 854 10 985 9 882 8 753	9 186 9 852 9 967 9 247 8 058 7 073	11 010 11 705 11 961 11 123 10 037 8 814	10 833 11 525 11 854 10 985 9 882 8 754
West										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	6 074 6 738 6 296 5 609 4 958 4 276	5 572 6 197 5 617 4 931 4 391 3 765	5 654 6 303 5 752 5 069 4 434 3 808	5 620 6 267 5 707 4 980 4 467 3 833	4 472 4 878 4 528 3 699 3 305 2 789	5 271 5 939 5 395 4 696 4 066 3 504	5 218 5 878 5 279 4 594 4 062 3 482	4 504 4 917 4 569 3 725 3 366 2 851	5 303 5 959 5 426 4 724 4 100 3 524	5 218 5 878 5 280 4 594 4 062 3 482
METROPOLITAN- NONMETROPOLITAN RESIDENCE										·
Inside Metropolitan Areas, Total										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980	(NA) 21 963 21 247 19 347 18 021 16 134	(NA) 19 835 18 763 16 776 15 287 13 196	(NA) 20 256 19 275 17 346 15 763 13 636	(NA) 20 081 19 117 17 072 15 718 13 711	(NA) 14 420 13 809 12 056 10 604 9 178	(NA) 18 752 17 863 16 034 14 460 12 445	(NA) 18 576 17 547 15 761 14 287 12 379	(NA) 14 749 14 187 12 338 10 892 9 513	(NA) 18 973 18 062 16 163 14 668 12 573	(NA) 18 576 17 553 15 761 14 287 12 379
Inside Central Cities			·							
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980	(NA) 13 038 12 696 11 231 10 644 9 720	(NA) 11 604 11 073 9 593 8 795 7 609	(NA) 11 925 11 447 9 981 9 167 7 924	(NA) 11 772 11 309 9 805 9 122 7 975	(NA) 8 126 7 770 6 625 5 818 4 999	(NA) 11 031 10 640 9 273 8 390 7 159	(NA) 10 883 10 406 9 064 8 249 7 110	(NA) 8 275 8 026 6 834 6 005 5 223	(NA) 11 168 10 744 9 343 8 542 7 251	(NA) 10 883 10 413 9 064 8 249 7 110
Outside Central Cities								-		
1984	(NA) 8 924 8 551 8 116 7 377 6 415	(NA) 8 231 7 691 7 183 6 492 5 587	(NA) 8 332 7 828 7 365 6 596 5 712	(NA) 8 309 7 808 7 267 6 596 5 736	(NA) 6 294 6 039 5 430 4 786 4 179	(NA) 7 721 7 223 6 762 6 070 5 285	(NA) 7 693 7 141 6 696 6 037 5 269	(NA) 6 474 6 161 5 505 4 887 4 290	(NA) 7 805 7 318 6 820 6 125 5 322	(NA) 7 693 7 141 6 696 6 037 5 269
Outside Metropolitan Areas										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	(NA) 13 553 13 152 12 475 11 251 9 937	(NA) 12 287 11 925 11 156 9 755 8 502	(NA) 12 461 12 091 11 305 9 870 8 634	(NA) 12 377 11 994 11 245 9 884 8 698	(NA) 9 491 9 076 8 444 7 101 5 921	(NA) 11 580 11 195 10 466 9 052 7 707	(NA) 11 561 11 166 10 414 9 013 7 805	(NA) 9 763 9 376 8 708 7 329 6 182	(NA) 11 747 11 345 10 621 9 228 7 904	(NA) 11 561 11 167 10 414 9 013 7 807

Note: The metropolitan population is based on standard metropolitan statistical areas as defined in the 1970 census and does not include any subsequent additions or changes.

Table 1. Number of Persons Below The Poverty Level and Poverty Rate--Current Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1979 to 1984—Con.

			_		Poverty	rate				
Year and characteristic		Va hous	luing food and ing benefits only	,	Valuinç all	food, housing, medical benefits	and	medica	food, housing, a benefits, exclud tional expenditure	ing
Total diffe characteristic	Current poverty definition	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value	Market value	Recipient value	Poverty budget share value
REGION										
Northeast										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980	13.2 13.5 13.0 11.9 11.1 10.4	11.3 12.1 11.1 10.3 9.2 8.1	11.8 12.3 11.5 10.6 9.5 8.4	11.6 12.3 11.4 10.5 9.4 8.5	7.6 7.6 7.3 6.9 5.4 4.7	10.8 11.1 10.4 9.9 8.5 7.5	10.5 11.0 10.1 9.6 8.3 7.4	7.7 8.0 7.5 7.0 5.5 5.0	10.9 11.4 10.7 10.0 8.7 7.6	10.5 11.0 10.1 9.6 8.3 7.4
Midwest		•			′					4
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	14.1 14.7 13.3 12.3 11.4 9.7	12.7 13.3 12.2 10.8 9.8 8.2	13.1 13.6 12.5 11.1 10.2 8.5	12.9 13.4 12.4 10.9 10.1 8.4	9.2 9.8 8.9 7.8 6.9 5.6	12.2 12.7 11.5 10.3 9.4 7.6	12.0 12.5 11.4 10.1 9.2 7.5	9.4 10.0 9.2 8.0 7.1 5.7	12.3 12.8 11.7 10.4 9.5 7.7	12.0 12.5 11.4 10.1 9.2 7.5
South										
1984	16.2 17.3 18.1 17.4 16.5 15.0	14.5 15.5 16.2 15.4 14.0 12.5	14.8 15.8 16.4 15.6 14.3 12.8	14.6 15.7 16.3 15.5 14.2 12.9	11.3 12.2 12.4 11.7 10.4 9.2	13.7 14.7 15.3 14.4 13.1 11.7	13.7 14.7 15.3 14.4 13.2 11.8	11.6 12.5 12.9 12.2 10.7 9.6	13.9 14.9 15.5 14.6 13.4 11.9	13.7 14.7 15.3 14.4 13.2 11.8
West						*		]		
1984	13.1 14.8 14.1 12.7 11.4 10.1	12.0 13.6 12.5 11.2 10.1 8.9	12.2 13.8 12.9 11.5 10.2 9.0	12.1 13.7 12.8 11.3 10.3 9.0	9.6 10.7 10.1 8.4 7.6 6.6	11.3 13.0 12.1 10.7 9.4 8.3	11.2 12.9 11.8 10.4 9.3 8.2	9.7 10.8 10.2 8.5 7.7 6.7	11.4 13.1 12.1 10.7 9.4 8.3	11.2 12.9 11.8 10.4 9.3 8.2
METROPOLITAN- NONMETROPOLITAN RESIDENCE							·			
Inside Metropolitan Areas, Total										
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980 1979	(NA) 13.9 13.7 12.6 11.9 10.7	(NA) 12.6 12.1 10.9 10.1 8.7	(NA) 12.8 12.4 11.3 10.4 9.0	(NA) 12.7 12.3 11.1 10.3 9.1	(NA) 9.1 8.9 7.8 7.0 6.1	(NA) 11.9 11.5 10.4 9.5 8.2	(NA) 11.8 11.3 10.3 9.4 8.2	(NA) 9.3 9.1 8.0 7.2 6.3	(NA) 12.0 11.6 10.5 9.7 8.3	(NA) 11.8 11.3 10.3 9.4 8.2
Inside Central Citles				}					i i	
1984 1983 1982 1981 1980	(NA) 20.0 19.9 18.0 17.2 15.7	(NA) 17.8 17.4 15.4 14.2 12.3	(NA) 18.3 18.0 16.0 14.8	(NA) 18.0 17.8 15.7 14.7	(NA) 12.4 12.2 10.6 9.4 8.1	(NA) 16.9 16.7 14.9 13.5 11.6	(NA) 16.7 16.3 14.5 13.3	(NA) 12.7 12.6 11.0 9.7 8.4	(NA) 17.1 16.9 15.0 13.8 11.7	(NA) 16.7 16.4 14.5 13.3 11.5
Outside Central Cities		1								
1984 1983' 1982 1981 1981 1980	(NA) 9.6 9.3 8.9 8.2 7.2	(NA) 8.9 8.4 7.9 7.2 6.3	(NA) 9.0 8.5 8.1 7.3 6.4	(NA) 9.0 8.5 8.0 7.3 6.4	(NA) 6.8 6.6 5.9 5.3 4.7	(NA) 8.3 7.9 7.4 6.7 5.9	(NA) 8.3 7.8 7.3 6.7 5.9	(NA) 7.0 6.7 6.0 5.4 4.8	(NA) 8.4 8.0 7.5 6.8 6.0	(NA) 8.3 7.8 7.3 6.7 5.9
Outside Metropolitan Areas										
1984 1983' 1982 1981 1980	(NA) 18.4 17.8 17.0 15.4 13.8	(NA) 16.6 16.2 15.2 13.4 11.8	(NA) 16.9 16.4 15.4 13.5 12.0	(NA) 16.8 16.3 15.3 13.5 12.1	(NA) 12.9 12.3 1 , .5 9.7 8.2	(NA) 15.7 15.2 14.2 12.4 10.7	(NA) 15.7 15.1 14.2 12.3 10.9	(NA) 13.2 12.7 11.8 10.0 8.6	(NA) 15.9 15.4 14.4 12.6 11.0	(NA) 15.7 15.1 14.2 12.3 10.9

Note: The metropolitan population is based on standard metropolitan statistical areas as defined in the 1970 census and does not include any subsequent additions or changes.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

	L			Belov					
Characteristic		Current por definitio	verty n	Market va concep		Recipient va concept		Poverty bud share cond	
·	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY									
All Races					}		ļ		
Persons									
Total	233 816	33 700	14.4	30 103	12.9	30 909	13.2	30 455	13.0
ge							10.2	00 400	13.0
nder 6 yearsto 17 years 8 to 24 years 5 to 44 years 5 to 64 years 5 years and over	21 340 41 107 27 845 72 157 44 549 26 818	5 115 8 305 4 616 7 938 4 397 3 330	24.0 20.2 16.6 11.0 9.9 12.4	4 627 7 193 4 317 7 140 4 020 2 806	21.7 17.5 15.5 9.9 9.0 10.5	4 734 7 404 4 384 7 318 4 162 2 907	22.2 18.0 15.7 10.1 9.3 10.8	4 676 7 261 4 348 7 219 4 142 2 808	21.9 17.7 15.6 10.0 9.3 10.5
amily Status	ŀ								
n families Married-couple families Related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	202 288 165 272 47 429	26 458 13 717 5 758	13.1 8.3 12.1	23 483 12 529 5 185	11.6 7.6 10.9	24 092 12 643 5 226	11.9 7.6 11.0	23 694 12 599 5 230	11.7 7.6 11.0
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years unrelated subfamilies rrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	30 844 12 536 6 172 1 716 1 260 30 268 13 739 1 931 16 529 6 832	11 831 6 772 910 399 634 6 609 2 575 401 4 035 1 722	38.4 54.0 14.7 23.3 50.3 21.8 18.7 20.8 24.4 25.2	10 117 5 798 837 356 619 6 001 2 455 342 3 546 1 363	32.8 46.2 13.6 20.8 49.1 19.8 17.9 17.7 21.5 20.0	10 602 6 069 846 361 620 6 197 2 496 352 3 702 1 460	34.4 48.4 13.7 21.0 49.2 20.5 18.2 18.2 22.4 21.4	10 257 5 868 837 356 620 6 141 2 501 342 3 640 1 365	33.3 46.8 13.6 20.8 49.2 20.3 18.2 17.7 22.0
esidence					24.0	1 400	51.7	1 303	20.0
nside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)
egion		Į.	-						
ortheast	49 374 58 749 79 195 46 498	6 531 8 303 12 792 6 074	13.2 14.1 16.2 13.1	5 587 7 490 11 454 5 572	11.3 12.7 14.5 12.0	5 832 7 670 11 754 5 654	11.8 13.1 14.8 12.2	5 716 7 568 11 550 5 620	11.6 12.9 14.6 12.1
amilies									
Total	62 706	7 277	11.6	6 452	10.3	6 662	10.6	6 513	10.4
ge of Householder									
nder 25 years	3 127 29 430 20 344 9 806	919 3 886 1 760 713	29.4 13.2 8.6 7.3	839 3 376 1 596 641	26.8 11.5 7.8 6.5	869 3 510 1 647 636	27.8 11.9 8.1 6.5	846 3 417 1 609 641	27.1 11.6 7.9 6.5
ize of Family			ļ	İ			İ		
persons. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons or more	25 349 14 804 13 259 5 894 2 175 1 225	2 283 1 716 1 516 890 458 414	9.0 11.6 11.4 15.1 21.0 33.8	2 045 1 480 1 359 806 380 382	8.1 10.0 10.2 13.7 17.5 31.2	2 138 1 540 1 403 813 384 382	8.4 10.4 10.6 13.8 17.7 31.2	2 064 1 507 1 362 807 387 386	8.1 10.2 10.3 13.7 17.8 31.5
pe of Family									
arried-couple families	50 350 25 038	3 488 2 344	6.9 9.4	3 210 2 139	6.4 8.5	3 243 2 158	6.4 8.6	3 221 2 149	6.4 8.6
resent With related children under 18 years lale householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	10 129 6 832 2 228 1 072	3 498 3 124 292 194	34.5 45.7 13.1 18.1	2 970 2 648 272 173	29.3 38.8 12.2 16.2	3 143 2 802 276 178	31.0 41.0 12.4 16.6	3 020 2 688 272 173	29.8 39.3 12.2 16.2
ork Experience of Householder									
Total civilian householders	61 994 47 786 37 693 35 788 10 093 14 208	7 258 3 574 1 577 1 242 1 997 3 684	11.7 7.5 4.2 3.5 19.8 25.9	6 436 3 166 1 402 1 110 1 765 3 270	10.4 6.6 3.7 3.1 17.5	6 646 3 239 1 421 1 125 1 819 3 407	10.7 6.8 3.8 3.1 18.0 24.0	6 498 3 188 1 409 1 115 1 779 3 310	10.5 6.7 3.7 3.1 17.6 23.3

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

-Con.

	-		Belo	w 125 percent of t	the poverty level	*		
Characteristic	Current pove definition	erty	Market valu concept	ie	Recipient va concept	lue	Poverty bu share con-	dget
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY								
All Races								
Persons			ł		ŀ			
Total	45 288	19.4	43 098	18.4	43 503	18.6	43 410	18.6
Age								
Under 6 years	6 456 10 472 6 077 10 562 6 037 5 684	30.3 25.5 21.8 14.6 13.6 21.2	6 217 9 992 5 876 10 099 5 800 5 114	29.1 24.3 21.1 14.0 13.0 19.1	6 253 10 020 5 907 10 163 5 858 5 301	29.3 24.4 21.2 14.1 13.1 19.8	6 230 10 011 5 895 10 130 5 864 5 280	29.2 24.4 21.2 14.0 13.2 19.7
Family Status								
In families Married-couple families Related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	35 499 20 302 8 205	17.5 12.3 17.3	33 876 19 396 7 803	16.7 11.7 16.5	34 036 19 474 7 839	16.8 11.8 16.5	33 942 19 415 7 813	16.8 11.7 16.5
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females	13 982 7 675 1 215 499 726 9 063 3 406 662 5 658	45.3 61.2 19.7 29.1 57.6 29.9 24.8 34.3 34.2	13 321 7 374 1 158 486 723 8 499 3 296 602 5 203	43.2 58.8 18.8 28.3 57.4 28.1 24.0 31.2 31.5	13 407 7 406 1 156 482 723 8 743 3 341 632 5 402	43.5 59.1 18.7 28.1 57.4 28.9 24.3 32.7 32.7	13 369 7 396 1 158 486 723 8 745 3 335 616 5 410	43.3 59.0 18.8 28.3 57.4 28.9 24.3 31.9 32.7
65 years and over	2 847	41.7	2 463	36.1	2 624	38.4	2 612	38.2
Inside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)
Region				j				
Northeast North Central South West	8 613 10 912 17 441 8 322	17.4 18.6 22.0 17.9	8 002 10 491 16 572 8 033	16.2 17.9 20.9 17.3	8 155 10 571 16 694 8 082	16.5 18.0 21.1 17.4	8 108 10 565 16 667 8 070	16.4 18.0 21.0 17.4
Families								
Total	9 901	15.8	9 441	15.1	9 510	15.2	9 460	15.1
Age of Householder					i			
Under 25 years	1 190 5 041 2 440 1 230	38.0 17.1 12.0 12.5	1 141 4 803 2 334 1 163	36.5 16.3 11.5 11.9	1 157 4 845 2 351 1 157	37.0 16.5 11.6 11.8	1 142 4 813 2 342 1 163	36.5 16.4 11.5 11.9
Size of Family	1				1			
2 persons	3 290 2 247 2 080 1 177 585 522	13.0 15.2 15.7 20.0 26.9 42.6	3 125 2 138 1 984 1 130 567 498	12.3 14.4 15.0 19.2 26.0 40.6	3 164 2 170 1 986 1 132 559 499	12.5 14.7 15.0 19.2 25.7 40.7	3 128 2 148 1 986 1 131 567 500	12.3 14.5 15.0 19.2 26.1 40.8
Type of Family								
Married-couple families	5 298 3 426	10.5 13.7	5 083 3 266	10.1 13.0	5 102 3 283	10.1 13.1	5 086 3 269	, 10.1 13.1
present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	4 208 3 635 396 251	41.5 53.2 17.8 23.4	3 980 3 455 378 243	39.3 50.6 17.0 22.6	4 031 3 497 378 242	39.8 51.2 17.0 22.6	3 996 3 466 378 243	39.5 50.7 17.0 22.6
Work Experience of Householder					l			
Total civilian householders  Worked  Worked 50 to 52 weeks  Full time  Worked 1 to 49 weeks  Did not work last year	9 831 5 237 2 571 2 129 2 665 4 594	15.9 11.0 6.8 5.9 26.4 32.3	9 377 4 955 2 411 1 989 2 544 4 422	15.1 10.4 6.4 5.6 25.2 31.1	9 445 5 012 2 444 2 014 2 568 4 433	15.2 10.5 6.5 5.6 25.4 31.2	9 395 4 963 2 416 1 994 2 546 4 433	15.2 10.4 6.4 5.6 25.2 31.2

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984 -Con.

				Belov	w 100 percent of	the poverty level			
Characteristic		Current pov definition	rerty	Market va concep		Recipient vi		Poverty by share cor	
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY-CON.									
White									
Persons		ł	ľ			ļ			
Total	198 941	22 955	11.5	20 881	10.5	21 279	10.7	21 066	10.6
Age						2. 2.0	10.7	2, 000	10.0
Under 6 years	17 364 33 450 23 222 61 667 39 033 24 206	3 268 5 204 3 140 5 555 3 210 2 579	18.8 15.6 13.5 9.0 8.2 10.7	3 000 4 608 3 007 5 112 2 962 2 193	17.3 13.8 12.9 8.3 7.6 9.1	3 039 4 678 3 035 5 193 3 050 2 284	17.5 14.0 13.1 8.4 7.8 9.4	3 022 4 637 3 017 5 151 3 044 2 195	17.4 13.9 13.0 8.4 7.8 9.1
Family Status									
In families  Married-couple families  Related children under 18 years  Female householder, no husband	171 839 147 327 41 558	17 299 10 920 4 508	10.1 7.4 10.8	15 694 10 066 4 099	9.1 6.8 9.9	15 945 10 155 4 125	9.3 6.9 9.9	15 784 10 116 4 131	9.2 6.9 9.9
present .  Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present . Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males .  65 years and over .  65 years and over .	19 727 7 352 4 784 1 281 1 008 26 094 11 529 1 648 14 565 6 238	5 866 3 377 514 201 475 5 181 1 920 285 3 262 1 402	29.7 45.9 10.7 15.7 47.1 19.9 16.7 17.3 22.4 22.5	5 149 2 954 479 177 461 4 726 1 842 246 2 884 1 117	26.1 40.2 10.0 13.9 45.8 18.1 16.0 14.9 19.8 17.9	5 311 3 037 479 177 461 4 873 1 874 256 3 000 1 195	26.9 41.3 10.0 13.9 45.8 18.7 16.3 15.5 20.6 19.2	5 188 2 974 479 177 461 4 821 1 870 246 2 952 1 118	26.3 40.4 10.0 13.9 45.8 16.2 14.9 20.3 17.9
Residence								1	
Inside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)
Region									
Northeast North Central South West	43 248 52 349 63 107 40 237	4 646 6 004 7 573 4 732	10.7 11.5 12.0 11.8	4 042 5 475 6 968 4 397	9.3 10.5 11.0 10.9	4 204 5 561 7 086 4 428	9.7 10.6 11.2 11.0	4 121 5 527 7 007 4 412	9.5 10.6 11.1 11.0
Families									
Total	54 400	4 925	9.1	4 483	8.2	4 572	8.4	4 505	8.3
Age of Householder									
Under 25 years 25 to 44 years 45 to 64 years 65 years and over	2 577 25 129 17 835 8 859	607 2 591 1 212 515	23.6 10.3 6.8 5.8	566 2 330 1 112 475	22.0 9.3 6.2 5.4	579 2 376 1 138 479	22.5 9.5 6.4 5.4	569 2 343 1 118 475	22.1 9.3 6.3 5.4
Size of Family								İ	
2 persons	22 711 12 743 11 517 4 894 1 704 831	1 655 1 152 1 026 595 277 220	7.3 9.0 8.9 12.2 16.3 26.5	1 524 1 037 944 549 230 199	6.7 8.1 8.2 11.2 13.5 23.9	1 573 1 054 960 552 234 199	6.9 8.3 8.3 11.3 13.7 23.9	1 529 1 045 946 550 233 203	6.7 8.2 8.2 11.2 13.7 24.4
Type of Family		:							
Married-couple families	45 643 22 181	2 858 1 879	6.3 8.5	2 657 1 732	5.8	2 685 1 744	5.9	2 665 1 740	5.8 7.8
With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	6 941 4 337 1 816 862	1 878 1 682 189 117	27.1 38.8 10.4 13.6	1 647 1 468 179 108	23.7 33.9 9.9 12.5	1 708 1 520 179 108	24.6 35.0 9.9 12.5	1 661 1 479 179 108	23.9 34.1 9.9 12.5
Work Experience of Householder	-								,•
Total civilian householders	53 829 42 102 33 497 31 906 8 606 11 727	4 914 2 615 1 171 958 1 445 2 299	9.1 6.2 3.5 3.0 16.8 19.6	4 476 2 401 1 085 897 1 317 2 074	8.3 5.7 3.2 2.8 · 15.3 17.7	4 564 2 422 1 088 900 1 334 2 142	8.5 5.8 3.2 2.8 15.5	4 497 2 411 1 090 902 1 321 2 087	8.4 5.7 3.3 2.8 15.4 17.8

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

-Con.

<u> </u>		<del></del>	-	w 125 percent of the	<u> </u>			
Characteristic	Current pover definition	ty	Market valu concept	ie	Recipient val concept	ne e	Poverty bude share conce	
-	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY-CON.								
White								
Persons			ļ					
Total	32 059	16.1	30 715	15.4	30 999	15.6	30 954	15.6
Age		Ì						
Under 6 years	4 340 6 780 4 284 7 575 4 490 4 590	25.0 20.3 18.4 12.3 11.5	4 209 6 513 4 187 7 317 4 351 4 137	24.2 19.5 18.0 11.9 11.1 17.1	4 231 6 521 4 198 7 347 4 387 4 315	24.4 19.5 18.1 11.9 11.2 17.8	4 217 6 527 4 198 7 338 4 394 4 279	24.3 19.5 18.1 11.3 17.7
Family Status				ļ				
In families	24 230 16 396 6 530	14.1 11.1 15.7	23 335 15 775 6 256	13.6 10.7 15.1	23 405 15 830 6 283	13.6 10.7 15.1	23 373 15 791 6 264	13.6 10.7 15.1
remaie Householder, no hissand present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	7 118 3 892 715 268 552 7 278 2 566 507 4 712 2 414	36.1 52.9 14.9 20.9 54.7 27.9 22.3 30.8 32.4 38.7	6 874 3 779 686 260 549 6 830 2 489 459 4 342 2 089	34.8 51.4 14.3 20.3 54.5 26.2 21.6 27.8 29.8 33.5	6 891 3 785 683 256 549 7 046 2 526 484 4 519 2 243	34.9 51.5 14.3 20.0 54.5 27.0 21.9 29.4 31.0 36.0	6 896 3 792 686 260 549 7 031 2 519 469 4 512 2 221	35.0 51.6 14.3 20.3 54.5 26.9 21.6 31.0 35.6
Residence								
Inside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B (B (B
Region								
Northeast North Central South West	6 292 8 178 10 965 6 624	14.5 15.6 17.4 16.5	5 871 7 887 10 529 6 427	13.6 15.1 16.7 16.0	5 967 7 978 10 587 6 467	13.8 15.2 16.8 16.1	5 959 7 952 10 590 6 453	13.8 15.2 16.6 16.0
Families					,			
Total	6 981	12.8	6 724	12.4	6 752	12.4	6 734	12.4
Age of Householder			į	İ				•
Under 25 years	838 3 493 1 729 921	32.5 13.9 9.7 10.4	812 3 359 1 668 886	31.5 13.4 9.4 10.0	819 3 374 1 676 883	31.8 13.4 9.4 10.0	812 3 365 1 671 886	31.9 13.4 9.4 10.0
Size of Family				1				
2 persons	2 458 1 560 1 480 823 375 285	10.8 12.2 12.9 16.8 22.0 34.2	2 374 1 501 1 417 797 362 273	10.5 11.8 12.3 16.3 21.3 32.8	2 387 1 515 1 417 800 357 275	10.5 11.9 12.3 16.4 20.9 33.1	2 375 1 506 1 419 798 362 275	10. 11. 12. 16. 21. 33.
Type of Family							Ì	
Married-couple families	4 401 2 784 2 318 1 985	9.6 12.6 33.4 45.8	4 244 2 670 2 228 1 914	9.3 12.0 32.1 44.1	4 255 2 681 2 245 1 928	9.3 12.1 32.3 44.5 13.9	4 246 2 672 2 236 1 921 252	9. 12. 32. 44. 13.
Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	263 158	14.5 18.3	252 153	13.9 17.7	252 152	17.6	153	17.
Work Experience of Householder				40.4	6 706	10.5	6 697	10
Total civilian householders	6 932 3 939 1 938 1 647 2 001 2 993	12.9 9.4 5.8 5.2 23.3 25.5	6 678 3 780 1 855 1 572 1 925 2 898	12.4 9.0 5.5 4.9 22.4 24.7	6 706 3 804 1 866 1 580 1 938 2 902	12.5 9.0 5.6 5.0 22.5 24.7	6 687 3 783 1 856 1 573 1 927 2 904	12. 9. 5. 4. 22. 24.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

	<u> </u>			Belo	w 100 percent of	the poverty level	of the poverty level		
Characteristic		Current po definition	verty n	Market va concep		Recipient v		Poverty bu share con	idget cept
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY-CON.									
Black									
Persons			}		1				
Total	28 087	9 490	33.8	8 084	28.8	8 464	30.1	8 225	29.3
Age								0 223	20.0
Under 6 years	3 220 6 260 3 787 8 177 4 405 2 238	1 645 2 768 1 286 2 025 1 056 710	51.1 44.2 34.0 24.8 24.0 31.7	1 444 2 297 1 131 1 698 934 580	44.9 36.7 29.9 20.8 21.2 25.9	1 503 2 429 1 169 1 788 985 589	46.7 38.8 30.9 21.9 22.4 26.3	1 464 2 325 1 151 1 731 973 580	45.5 37.3 30.4 21.2 22.
Family Status									
In families Married-couple families Related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	24 387 12 865 4 113	8 104 2 122 923	33.2 16.5 22.4	6 848 1 863 801	28.1 14.5 19.5	7 180 1 869 803	29.4 14.5 19.5	6 944 1 863 801	28.5 14.5 19.5
present. Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present. Related children under 18 years n unrelated subfamilies Jurelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	10 384 4 884 1 137 359 199 3 501 1 827 251 1 674	5 666 3 234 315 162 132 1 255 568 109 687 308	54.6 66.2 27.7 45.2 66.2 35.8 31.1 43.4 41.0 56.6	4 708 2 705 277 142 130 1 106 526 89 580 238	45.3 55.4 24.3 39.7 65.5 31.6 28.8 35.6 34.6	5 025 2 890 286 147 132 1 153 536 89 617	48.4 59.2 25.1 41.0 66.2 32.9 29.3 35.6 36.9	4 804 2 753 277 142 132 1 149 545 89 605	46.3 56.4 24.3 39.7 66.2 32.8 29.8 35.6
Residence	344	300	0.00	238	43.7	255	46.8	238	43.7
Inside metropolitan areas Inside central cities Outside central cities Outside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)
Region									
Northeast North Central South West	5 291 5 580 14 918 2 298	1 754 2 112 5 012 612	33.2 37.9 33.6 26.6	1 422 1 849 4 287 526	26.9 33.1 28.7 22.9	1 504 1 943 4 468 550	28.4 34.8 29.9 23.9	1 472 1 876 4 344 533	27.8 33.6 29.1 23.2
amilies									
Total	6 778	2 094	30.9	1 735	25.6	1 851	27.3	1 770	26.1
Age of Householder									
Jnder 25 years	479 3 467 2 003 829	291 1 145 470 188	60.8 33.0 23.5 22.7	255 912 410 157	53.3 26.3 20.5 18.9	272 996 433 150	56.8 28.7 21.6 18.1	260 936 417 157	54.2 27.0 20.8 18.9
Size of Family									
2 persons	2 261 1 730 1 358 762 366 300	582 520 426 249 151 165	25.7 30.0 31.4 32.7 41.3 55.0	479 400 357 219 125 155	21.2 23.1 26.3 28.8 34.1 51.7	523 444 384 221 125	23.1 25.6 28.3 29.1 34.1 51.5	493 419 357 219 127 155	21.8 24.2 26.3 28.8 34.6 51.7
Type of Family									
Married-couple families	3 469 2 001	479 331	13.8 16.6	418 268	12.0 14.4	420 291	12.1 14.5	418	12.0 14.4
resent	2 964 2 335 344 175	1 533 1 364 82 62	51.7 58.4 23.8 35.5	1 247 1 111 71 51	42.0 47.6 20.5 29.1	1 357 1 212 75 55	45.8 51.9 21.7 31.5	1 281 1 140 71 51	43.2 48.8 20.5 29.1
Vork Experience of Householder									
Total civilian householders Vorked Worked 50 to 52 weeks Full time Worked 1 to 49 weeks Jid not work last year	6 678 4 500 3 234 2 968 1 266 2 178	2 085 839 360 250 479 1 247	31.2 18.6 11.1 8.4 37.9 57.2	1 727 652 272 180 379 1 076	25.9 14.5 8.4 6.1 30.0 49.4	1 844 703 287 191 416	27.6 15.6 8.9 6.4 32.8	1 762 664 275 180 389	26.4 14.8 8.5 6.1 30.7

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

—Con.

			Belo	ow 125 percent of t	the poverty level			
Characteristic	Current pove definition	orty	Market vali concept		Recipient va concept	ulue	Poverty but share cond	
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY-CON.			· .					
Black								
Persons		İ						
Total	11 629	41.4	10 849	38.6	10 968	39.0	10 918	38.9
Age								
Under 6 years	1 878	58.3	1 777	55.2	1 790	55.6	1 781	55.3
6 to 17 years	3 284   1 558	52.5 41.1	3 090 1 464	49.4 38.7	3 112 1 482	49.7 39.1	3 094 1 472	49.4 38.9
25 to 44 years	2 536 1 352 1 022	31.0 30.7 45.6	2 344 1 262 912	28.7 28.7 40.8	2 377 1 287 919	29.1 29.2	2 353 1 283	28.8 29.1
Family Status	1 022	45.6	512	40.8	919	41.1	935	41.8
In families	9 930	40.7	9 262	38.0	9 353	38.4	9 288	38.1
Married-couple families	2 976 1 258	23.1 30.6	2 739 1 151	21.3 28.0	2 766 1 162	21.5 28.2	2 742 1 152	21.3 28.0
present Related children under 18 years	6 543 3 611	63.0 73.9	6 134 3 427	59.1 70.2	6 198 3 451	59.7 70.7	6 158 3 434	59.3 70.3
Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years	411 192	36.2 53.4	388 188	34.1 52.4	388 188	34.1 52.4	388 188	34.1 52.4
In unrelated subfamilies	137 1 561	69.0 44.6	137 1 450	69.0 41.4	137 1 478	69.0 42.2	137 1 493	69.0 42.7
Males	727 144	39.8 57.5	696 134	38.1 53.3	703 138	38.5 55.1	704 138	38.5 55.0
Females	834 406	49.8 74.6	754 352	45.1 64.6	775 357	46.3 65.6	789 368	47.1 67.6
Residence								
Inside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)
Region			` ']		` '	(-,	(,	(-,
Northeast North Central South West	2 128 2 511 6 236 753	40.2 45.0 41.8 32.8	1 939 2 387 5 808 716	36.6 42.8 38.9 31.1	1 996 2 377 5 867 728	37.7 42.6 39.3 31.7	1 957 2 396 5 841 724	37.0 42.9 39.2 31.5
Families		52.5		0	720	VI.,	/24	31.3
Total	2 587	38.2	2 396	35.4	2 437	36.0	2 405	35.5
Age of Householder								
Under 25 years 25 to 44 years 45 to 64 years 65 years and over	323 1 372 603 290	67.5 39.6 30.1 35.0	302 1 275 560 260	63.2 36.8 27.9 31.3	310 1 300 571 256	64.8 37.5 28.5 30.9	303 1 277 565 260	63.3 36.8 28.2 31.3
Size of Family		·				ļ		
2 persons	768 634 514 295 176 201	34.0 36.7 37.8 38.7 48.0 67.0	688 587 481 279 172 190	30.4 33.9 35.4 36.6 46.9 63.3	714 604 483 279 169	31.6 34.9 35.6 36.6 46.2 63.0	690 592 482 279 172 190	30.5 34.2 35.5 36.6 47.0 63.3
Type of Family	25.	57.0	100	00.0	103	03.0	190	03.3
Married-couple families	680	19.6	632	18.2	640	18.4	632	18.2
With related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	462	23.1	424	21.2	430	21.5	424	21.2
present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	1 797 1 567 110 77	60.6 67.1 31.9 43.7	1 661 1 459 104 75	56.0 62.5 30.2 42.9	1 694 1 487 104 75	57.1 63.7 30.2 42.9	1 669 1 463 104 75	56.3 62.6 30.2 42.9
Work Experience of Householder								
Total civilian householders	2 573 1 147 564 427	38.5 25.5 17.4 14.4	2 386 1 030 490 367	35.7 22.9 15.2 12.4	2 426 1 061 511 383	36.3 23.6 15.8 12.9	2 393 1 033 494 371	35.8 23.0 15.3 12.5
Worked 1 to 49 weeks	583 1 426	46.0 65.5	539 1 356	42.6 62.2	550 1 364	43.4 62.6	539 1 360	42.6 62.4

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

—Con.

			<del></del>	Belov	v 100 percent of	the poverty level			
Characteristic		Current po definition	overty on	Market va concep		Recipient v concept		Poverty budget share concept	
	Total	Number	Poverty	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY-CON.	1								
Spanish Origin¹									
Persons		- 1		1	İ			•	
Total	16 916	4 806	28.4	4 315	25.5	4 394	26.0	4 350	25.7
Age .									
Under 6 years	2 179 3 890 2 393 5 229 2 407 819	884 1 492 649 1 178 426 176	40.6 38.4 27.1 22.5 17.7 21.5	810 1 307 621 1 062 384 132	37.2 33.6 25.9 20.3 16.0 16.1	821 1 335 624 1 082 397 135	37.7 34.3 26.1 20.7 16.5	813 1 315 622 1 069 399 132	37.3 33.8 26.0 20.5 16.6
Family Status							1		
In families	15 293 11 465 4 273	4 192 2 298 1 178	27.4 20.0 27.6	3 748 2 091 1 066	24.5 18.2 25.0	3 816 2 104 1 074	25.0 18.4 25.1	3 770 2 097 1 070	24.6 18.3 25.0
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	3 139 1 541 689 168 143 1 481 885 75 596 141	1 764 1 093 130 45 69 545 301 30 244 55	56.2 71.0 18.9 26.8 48.0 36.8 34.0 40.3 40.9 39.3	1 527 946 130 45 69 498 290 22 208	48.6 61.4 18.9 26.8 48.0 33.6 32.8 29.1 34.9 25.2	1 581 977 130 45 69 510 291 23 218 38	50.4 63.4 18.9 26.8 48.0 34.4 32.9 30.6 36.6 26.7	1 542 953 130 45 69 512 291 22 221 35	49.1 61.8 18.9 26.8 48.0 34.6 32.9 29.1 37.0 25.2
Residence					20.2	55	20.7	33	20.2
Inside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)
Region		ľ							
Northeast North Central South West	3 143 1 415 5 352 7 007	1 216 427 1 391 1 772	38.7 30.1 26.0 25.3	1 053 402 1 246 1 614	33.5 28.4 23.3 23.0	1 107 410 1 256 1 622	35.2 28.9 23.5 23.1	1 074 407 1 252 1 617	34.2 28.7 23.4 23.1
Families									
Total	3 939	991	25.2	884	22.4	906	23.0	890	22.6
Age of Householder									
Under 25 years	341 2 187 1 112 299	138 599 197 58	40.4 27.4 17.7 19.4	130 528 176 49	38.1 24.2 15.9 16.4	131 544 182 49	38.4 24.9 16.4 16.4	130 533 178 49	38.1 24.4 16.0 16.4
Size of Family						1			
2 persons	962 948 936 552 276 266	182 225 222 170 82 111	18.9 23.7 23.7 30.7 29.6 41.7	157 201 202 153 73 98	16.3 21.2 21.6 27.7 26.4 37.0	168 203 209 157 71 97	17.4 21.5 22.4 28.5 25.9 36.7	158 203 204 154 73 98	16.4 21.5 21.8 27.9 26.4 37.0
Type of Family									
Married-couple families	2 824 1 977	469 399	16.6 20.2	426 365	15.1 18.5	430 368	15.2 18.6	428 366	15.1 18.5
oresent	905 711 210 100	483 447 39 26	53.4 62.8 18.4 26.3	419 386 39 26	46.3 54.2 18.4 26.3	438 402 39 26	48.4 56.5 18.4 26.3	424 389 39 26	46.8 54.7 18.4 26.3
Nork Experience of Householder							1		_3
Total civilian householders  Worked 50 to 52 weeks  Full time  Worked 1 to 49 weeks  Jid not work last year	3 913 2 967 2 143 2 037 825 946	989 453 173 147 280 536	25.3 15.3 8.1 7.2 34.0 56.6	882 408 156 133 252 474	22.5 13.8 7.3 6.5 30.5 50.1	904 413 159 135 254 492	23.1 13.9 7.4 6.6 30.8 52.0	888 410 158 134 252 479	22.7 13.8 7.4 6.6 30.5 50.6

<sup>1</sup>Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

-Con.

(Numbers in thousands. Persons and families as of March 1985. For meaning of symbols, see text)

			Belo	w 125 percent of t	the poverty level										
Characteristic	Current pove definition		Market valu concept	16	Recipient va concept	lue	Poverty bud share conce								
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate							
VALUING FOOD AND HOUSING ONLY-CON.															
Spanish Origin¹			,												
Persons	1						1								
Total	6 100	36.1	5 862	34.7	5 860	34.6	5 893	34.8							
Age															
Under 6 years 6 to 17 years 18 to 24 years 25 to 44 years 45 to 64 years 65 years and over	1 080 1 791 835 1 521 588 284	49.6 46.1 34.9 29.1 24.4 34.7	1 052 1 732 799 1 471 560 249	48.3 44.5 33.4 28.1 23.3 30.4	1 050 1 731 792 1 472 570 244	48.2 44.5 33.1 28.2 23.7 29.8	1 054 1 739 801 1 475 570 255	48.4 44.7 33.5 28.2 23.7 31.1							
Family Status		]													
In families	5 347 3 203 1 575	35.0 27.9 36.9	5 146 3 073 1 513	33.7 26.8 35.4	5 142 3 075 1 514	33.6 26.8 35.4	5 162 3 073 1 513	33.8 26.8 35.4							
resent Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	1 970 1 169 174 58 79 674 364 40 310 92	62.8 75.9 25.3 34.3 55.2 45.5 41.1 53.8 52.0 65.6	1 912 1 148 161 52 79 637 357 355 280 74	60.9 74.5 23.3 30.9 55.2 43.0 40.3 47.2 47.0 52.8	1 903 1 146 164 52 79 640 357 36 283 69	60.6 74.4 23.7 30.9 55.2 43.2 40.3 47.2 47.5 49.0	1 928 1 157 161 52 79 652 359 37 293 79	61.4 75.1 23.3 30.9 55.2 44.0 40.5 48.6 49.2 56.2							
Residence			İ												
Inside metropolitan areas Inside central cities. Outside central cities. Outside metropolitan areas.	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)							
Region			Į												
Northeast North Central South West	1 440 532 1 782 2 346	45.8 37.6 33.3 33.5	1 340 517 1 726 2 280	42.6 36.5 32.3 32.5	1 339 521 1 717 2 284	42.6 36.8 32.1 32.6	1 364 521 1 729 2 280	43.4 36.8 32.3 32.5							
Families			Ì			ì									
Total	1 278	32.5	1 227	31.1	1 231	31.2	1 232	31.3							
Age of Householder															
Under 25 years	177 741 274 87	51.8 33.9 24.6 29.2	168 717 261 82	49.2 32.8 23.5 27.3	168 721 261 81	49.3 33.0 23.5 27.1	168 721 262 82	49.2 33.0 23.5 27.3							
Size of Family															
2 persons	254 280 284 218 103	26.4 29.5 30.4 39.5 37.5 52.4	244 264 271 212 100 135	25.4 27.9 29.0 38.5 36.4 50.7	247 268 272 213 98 134	25.7 28.2 29.1 38.6 35.4 50.3	245 266 273 212 100 135	25.5 28.1 29.2 38.5 36.4 50.7							
Type of Family			i			1									
Married-couple families	681 559	24.1 28.3	652 533	23.1 27.0	653 533	23.1 26.9	652 533	23. <sup>-</sup> 27.0							
present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	545 489 53 36	60.3 68.7 25.1 35.9	526 476 49 33	58.1 66.9 23.2 33.3	529 478 50 33	58.4 67.1 23.6 33.3	531 481 49 33	58.7 67.6 23.2 33.0							
Work Experience of Householder															
Total civilian householders	1 273 661 304 269 356 613	32.5 22.3 14.2 13.2 43.2 64.8	1 222 626 284 249 342 595	31.2 21.1 13.3 12.2 41.5	1 226 632 288 253 344 594	31.3 21.3 13.4 12.4 41.7 62.8	1 227 627 285 250 342 599	31.5 21. 13.5 12.5 41.6 63.6							

<sup>1</sup>Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

—Con.

	<u> </u>			Belo	ow 100 percent of	the poverty level			
Characteristic		Current p definit		Market v conce		Recipient v		Poverty budget share concept	
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS			_						
All Races									
Persons			1				1		
Total	233 816	33 700	14.4	22 602	9.7	28 623	12.2	28 296	12.1
\ge					•	20 020	12.2	20 280	12.1
Inder 6 years	21 340 41 107 27 845 72 157 44 549 26 818	5 115 8 305 4 616 7 938 4 397 3 330	24.0 20.2 16.6 11.0 9.9 12.4	3 741 5 592 3 689 5 855 3 026 700	17.5 13.6 13.2 8.1 6.8 2.6	4 583 7 069 4 228 6 969 3 814 1 960	21.5 17.2 15.2 9.7 8.6 7.3	4 453 6 877 4 179 6 856 3 898 2 034	20.9 16.7 15.0 9.5 8.7 7.6
Family Status									
n families Married-couple families Related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	202 288 165 272 47 429	26 458 13 717 5 758	13.1 8.3 12.1	17 873 9 939 4 336	8.8 6.0 9.1	22 508 11 739 5 028	11.1 7.1 10.6	22 090 11 809 5 020	10.9 7.1 10.6
present	30 844 12 536 6 172 1 716 1 260 30 268 13 739 1 931 16 529	11 831 6 772 910 399 634 6 609 2 575 401 4 035	38.4 54.0 14.7 23.3 50.3 21.8 18.7 20.8 24.4	7 291 4 284 643 274 550 4 180 2 019 83 2 161	23.6 34.2 10.4 16.0 43.6 13.8 14.7 4.3	9 968 5 796 802 351 607 5 508 2 355 257 3 153	32.3 46.2 13.0 20.5 48.2 18.2 17.1 13.3	9 500 5 497 781 335 611 5 596 2 389 255 3 206	30.8 43.9 12.7 19.5 48.5 18.5 17.4 13.2
65 years and over	6 832	1 722	25.2	308	4.5	987	14.5	975	14.3
nside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	(AA) (AA) (AA) (AA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)
Region	.				ĺ				.,
Northeast	49 374 58 749 79 195 46 498	6 531 8 303 12 792 6 074	13.2 14.1 16.2 13.1	3 772 5 397 8 962 4 472	7.6 9.2 11.3 9.6	5 340 7 144 10 867 5 271	10.8 12.2 13.7 11.3	5 171 7 074 10 833 5 218	10.5 12.0 13.7 11.2
Families									
Total	62 706	7 277	11.6	4 819	7.7	6 114	9.8	5 987	9.5
Age of Householder			ŀ					j	
Under 25 years	3 127 29 430 20 344 9 806	919 3 886 1 760 713	29.4 13.2 8.6 7.3	682 2 708 1 247 182	21.8 9.2 6.1 1.9	839 3 343 1 515 418	26.8 11.4 7.4 4.3	803 3 207 1 504 473	25.7 10.9 7.4 4.8
Size of Family									
persons. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons or more.	25 349 14 804 13 259 5 894 2 175 1 225	2 283 1 716 1 516 890 458 414	9.0 11.6 11.4 15.1 21.0 33.8	1 425 1 088 1 048 666 303 290	5.6 7.3 7.9 11.3 13.9 23.6	1 851 1 409 1 328 777 377 377	7.3 9.5 10.0 13.2 17.3 30.4	1 839 1 356 1 266 773 378 375	7.3 9.2 9.5 13.1 17.4 30.6
ype of Family									
farried-couple families With related children under 18 years emale householder, no husband resent	50 350 25 038 10 129	3 488 2 344 3 498	6.9 9.4 34.5	2 452 -1 791 2 158	4.9 7.2 21.3	2 916 2 054 2 941	5.8 8.2 29.0	2 955 2 043 2 782	5.9 8.2 27.5
Mith related children under 18 years	6 832 2 228 1 072	3 124 292 194	45.7 13.1 18.1	1 962 209 135	28.7 9.4 12.6	2 653 257 170	38.8 11.5 15.9	2 492 251 162	36.5 11.2 15.1
Vork Experience of Householder									
Total civilian householders  Vorked  Worked 50 to 52 weeks  Full time  Worked 1 to 49 weeks  id not work last year	61 994 47 786 37 693 35 788 10 093 14 208	7 258 3 574 1 577 1 242 1 997 3 684	11.7 7.5 4.2 3.5 19.8 25.9	4 804 2 737 1 284 1 030 1 453 2 067	7.7 5.7 3.4 2.9 14.4 14.5	6 099 3 105 1 376 1 091 1 729 2 994	9.8 6.5 3.7 3.0 17.1 21.1	5 972 3 033 1 363 1 081 1 670	9.6 6.3 3.6 3.0 16.5

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

-Con.

			Belo	w 125 percent of	the poverty level										
Characteristic	Current pove definition		Market valu concept	ie	Recipient va concept	lue	Poverty bud share cond								
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate							
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS															
All Races															
Persons															
Total	45 288	19.4	35 168	15.0	41 606	17.8	41 555	17.8							
Age						Ì									
Under 6 years 6 to 17 years 18 to 24 years 25 to 44 years 45 to 64 years 65 years and over	6 456 10 472 6 077 10 562 6 037 5 684	30.3 25.5 21.8 14.6 13.6 21.2	5 730 8 852 5 373 9 055 4 489 1 669	26.8 21.5 19.3 12.5 10.1 6.2	6 197 9 876 5 828 9 957 5 583 4 165	29.0 24.0 20.9 13.8 12.5 15.5	6 158 9 844 5 801 9 962 5 670 4 120	28.9 23.9 20.8 13.8 12.7 15.4							
Family Status		į													
In families	35 499 20 302 8 205	17.5 12.3 17.3	28 604 16 519 7 189	14.1 10.0 15.2	32 821 18 667 7 741	16.2 11.3 16.3	32 880 18 788 7 717	16.3 11.4 16.3							
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	13 982 7 675 1 215 499 7 26 9 063 3 406 662 5 658 2 847	45.3 61.2 19.7 29.1 57.6 29.9 24.8 34.3 34.2 41.7	11 095 6 420 991 445 690 5 874 2 678 211 3 195 814	36.0 51.2 16.1 26.0 54.7 19.4 19.5 10.9 19.3	13 072 7 322 1 082 470 712 8 074 3 200 514 4 873 2 130	42.4 58.4 17.5 27.4 56.5 26.7 23.3 26.6 29.5 31.2	12 991 7 269 1 101 479 709 7 966 3 192 484 4 774 1 993	42.1 58.0 17.8 27.9 56.2 26.3 23.2 25.0 28.9 29.2							
Residence	2 04.	7	5,4	11.0	2 130	31.2	1 993	20.2							
Inside metropolitan areas	(AA) (AA) (AA) (AA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)							
Region				, , ,		```	`	` `							
Northeast North Central South West	8 613 10 912 17 441 8 322	17.4 18.6 22.0 17.9	6 135 8 496 13 718 6 819	12.4 14.5 17.3 14.7	7 746 10 092 16 025 7 743	15.7 17.2 20.2 16.7	7 670 10 082 16 026 7 776	15.5 17.2 20.2 16.7							
Families															
Total	9 901	15.8	7 725	12.3	9 051	14.4	9 079	14.5							
Age of Householder								•							
Under 25 years	1 190 5 041 2 440 1 230	38.0 17.1 12.0 12.5	1 083 4 361 1 890 392	34.6 14.8 9.3 4.0	1 147 4 777 2 262 865	36.7 16.2 11.1 8.8	1 128 4 743 2 272 938	36.1 16.1 11.2 9.6							
Size of Family						1									
2 persons 3 persons 4 persons 5 persons 6 persons 6 persons 7 persons or more	3 290 2 247 2 080 1 177 585 522	13.0 15.2 15.7 20.0 26.9 42.6	2 200 1 790 1 779 1 022 482 451	8.7 12.1 13.4 17.3 22.2 36.8	2 851 2 082 1 957 1 118 546 497	11.2 14.1 14.8 19.0 25.1 40.6	2 899 2 060 1 950 1 119 556 495	11.4 13.9 14.7 19.0 25.6 40.4							
Type of Family															
Married-couple families	5 298 3 426	10.5 13.7	4 100 3 003	8.1 12.0	4 785 3 229	9.5 12.9	4 854 3 217	9.6 12.8							
present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	4 208 3 635 396 251	41.5 53.2 17.8 23.4	3 305 2 990 320 218	32.6 43.8 14.4 20.3	. 3 914 3 446 352 233	38.6 50.4 15.8 21.8	3 868 3 397 358 237	38.2 49.7 16.1 22.1							
Work Experience of Householder															
Total civilian householders  Worked 50 to 52 weeks  Full time  Worked 1 to 49 weeks  Did not work last year	9 831 5 237 2 571 2 129 2 665 4 594	15.9 11.0 6.8 5.9 26.4 32.3	7 664 4 615 2 300 1 922 2 315 3 049	12.4 9.7 6.1 5.4 22.9 21.5	8 986 4 939 2 429 2 005 2 511 4 046	14.5 10.3 6.4 5.6 24.9 28.5	9 017 4 883 2 396 1 977 2 487 4 134	14.5 10.2 6.4 5.5 24.6 29.1							

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

—Con.

	<u> </u>			Below	100 percent of	the poverty level	,			
Characteristic		Current pov definition	erty 1	Market val concept		Recipient va concept		Poverty but share cond		
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Povert	
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS-CON.								_		
White			ľ							
Persons										
Total	198 941	22 955	11.5	15 956	8.0	19 568	9.8	19 521	9.6	
.ge										
Inder 6 years	17 364 33 450 23 222 61 667 39 033 24 206	3 268 5 204 3 140 5 555 3 210 2 579	18.8 15.6 13.5 9.0 8.2 10.7	2 455 3 713 2 650 4 289 2 336 514	14.1 11.1 11.4 7.0 6.0 2.1	2 944 4 458 2 954 4 931 2 808 1 472	17.0 13.3 12.7 8.0 7.2 6.1	2 884 4 414 2 925 4 902 2 875 1 522	16. 13. 12. 7. 7. 6.	
amily Status										
n families  Married-couple families  Related children under 18 years  Female householder, no husband	171 839 147 327 41 558	17 299 10 920 4 508	10.1 7.4 10.8	12 225 8 066 3 469	7.1 5.5 8.3	14 809 9 378 3 959	8.6 6.4 9.5	14 700 9 449 3 966	8.6 6.4 9.5	
present	19 727 7 352 4 784 1 281 1 008 26 094 11 529 1 648 14 565 6 238	5 866 3 377 514 201 475 5 181 1 920 285 3 262 1 402	29.7 45.9 10.7 15.7 47.1 19.9 16.7 17.3 22.4 22.5	3 786 2 212 373 142 402 3 329 1 545 57 1 785 240	19.2 30.1 7.8 11.1 39.9 12.8 13.4 3.5 12.3 3.8	4 985 2 897 446 172 452 4 307 1 765 180 2 542 793	25.3 39.4 9.3 13.5 44.8 16.5 15.3 10.9 17.5 12.7	4 809 2 791 442 167 456 4 365 1 784 179 2 581 779	24.4 38.0 9.2 13.0 45.2 16.7 15.5 10.8 12.5	
Residence									12.0	
nside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B (B (B	
Region										
iortheast	43 248 52 349 63 107 40 237	4 646 6 004 7 573 4 732	10.7 11.5 12.0 11.8	2 702 4 082 5 594 3 578	6.2 7.8 8.9 8.9	3 819 5 134 6 491 4 123	8.8 9.8 10.3 10.2	3 716 5 158 6 523 4 123	8.6 9.9 10.0 10.2	
Families					İ			ļ		
Total	54 400	4 925	9.1	3 402	6.3	4 161	7.6	4 131	7.6	
ge of Householder										
Inder 25 years	2 577 25 129 17 835 8 859	607 2 591 1 212 515	23.6 10.3 6.8 5.8	461 1 903 910 127	17.9 7.6 5.1 1.4	562 2 256 1 053 290	21.8 9.0 5.9 3.3	538 2 212 1 056 325	20.9 8.8 5.9 3.7	
Size of Family										
persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons or more	22 711 12 743 11 517 4 894 1 704 831	1 655 1 152 1 026 595 277 220	7.3 9.0 8.9 12.2 16.3 26.5	1 057 786 748 464 186 160	4.7 6.2 6.5 9.5 10.9 19.3	1 334 970 909 527 227 194	5.9 7.6 7.9 10.8 13.3 23.3	1 343 952 884 526 228 198	5.9 7.5 7.7 10.7 13.4 23.8	
ype of Family										
tarried-couple families	45 643 22 181	2 858 1 879	6.3 8.5	2 047 1 462	4.5 6.6	2 402 1 654	5.3 7.5	2 432 1 649	5.0 7.4	
resent With related children under 18 years fale householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	6 941 4 337 1 816 862	1 878 1 682 189 117	27.1 38.8 10.4 13.6	1 215 1 105 139 88	17.5 25.5 7.7 10.2	1 595 1 441 165 104	23.0 33.2 9.1 12.0	1 534 1 379 165 102	22.1 31.8 9.1 11.8	
ork Experience of Householder										
Total civilian householders	53 829 42 102 33 497 31 906 8 606 11 727	4 914 2 615 1 171 958 1 445 2 299	9.1 6.2 3.5 3.0 16.8 19.6	3 394 2 104 1 007 840 1 097 1 290	6.3 5.0 3.0 2.6 12.8	4 154 2 329 1 059 877 1 270 1 825	7.7 5.5 3.2 2.7 14.8 15.6	4 123 2 300 1 057 877 1 243 1 823	7.7 5.8 3.3 2.7 14 15.8	

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

-Con.

			Belo	ow 125 percent of t	the poverty level			
Characteristic	Current pove definition	rty	Market val concept		Recipient valu concept	Je	Poverty bude share conce	
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS—CON.								
White								
Persons								440
Total	32 059	16.1	25 134	12.6	29 513	14.8	29 494	14.8
Age								04.0
Under 6 years	4 340 6 780 4 284 7 575 4 490 4 590	25.0 20.3 18.4 12.3 11.5 19.0	3 942 5 833 3 936 6 631 3 473 1 320	22.7 17.4 16.9 10.8 8.9 5.5	4 197 6 428 4 155 7 219 4 184 3 330	24.2 19.2 17.9 11.7 10.7 13.8	4 171 6 414 4 149 7 227 4 250 3 283	24.0 19.2 17.9 11.7 10.9 13.6
Family Status	ļ					40.4	22 615	13.2
In families	24 230 16 396 6 530	14.1 11.1 15.7	19 885 13 543 5 810	11.6 9.2 14.0	22 532 15 179 6 208	13.1 10.3 14.9	15 279 6 188	10.4 14.9 33.9
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females	7 118 3 892 715 268 552 7 278 2 566 507 4 712	36.1 52.9 14.9 20.9 54.7 27.9 22.3 30.8 32.4	5 762 3 316 579 235 519 4 731 2 054 158 2 677 685	29.2 45.1 12.1 18.4 51.5 18.1 17.8 9.6 18.4	6 706 3 746 647 250 538 6 443 2 414 385 4 029 1 780	34.0 51.0 13.5 19.5 53.4 24.7 20.9 23.3 27.7 28.5	6 678 3 720 658 258 535 6 344 2 402 360 3 943 1 662	53.5 50.6 13.6 20.1 53.1 24.5 20.6 21.6 27.7 26.1
65 years and over	2 414	30.7	000	11.0				
Residence	(NA)	(B)	(NA)	(B)	(NA)	(B)	(NA)	(B
Inside metropolitan areas Inside central cities Outside central cities Outside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	(AA) (AA) (AA)	(B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA)	(B (B (B
Region	ĺ			i			]	
Northeast	6 292 8 178 10 965 6 624	14.5 15.6 17.4 16.5	4 443 6 448 8 769 5 474	10.3 12.3 13.9 13.6	5 626 7 577 10 110 6 201	13.0 14.5 16.0 15.4	5 602 7 551 10 134 6 207	13. 14. 16. 15.
Families		Ì						
Total	6 981	12.8	5 516	10.1	6 410	11.8	6 450	11.
Age of Householder							204	94
Under 25 years	838 3 493 1 729 921	32.5 13.9 9.7 10.4	770 3 064 1 399 283	29.9 12.2 7.8 3.2	815 3 331 1 615 649	31.6 13.3 9.1 7.3	804 3 318 1 623 704	31. 13. 9. 7.
Size of Family					0.440	9.4	2 190	9.
2 persons	2 458 1 560 1 480 823 375 285	10.8 12.2 12.9 16.8 22.0 34.2	1 644 1 281 1 294 733 310 254	7.2 10.0 11.2 15.0 18.2 30.5	2 140 1 453 1 403 791 348 275	11.4 12.2 16.2 20.4 33.1	1 448 1 398 789 353 272	11. 12. 16. 20. 32.
Type of Family	ļ							•
Married-couple families With related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband present	4 401 2 784 2 318	9.6 12.6 33.4	3 451 2 475 1 851	7.6 11.2 26.7	3 995 2 642 2 177	8.8 11.9 31.4	4 048 2 635 2 161	8 11 31
With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	1 985 263 158	45.8 14.5 18.3	1 672 214 139	38.5 11.8 16.1	1 906 238 149	44.0 13.1 17.2	1 883 241 151	43 13 17
Work Experience of Householder							6 400	
Total civilian householders Worked	6 932 3 939 1 938 1 647 2 001	12.9 9.4 5.8 5.2 23.3 25.5	5 472 3 528 1 776 1 519 1 752	10.2 8.4 5.3 4.8 20.4	6 364 3 752 1 852 1 572 1 900	11.8 8.9 5.5 4.9 22.1 22.3	6 406 3 732 1 844 1 563 1 888 2 674	11 8 5 4 21 22

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

	<u> </u>			Belo	w 100 percent of	the poverty level	overty level			
Characteristic		Current po- definitio	verty in	Market va concep		Recipient v concep		Poverty bu share con		
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty	
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS—CON.										
Black										
Persons										
Total	28 087	9 490	33.8	5 747	20.5	7 956	28.3	7 606	07.4	
Age ·					20.5	7 830	20.3	7 696	27.4	
Inder 6 years	3 220 6 260 3 787 8 177 4 405 2 238	1 645 2 768 1 286 2 025 1 056 710	51.1 44.2 34.0 24.8 24.0 31.7	1 137 1 669 882 1 278 608 172	35.3 26.7 23.3 15.6 13.8 7.7	1 457 2 333 1 104 1 714 889 459	45.2 37.3 29.1 21.0 20.2 20.5	1 392 2 195 1 085 1 633 909 482	43.2 35.1 28.7 20.0 20.6 21.5	
Family Status						Ì				
n families Married-couple families Related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	24 387 12 865 4 113	8 104 2 122 923	33.2 16.5 22.4	4 922 1 381 638	20.2 10.7 15.5	6 791 1 781 790	27.8 13.8 19.2	6 504 1 786 780	26.7 13.9 19.0	
present	10 384 4 884 1 137 359 199 3 501 1 827 251 1 674 544	5 666 3 234 315 162 132 1 255 568 109 687 308	54.6 66.2 27.7 45.2 66.2 35.8 31.1 43.4 41.0 56.6	3 327 1 976 215 109 121 704 397 25 307 63	32.0 40.5 18.9 30.5 60.7 20.1 21.7 9.8 18.3 11.7	4 736 2 765 274 142 128 1 036 505 72 532 185	45.6 56.6 24.1 39.7 64.3 29.6 27.6 28.7 31.8 34.1	4 460 2 582 258 132 128 1 064 520 71 544 188	42.9 52.9 22.7 36.9 64.3 30.4 28.5 28.3 32.5 34.5	
Residence						,00	34.1	100	34.5	
nside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	
Region								`	(-)	
Northeast North Central South	5 291 5 580 14 918 2 298	1 754 2 112 5 012 612	33.2 37.9 33.6 26.6	953 1 176 3 195 424	18.0 21.1 21.4 18.4	1 401 1 849 4 190 516	26.5 33.1 28.1 22.4	1 333 1 755 4 124 484	25.2 31.5 27.6 21.1	
-amilies										
Total	6 778	2 094	30.9	1 237	18.2	1 728	25.5	1 636	24.1	
age of Householder										
Jnder 25 years	479 3 467 2 003 829	291 1 145 470 188	60.8 33.0 23.5 22.7	207 691 285 53	43.2 19.9 14.2 6.4	258 955 393 122	53.9 27.5 19.6 14.8	248 864 383 142	51.7 24.9 19.1 17.1	
ize of Family					İ					
persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons persons or more	2 261 1 730 1 358 762 366 300	582 520 426 249 151 165	25.7 30.0 31.4 32.7 41.3 55.0	337 266 256 171 95 111	14.9 15.4 18.8 22.5 26.0	477 397 363 214 125	21.1 22.9 26.7 28.0 34.1 50.7	456 364 330 210 125 152	20.2 21.0 24.3 27.6 34.1 50.7	
ype of Family			'					102	,	
tarried-couple families	3 469 2 001	479 331	13.8 16.6	293 231	8.5 11.5	385 284	11.1 14.2	393 279	11.3 13.9	
resent With related children under 18 years Mith related children under 18 years With related children under 18 years With related children under 18 years	2 964 2 335 344 175	1 533 1 364 82 62	51.7 58.4 23.8 35.5	890 806 53 37	30.0 34.5 15.4 21.3	1 273 1 146 70 52	42.9 49.1 20.4 29.8	1 179 1 052 64 46	39.8 45.0 18.6 26.3	
Vork Experience of Householder							20.0		20.3	
Total civilian householders Vorked 50 to 52 weeks Full time Worked 1 to 49 weeks id not work last year.	6 678 4 500 3 234 2 968 1 266 2 178	2 085 839 360 250 479 1 247	31.2 18.6 11.1 8.4 37.9 57.2	1 229 531 235 159 295	18.4 11.8 7.3 5.4 23.3	1 721 666 274 183 392	25.8 14.8 8.5 6.2 30.9	1 629 623 263 173 360 1 006	24.4 13.8 8.1 5.8 28.4	

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

--Con

	<u> </u>		Belo	w 125 percent of t	he poverty level			
Characteristic	Current pove definition	ty	Market valu concept	е	Recipient vali concept	ue	Poverty bude	
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS—CON.	-							·
Black								
Persons							İ	
Total	11 629	41.4	8 668	30.9	10 604	37.8	10 548	37.6
Age						Ì	ļ	
Jnder 6 years	1 878	58.3	1 572 2 666	48.8 42.6	1 770 3 066	55.0 49.0	1 757 3 045	54.6 48.6
6 to 17 years	3 284 1 558	52.5 41.1	1 225	32.4	1 446 2 308	38.2 28.2	1 427 2 301	37.7 28.1
25 to 44 years	2 536 1 352	31.0 30.7	2 010 873	24.6 19.8	1 233	28.0	1 237 781	28.1 34.9
55 years and over	1 022	45.6	322	14.4	781	34.9	781	34.0
Family Status						07.4	0.006	36.9
In families	9 930   2 976	40.7 23.1	7 577 2 180	31.1 16.9	9 049 2 649	37.1 20.6	9 006 2 649	20.6
Related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	1 258	30.6	1 011	24.6	1 147	27.9	1 137	27.6
present	6 543 3 611	63.0 73.9	5 068 2 958	48.8 60.6	6 050 3 406	58.3 69.7	5 999 3 380	57.8 69.2
Male householder, no wife present	411 192	36.2 53.4	328 172	28.9 48.0	350 182	30.8 50.8	358 183	31.5 51.
Related children under 18 years	137	69.0	134	67.4 27.3	137 1 417	69.0 40.5	137 1 405	69.0 40.
Unrelated individuals	1 561 727	44.6 39.8	957 525	28.8	677	37.1	677	37. 45.
65 years and over	144 834	57.5 49.8	51 432	20.2 25.8	121 740	48.2 44.2	115 728	43.
65 years and over	406	74.6	119	21.9	328	60.3	311	57.2
Residence	ľ	ŀ		ĺ				_
Inside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B (B (B
Region		]		İ		ľ	ļ	
Northeast	2 128	40.2	1 522	28.8 33.1	1 932 2 299	36.5 41.2	1 880 2 314	35.9 41.9
North Central	2 511 6 236	45.0 41.8	1 847 4 731	31.7	5 687	38.1 29.8	5 664 689	38. 30.
West	753	32.8	568	24.7	686	29.0	003	00.
Families						34.5	2 314	34.
Total	2 587	38.2	1 934	28.5	2 339	34.5	2 314	04.
Age of Householder							296	61.
Under 25 years	323 1 372	67.5 39.6	289 1 135	60.4 32.7	304 1 278	63.6 36.9	1 256	36.
45 to 64 years	603 290	30.1 35.0	405 105	20.2 12.7	554 203	27.7 24.5	544 218	27. 26.
Size of Family								
2 persons	768	34.0	515	22.8	659	29.2	646	28
3 persons	634 514	36.7 37.8	463 414	26.8 30.5	581 471	33.6 34.7	564 469	32 34
4 persons	295	38.7	237	31.1	274 166	36.0 45.3	276 171	36 46
6 persons	· 176   201	48.0 67.0	142 164	38.7 54.5	187	62.4	188	62
Type of Family			'	ľ			i	
Married-couple families	680	19.6	472	13.6	601	17.3	603	17 20
With related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	462	23.1	371	18.5	420	21.0	413	
Present	1 797 1 567	60.6 67.1	1 377 1 246	46.4 53.4	1 646 1 458	55.5 62.4	1 616 1 432	54 61 27
Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	110 77	31.9 43.7	85 64	24.7 36.4	92 70	26.6 39.9	95 71	27 40
Work Experience of Householder	\			}			0.000	0.4
Total civilian householders	2 573 1 147	38.5 25.5	1 924 948	28.8 21.1	2 327 1 042	34.8 23.2	2 303 1 007	34 22
Worked 50 to 52 weeks	564 427	17.4 14.4	460 353	14.2 11.9	510 381	15.8 12.8	486 364	15 12
Full time	583 1 426	46.0 65.5	488 976	38.5 44.8	533 1 285	42.1 59.0	522 1 296	41 59

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

l l	l	C							
Characteristic		Current po definition	on	Market v conce		Recipient v concep		Poverty bu share cor	udget icept
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Povert
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS-CON.								Number	- Tav
Spanish Origin¹									
Persons									
Total	16 916	4 806	28.4	3 371	19.9	4 172	24.7	4 440	
Age					10.0	7 172	24.7	4 113	24.0
Under 6 years	2 179 3 890 2 393 5 229 2 407 819	884 1 492 649 1 178 426	40.6 38.4 27.1 22.5 17.7 21.5	666 1 001 532 874 273 24	30.6 25.7 22.2 16.7 11.4 2.9	800 1 281 605 1 038 359 89	36.7 32.9 25.3 19.8 14.9 10.8	785 1 246 605 1 013 374 89	36.0 32.0 25.3 19.4 15.6 10.8
Family Status									
n families Married-couple families Helated children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	15 293 11 465 4 273	4 192 2 298 1 178	27.4 20.0 27.6	2 894 1 788 947	18.9 15.6 22.2	3 624 2 025 1 053	23.7 17.7 24.6	3 559 2 024 1 048	23.3 17.7 24.5
present	3 139 1 541 689 168 143 1 481 885 75 596	1 764 1 093 130 45 69 545 301 30 244	56.2 71.0 18.9 26.8 48.0 36.8 34.0 40.3 40.9 39.3	998 623 108 39 63 414 258 -	31.8 40.4 15.7 23.1 43.9 28.0 29.2 .5 26.1	1 481 925 118 43 67 480 282 16	47.2 60.1 17.2 25.4 47.2 32.4 31.9 21.0 33.2	1 415 880 120 43 67 487 284 16 203	45.1 57.1 17.4 25.8 47.2 32.9 32.1 21.0 34.1
lesidence		•	39.3	2	1.5	25	17.7	21	. 15.0
nside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)
egion		`	(-/	(100)	(6)	(NA)	(B)	(NA)	(B)
ortheast	3 143 1 415 5 352 7 007	1 216 427 1 391 1 772	38.7 30.1 26.0 25.3	636 326 1 073 1 337	20.2 23.0 20.0 19.1	1 038 383 1 219 1 532	33.0 27.1 22.8 21.9	988 385 1 206 1 535	31.4 27.2 22.5 21.9
amilies								. 555	21.8
Total	3 939	991	25.2	667	16.9	847	21.5	827	21.0
ge of Householder									
nder 25 years	341 2 187 1 112 299	138 599 197 58	40.4 27.4 17.7 19.4	114 416 122 16	33.4 19.0 10.9 5.2	129 522 162 34	37.8 23.9 14.6 11.3	126 503 163 35	36.9 23.0 14.7 11.8
ize of Family									
persons. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons. persons or more.	962 948 936 552 276 266	182 225 222 170 82 111	18.9 23.7 23.7 30.7 29.6 41.7	111 142 150 123 60 81	11.6 15.0 16.0 22.3 21.7 30.5	146 189 195 151 70 97	15.1 19.9 20.8 27.4 25.5 36.4	144 178 188 147 72 97	15.0 18.8 20.1 26.7 25.9 36.7
/pe of Family								-	00.7
arried-couple families With related children under 18 years male householder, no husband esent	2 824 1 977 905	469 399 483	16.6 20.2	355 319	12.6 16.1	404 355	14.3 17.9	405 352	14.3 17.8
With related children under 18 years ale householder, no wife present	711 210 100	447 39 26	53.4 62.8 18.4 26.3	281 262 31 22	31.0 36.8 15.0 22.2	409   381 34 24	45.2 53.5 16.4 24.4	388 358 35 25	42.8 50.4 16.5
ork Experience of Householder								23	24.7
Total civilian householders orked. Orked. Worked 50 to 52 weeks Full time Worked 1 to 49 weeks d not work last year.	3 913 2 967 2 143 2 037 825 946	989 453 173 147 280 536	25.3 15.3 8.1 7.2 34.0 56.6	665 368 148 125 220 297	17.0 12.4 6.9 6.1 26.7 31.4	845 402 153 129 248 444	21.6 13.5 7.2 6.3 30.1 46.9	825 397 152 127 245 429	21.1 13.4 7.1 6.2 29.7 45.3

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

-Con.

(Numbers in thousands. Persons and families as of March 1985. For meaning of symbols, see text)

					of the poverty level		Daniel bill	not .
Characteristic	Current pover definition	ty	Market valu concept	ne e	Recipient va concept		Poverty budg share conce	
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS—CON.								
Spanish Origin¹								
Persons							5.745	34.0
Total	6 100	36.1	5 042	29.8	5 735	33.9	5 745	34.0
Age						17.0	1 037	47.6
Under 6 years	1 080 1 791 835 1 521 588 284	49.6 46.1 34.9 29.1 24.4 34.7	980 1 535 736 1 313 426 52	45.0 39.5 30.8 25.1 17.7 6.3	1 042 1 716 785 1 444 550 198	47.8 44.1 32.8 27.6 22.9 24.2	1 037 1 709 788 1 452 551 208	43.9 32.9 27.8 22.9 25.4
Family Status		ļ			5 040	22.0	5 046	33.0
In families	5 347 3 203 1 575	35.0 27.9 36.9	4 463 2 765 1 422	29.2 24.1 33.3	5 040 3 009 1 498	33.0 26.2 35.1	3 016 1 496	26.3 35.0
Female householder, no husband present	1 970 1 169 174 58 79 674 364 40 310 92	62.8 75.9 25.3 34.3 55.2 45.5 41.1 53.8 52.0 65.6	1 573 980 125 45 78 500 310 5 191	50.1 63.6 18.2 26.8 54.4 33.8 35.0 7.0 32.0 7.6	1 879 1 140 152 51 79 616 351 30 265 54	59.9 74.0 22.1 30.5 55.2 41.6 39.7 40.0 44.4 38.4	1 877 1 131 152 51 79 620 352 30 268 55	59.8 73.4 22.1 30.5 55.2 41.9 39.9 45.0 39.1
Residence								
Inside metropolitan areas	(AA) (AA) (AA) (AA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)
Region		ļ.	1					
Northeast	1 440 532 1 782 2 346	45.8 37.6 33.3 33.5	1 068 450 1 509 2 015	34.0 31.8 28.2 28.8	1 310 521 1 673 2 231	41.7 36.8 31.3 31.8	1 317 521 1 687 2 220	41.9 36.8 31.5 31.7
Families			İ				1 199	30.4
Total	1 278	32.5	1 032	26.2	1 199	30.5	1 199	50
Age of Householder Under 25 years	177 741 274 87	51.8 33.9 24.6 29.2	161 642 206 24	47.2 29.3 18.5 8.1	166 712 252 69	48.6 32.6 22.7 23.1	164 710 252 73	48.1 32.1 22.2 24.1
Size of Family								
2 persons	254 280 284 218 103 139	26.4 29.5 30.4 39.5 37.5 52.4	165 221 240 195 88 123	17.1 23.4 25.7 35.3 31.9 46.4	97	23.8 27.7 28.8 37.8 35.0 50.3	234 256 269 209 98 134	24. 27. 28. 37. 35. 50.
Type of Family								00
Married-couple families With related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present	681 559 545 489 53 36	24.1 28.3 60.3 68.7 25.1 35.9	563 498 431 403 39 29	19.9 25.2 47.6 56.7 18.5 28.8	528 521 474 47	22.4 26.7 57.6 66.6 22.3 32.5	635 528 517 468 47 33	22. 26. 57. 65. 22. 32.
With related children under 18 years  Work Experience of Householder	55	00.5						
Total civilian householders	1 273 661 304 269 356 613	32.5 22.3 14.2 13.2 43.2 64.8	1 027 586 275 243 311 441	26.2 19.8 12.9 11.9 37.7 46.6	627 288 253 339	30.5 21.1 13.4 12.4 41.1 60.0	1 194 617 284 249 333 577	30 20 13 12 40 61

<sup>1</sup>Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

			Belo	w 100 percent of	the poverty level			
	Current pov definition	verty n					Poverty bu	idget cept
Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty	Number	Poverty
							Number	1416
233 816	33 700	14.4	23 010	اه	20 047	40.		
			25 015	5.0	26 917	12.4	28 296	12.1
21 340 41 107 27 845 72 157 44 549 26 818	5 115 8 305 4 616 7 938 4 397 3 330	24.0 20.2 16.6 11.0 9.9 12.4	3 778 5 701 3 717 5 924 3 098 801	17.7 13.9 13.4 8.2 7.0	4 591 7 099 4 236 7 013 3 863 2 114	21.5 17.3 15.2 9.7 8.7 7.9	4 453 6 877 4 179 6 856 3 898 2 034	20.9 16.7 15.0 9.5 8.7 7.6
ļ		İ					2 55 /	7.0
202 288 165 272 47 429	26 458 13 717 5 758	13.1 8.3 12.1	18 179 10 032 4 370	9.0 6.1 9.2	22 659 11 831 5 041	11.2 7.2 10.6	22 090 11 809 5 020	10.9 7.1 10.6
30 844 12 536 6 172 1 716 1 260 30 268 13 739	11 831 6 772 910 399 634 6 609 2 575	38.4 54.0 14.7 23.3 50.3 21.8	7 500 4 391 647 274 556 4 284 2 047	24.3 35.0 10.5 16.0 44.1 14.2	10 022 5 817 805 353 611 5 647	32.5 46.4 13.0 20.6 48.5 18.7	9 500 5 497 781 335 611 5 596	30.8 43.9 12.7 19.5 48.5 18.5
1 931 16 529 6 832	401 4 035 1 722	20.8 24.4 25.2	93 2 238 352	4.8 13.5 5.2	276 3 265 1 079	14.3 19.8 15.8	2 389 255 3 206 975	17.4 13.2 19.4 14.3
(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)
					` "	(-/	("")	(5)
49 374 58 749 79 195 46 498	6 531 8 303 12 792 6 074	13.2 14.1 16.2 13.1	3 819 5 510 9 186 4 504	7.7 9.4 11.6 9.7	5 391 7 212 11 010 5 303	10.9 12.3 13.9	5 171 7 074 10 833 5 218	10.5 12.0 13.7 11.2
İ								11.2
62 706	7 277	11.6	4 903	7.8	6 170	9.8	5 987	9.5
3 127 29 430 20 344 9 806	919 3 886 1 760 713	29.4 13.2 8.6 7.3	689 2 734 1 270 210	22.0 9.3 6.2 2.1	839 3 358 1 531 443	26.8 11.4 7.5 4.5	803 3 207 1 504 473	25.7 10.9 7.4 4.8
							***	4.0
25 349 14 804 13 259 5 894 2 175 1 225	2 283 1 716 1 516 890 458 414	9.0 11.6 11.4 15.1 21.0 33.8	1 461 1 107 1 057 669 311 299	5.8 7.5 8.0 11.3 14.3 24.4	1 885 1 419 1 332 784 377	7.4 9.6 10.0 13.3 17.3	1 839 1 356 1 266 773 378	7.3 9.2 9.5 13.1 17.4 30.6
					-	00.4	3/3	30.0
50 350 25 038	3 488 2 344	6.9 9.4	2 479 1 803	4.9 7.2	2 954 2 060	5.9 8.2	2 955 2 043	.5.9 8.2
10 129 6 832 2 228 1 072	3 498 3 124 292 194	34.5 45.7 13.1 18.1	2 213 2 005 210 135	21.9 29.4 9.4 12.6	2 958 2 667 258 171	29.2 39.0 11.6 16.0	2 782 2 492 251 162	27.5 36.5 11.2 15.1
				-	,		.02	13.1
61 994 47 786 37 693 35 788 10 093	7 258 3 574 1 577 1 242 1 997	11.7 7.5 4.2 3.5 19.8	4 888 2 760 1 289 1 035 1 471	7.9 5.8 3.4 2.9 14.6	6 155 3 114 1 381 1 095 1 734	9.9 6.5 3.7 3.1	5 972 3 033 1 363 1 081	9.6 6.3 3.6 3.0 16.5
	233 816  21 340 41 107 27 845 72 157 44 549 26 818  202 288 165 272 47 429 30 844 12 536 6 172 1 716 1 260 30 269 1 931 1 6 529 6 832  (NA) (NA) (NA) (NA) (NA) (NA) 20 344 9 806  21 349 22 288 10 72 29 430 20 344 9 806  25 349 14 804 13 259 5 806 25 349 10 129 6 832 2 228 1 072	Total Number  233 816 33 700  21 340 5 115 41 107 8 305 27 845 4 616 72 157 7 938 44 549 4 397 26 818 3 330  202 288 165 272 13 717 47 429 5 758  30 844 11 831 12 536 6 772 6 172 910 1 716 399 1 260 634 30 268 6 609 13 739 2 575 1 931 401 16 529 4 035 6 832 1 722  (NA) (NA) (NA) (NA) (NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	Total Number rate  233 816 33 700 14.4  21 340 5 115 24.0 41 107 8 305 20.2 27 845 4 616 16.6 72 157 7 938 11.0 44 549 4 397 9.9 26 818 3 330 12.4  202 288 26 458 13.1 165 272 13 717 8.3 11.5 36 6 772 54.0 6 172 910 14.7 1716 399 23.3 1 260 634 50.3 30 268 6 609 21.8 13 739 2 575 18.7 1 791 401 20.8 16 529 4 035 24.4 6 832 1 722 25.2  (NA) (NA) (NA) (RA) (RB) (NA) (RA) (RA) (RA) (NA) (RA) (RA) (RA) (RA) (RA) (RA) (RB) (RA) (RA) (RA) (RB) (RA) (RA) (RA) (RB) (RA) (RA) (RA) (RB) (RA) (RA) (RA) (RB) (RA) (RA) (RA) (RB) (RA) (RA) (RA) (RB) (RA) (RA) (RB) (RB) (RB) (RB) (RB) (RB) 25 349 8 303 14.1 29 430 3 886 13.2 20 344 1 760 8.6 9 806 713 7.3	Total   Number   Poverty definition   Number   Number	Current poverty definition	Total Number Poverty ratio Number Poverty rate Number Poverty rate Number Poverty rate Number Poverty rate Number Poverty rate Number Poverty rate Number Poverty Research Pover	Total Number Poverty nate Number Poverty rate	Total   Poverty Discontinuous   Poverty Discontinuo

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

—Con

			Belov	w 125 percent of	the poverty level	·		
Characteristic	Current pover definition	ty	Market value concept	e .	Recipient val concept	ue	Poverty bud share conce	
O I a a a c a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES								
All Races								
Persons								
Total	45 288	19.4	36 020	15.4	41 799	17.9	41 555	17.8
Age							8.450	20.0
Under 6 years	6 456 10 472 6 077 10 562 6 037 5 684	30.3 25.5 21.8 14.6 13.6 21.2	5 775 8 995 5 445 9 173 4 664 1 968	27.1 21.9 19.6 12.7 10.5 7.3	6 202 9 892 5 832 9 993 5 617 4 262	29.1 24.1 20.9 13.8 12.6 15.9	6 158 9 844 5 801 9 962 5 670 4 120	28.9 23.9 20.8 13.8 12.7 15.4
Family Status			Ì				22 222	16.3
In families	35 499 20 302 8 205	17.5 12.3 17.3	29 155 16 736 7 233	14.4 10.1 15.3	32 949 18 752 7 752	16.3 11.3 16.3	32 880 18 788 7 717	11.4 16.3
Female householder, no husband present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females	13 982 7 675 1 215 499 726 9 063 3 406 662 5 658	45.3 61.2 19.7 29.1 57.6 29.9 24.8 34.3 34.2	11 417 6 555 1 002 452 693 6 173 2 753 251 3 420	37.0 52.3 16.2 26.3 55.0 20.4 20.0 13.0 20.7	13 106 7 329 1 091 475 712 8 138 3 216 521 4 922	42.5 58.5 17.7 27.7 56.5 26.9 23.4 27.0 29.8	12 991 7 269 1 101 479 709 7 966 3 192 484 4 774	42.1 58.0 17.8 27.9 56.2 26.3 23.2 25.0 28.9
65 years and over	2 847	41.7	972	14.2	2 170	31.8	1 993	29.2
Residence Inside metropolitan areas Inside central cities Outside central cities Outside metropolitan areas	(AA) (AA) (AA) (AA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)
Region						,	ļ	
Northeast	8 613 10 912 17 441 8 322	17.4 18.6 22.0 17.9	6 248 8 646 14 179 6 947	12.7 14.7 17.9 14.9	7 786 10 125 16 101 7 787	15.8 17.2 20.3 16.7	7 670 10 082 16 026 7 776	15.5 17.2 20.2 16.7
Families	Ì				Ĭ		†	
Total	9 901	15.8	7 883	12.6	9 101	14.5	9 079	14.5
Age of Householder								06.4
Under 25 years	1 190 5 041 2 440 1 230	38.0 17.1 12.0 12.5	1 083 4 396 1 940 464	34.6 14.9 9.5 4.7	1 147 4 786 2 270 897	36.7 16.3 11.2 9.1	1 128 4 743 2 272 938	36.1 16.1 11.2 9.6
Size of Family							2 899	11.4
2 persons	3 290 2 247 2 080 1 177 585 522	13.0 15.2 15.7 20.0 26.9 42.6	2 266 1 819 1 807 1 039 499 452	8.9 12.3 13.6 17.6 22.9 36.9	2 888 2 086 1 963 1 121 546 497	11.4 14.1 14.8 19.0 25.1 40.6	2 069 2 060 1 950 1 119 556 495	13.9 14.7 19.0 25.6 40.4
Type of Family							A 05A	9.6
Married-couple families	5 298 3 426 4 208	10.5 13.7 41.5	4 162 3 026 3 397	8.3 12.1 33.5	4 818 3 235 3 928	9.6 12.9 38.8	4 854 3 217 3 868	12.8 38.3
With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	3 635 396 251	53.2 17.8 23.4	3 051 324 220	44.7 14.5 20.5	3 451 355 236	50.5 15.9 22.0	3 397 358 237	49. 16. 22.
Work Experience of Householder					0.000	140	9 017	14.
Total civilian householders	9 831 5 237 2 571 2 129 2 665 4 594	15.9 11.0 6.8 5.9 26.4 32.3	7 822 4 634 2 309 1 929 2 324 3 189	12.6 9.7 6.1 5.4 23.0 22.4	9 036 4 948 2 431 2 006 2 517 4 088	14.6 10.4 6.4 5.6 24.9 28.8	9 017 4 883 2 396 1 977 2 487 4 134	14. 10. 6. 5. 24. 29.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

—Con.

	,			. — В	elow 100 percent	t of the poverty le	evel			
Characteristic		Current defii	poverty nition		t value cept		nt value cept		budget concept	
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty	Number	Poverty	
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.		,							Tate	
White										
Persons					,					
Total	198 941	22 955	11.5	16 136	8.1	19 755	9.9	19 521	9.8	
Age									0.0	
Under 6 years 6 to 17 years 18 to 24 years 25 to 44 years 45 to 64 years 65 years and over 65	17 364 33 450 23 222 61 667 39 033 24 206	3 268 5 204 3 140 5 555 3 210 2 579	18.8 15.6 13.5 9.0 8.2 10.7	2 463 3 750 2 662 4 311 2 373 578	14.2 11.2 11.5 7.0 6.1 2.4	2 949 4 471 2 956 4 957 2 833 1 589	17.0 13.4 12.7 8.0 7.3 6.6	2 884 4 414 2 925 4 902 2 875 1 522	16.6 13.2 12.6 7.9 7.4 6.3	
Family Status									. 5.5	
In families Married-couple families Related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	171 839 147 327 41 558	17 299 10 920 4 508	10.1 7.4 10.8	12 332 8 106 3 477	7.2 5.5 8.4	14 895 9 450 3 972	8.7 6.4 9.6	14 700 9 449 3 966	8.6 6.4 9.5	
present. Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females 65 years and over	19 727 7 352 4 784 1 281 1 008 26 094 11 529 1 648 14 565 6 238	5 866 3 377 514 201 475 5 181 1 920 285 3 262	29.7 45.9 10.7 15.7 47.1 19.9 16.7 17.3 22.4	3 852 2 245 375 142 408 3 396 1 556 62 1 840	19.5 30.5 7.8 11.1 40.4 13.0 13.5 3.8	4 999 2 902 446 172 453 4 407 1 779 192 2 628	25.3 39.5 9.3 13.5 45.0 16.9 15.4 11.7 18.0	4 809 2 791 442 167 456 4 365 1 784 179 2 581	24.4 38.0 9.2 13.0 45.2 16.7 15.5 10.8	
Residence	0 230	1 402	22.5	277	4.4	863	13.8	779	12.5	
Inside metropolitan areas Inside central cities Outside central cities Outside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	
Region						, ,	(-,	,	(5)	
Northeast North Central South West	43 248 52 349 63 107 40 237	4 646 6 004 7 573 4 732	10.7 11.5 12.0 11.8	2 717 4 154 5 668 3 597	6.3 7.9 9.0 8.9	3 849 5 202 6 551 4 154	8.9 9.9 10.4 10.3	3 716 5 158 6 523 4 123	8.6 9.9 10.3 10.2	
Families										
Total	54 400	4 925	9.1	3 440	6.3	4 196	7.7	4 131	7.6	
Age of Householder			_							
Under 25 years	2 577 25 129 17 835 8 859	607 2 591 1 212 515	23.6 10.3 6.8 5.8	466 1 914 918 143	18.1 7.6 5.1 1.6	562 2 265 1 060 309	21.8 9.0 5.9 3.5	538 2 212 1 056 325	20.9 8.8 5.9 3.7	
Size of Family										
2 persons	22 711 12 743 11 517 4 894 1 704 831	1 655 1 152 1 026 595 277 220	7.3 9.0 8.9 12.2 16.3 26.5	1 078 795 755 465 186 161	4.7 6.2 6.6 9.5 10.9 19.4	1 360 972 913 530 227 194	6.0 7.6 7.9 10.8 13.3 23.3	1 343 952 884 526 228 198	5.9 7.5 7.7 10.7 13.4 23.8	
Type of Family										
Married-couple families	45 643 22 181 6 941	2 858 1 879	6.3 8.5	2 063 1 466	4.5 6.6	2 431 1 661	5.3 7.5	2 432 1 649	5.3 7.4	
With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	4 337 1 816 862	1 878 1 682 189 117	27.1 38.8 10.4 13.6	1 237 1 122 140 88	17.8 25.9 7.7 10.2	1.600 1 443 165 104	23.1 33.3 9.1 12.0	1 534 1 379 165 102	22.1 31.8 9.1 11.8	
Work Experience of Householder										
Total civilian householders Worked . Worked 50 to 52 weeks . Full time . Worked 1 to 49 weeks . Did not work last year .	53 829 42 102 33 497 31 906 8 606 11 727	4 914 2 615 1 171 958 1 445 2 299	9.1 6.2 3.5 3.0 16.8 19.6	3 433 2 115 1 009 843 1 105 1 318	6.4 5.0 3.0 2.6 12.8 11.2	4 189 2 339 1 063 881 1 275 1 850	7.8 5.6 3.2 2.8 14.8	4 123 2 300 1 057 877 1 243	7.7 5.5 3.2 2.7 14.4	

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

-Con.

. L			Belo	w 125 percent of th	ne poverty level			
Characteristic	Current pover definition	ty	Market valu concept	ie	Recipient va concept	lue	Poverty but share cond	iget ept
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.								
White								
Persons				Ì				
Total	32 059	16.1	25 613	12.9	29 667	14.9	29 494	14.8
Age								
Under 6 years	4 340 6 780 4 284 7 575 4 490 4 590	25.0 20.3 18.4 12.3 11.5 19.0	3 962 5 905 3 970 6 692 3 563 1 521	22.8 17.7 17.1 10.9 9.1 6.3	4 202 6 442 4 159 7 240 4 210 3 414	24.2 19.3 17.9 11.7 10.8 14.1	4 171 6 414 4 149 7 227 4 250 3 283	24.0 19.2 17.9 11.7 10.9 13.6
Family Status			į					
In families Married-couple families Related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	24 230 16 396 6 530	14.1 11.1 15.7	20 146 13 680 5 846	11.7 9.3 14.1	22 631 15 239 6 215	13.2 10.3 15.0	22 615 15 279 6 188	13.2 10.4 14.9
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females	7 118 3 892 715 268 552 7 278 2 566 507 4 712	36.1 52.9 14.9 20.9 54.7 27.9 22.3 30.8 32.4	5 885 3 370 581 235 522 4 944 2 098 184 2 846	29.8 45.8 12.1 18.4 51.8 18.9 18.2 11.2	6 736 3 754 656 255 538 6 498 2 424 391 4 075	34.1 51.1 13.7 19.9 53.4 24.9 21.0 23.7 28.0 29.2	6 678 3 720 658 258 535 6 344 2 402 360 3 943 1 662	33.9 50.6 13.6 20.1 53.1 24.0 20.6 21.6 27.1 26.7
65 years and over	2 414	38.7	807	12.9	1 819	29.2	1 662	20.7
Residence  Inside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(AA) (AA) (AA) (AA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(AA) (AA) (AA) (AA)	(B (B (B (B
Region	()	(-)	(,	(-,	( ,	(-,	````	•
Northeast	6 292 8 178 10 965 6 624	14.5 15.6 17.4 16.5	4 529 6 557 8 978 5 550	10.5 12.5 14.2 13.8	5 653 7 617 10 169 6 228	13.1 14.6 16.1 15.5	5 602 7 551 10 134 6 207	13.0 14.4 16.1 15.4
Families								
Total	6 981	12.8	5 595	10.3	6 452	11.9	6 450	11.9
Age of Householder								
Under 25 years	838 3 493 1 729 921	32.5 13.9 9.7 10.4	770 3 086 1 416 323	29.9 12.3 7.9 3.6	815 3 340 1 621 675	31.6 13.3 9.1 7.6	804 3 318 1 623 704	31.3 13.2 9.7 7.5
Size of Family								
2 persons	2 458 1 560 1 480 823 375 285	10.8 12.2 12.9 16.8 22.0 34.2	1 681 1 295 1 303 741 320 255	7.4 10.2 11.3 15.1 18.8 30.7	2 173 1 456 1 406 792 348 275	9.6 11.4 12.2 16.2 20.4 33.1	2 190 1 448 1 398 789 353 272	9.0 11 12. 16. 20. 32.
Type of Family								
Married-couple families	4 401 2 784	9.6 12.6	3 489 2 492	7.6 11.2	4 022 2 646	8.8 11.9	4 048 2 635	8.9 11.9
present	2 318 1 985 263 158	33.4 45.8 14.5 18.3	1 892 1 697 214 139	27.3 39.1 11.8 16.1	2 189 1 911 241 151	31.5 44.1 13.3 17.5	2 161 1 883 241 151	31. 43. 13. 17.
Work Experience of Householder								
Total civilian householders	6 932   3 939 1 938 1 647 2 001 2 993	12.9 9.4 5.8 5.2 23.3 25.5	5 551 3 546 1 784 1 526 1 762 2 006	10.3 8.4 5.3 4.8 20.5	6 405 3 761 1 854 1 573 1 906 2 645	11.9 8.9 5.5 4.9 22.1 22.6	6 406 3 732 1 844 1 563 1 888 2 674	11. 8. 5. 4. 21. 22.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

Characteristic	<b>I</b>			Market value Recipient va		value Poverty budget			
	L	defir	poverty sition	Market		Recipient v concep		Poverty b share co	
	Total	Number	· Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.									
Black									
Persons				į				İ	
Total	28 087	9 490	33.8	5 976	21.3	8 060	28.7	7 696	. 27.4
Age									
Under 6 years	3 220 6 260 3 787 8 177 4 405 2 238	1 645 2 768 1 286 2 025 1 056 710	51.1 44.2 34.0 24.8 24.0 31.7	1 164 1 739 899 1 324 642 207	36.1 27.8 23.7 16.2 14.6 9.3	1 460 2 349 1 109 1 732 913 496	45.3 37.5 29.3 21.2 20.7 22.2	1 392 2 195 1 085 1 633 909 482	43.2 35.1 28.7 20.0 20.6 21.5
Family Status									
In families Married-couple families Related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	24 387 12 865 4 113	8 104 2 122 923	33.2 16.5 22.4	5 117 1 434 663	21.0 11.1 16.1	6 855 1 802 790	28.1 14.0 19.2	6 504 1 786 780	26.7 13.9 19.0
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females	10 384 4 884 1 137 359 199 3 501 1 827 251 1 674	5 666 3 234 315 162 132 1 255 568 109 687	54.6 66.2 27.7 45.2 66.2 35.8 31.1 43.4 41.0	3 467 2 047 216 109 121 738 410 27 328	33.4 41.9 19.0 30.5 60.7 21.1 22.4 10.8 19.6	4 776 2 782 277 144 131 1 074 518 79	46.0 57.0 24.4 40.3 65.8 30.7 28.3 31.3	4 460 2 582 258 132 128 1 064 520 71	42.9 52.9 22.7 36.9 64.3 30.4 28.5 28.3
65 years and over	544	308	56.6	71	13.0	556 207	33.2 38.1	544 188	32.5 34.5
Residence									. •
nside metropolitan areas Inside central cities Outside central cities Outside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)
Region								, ,	
Northeast North Central South	5 291 5 580 14 918 2 298	1 754 2 112 5 012 612	33.2 37.9 33.6 26.6	985 1 216 3 342 432	18.6 21.8 22.4 18.8	1 420 1 849 4 273 517	26.8 33.1 28.6 22.5	1 333 1 755 4 124 484	25.2 31.5 27.6 21.1
Families	ľ		,						
Total	6 778	2 094	30.9	1 282	18.9	1 749	25.8	1 636	24.1
Age of Householder  Under 25 years	479 3 467 2 003 829	291 1 145 470 188	60.8 33.0 23.5 22.7	209 707 301 65	43.7 20.4 15.0 7.8	258 961 402 128	53.9 27.7 20.1 15.5	248 864 383 142	51.7 24.9 19.1 17.1
Size of Family					` "	120	15.5	142	
2 persons	2 261 1 730 1 358 762 366 300	582 520 426 249 151 165	25.7 30.0 31.4 32.7 41.3 55.0	352 277 258 173 102 119	15.6 16.0 19.0 22.7 27.9 39.7	486 406 363 218 125 152	21.5 23.4 26.7 28.5 34.1 50.7	456 364 330 210 125 152	20.2 21.0 24.3 27.6 34.1 50.7
Type of Family					Ì				••••
Married-couple families	3 469 2 001	479 331	13.8 16.6	305 238	8.8 11.9	394 284	11.4 14.2	393 279	11.3 13.9
oresent With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	2 964 2 335 344 175	1 533 1 364 82 62	51.7 58.4 23.8 35.5	923 832 54 37	31.1 35.6 15.6 21.3	1 284 1 157 71 53	43.3 49.5 20.7 30.4	1 179 1 052 64 46	39.8 45.0 18.6 26.3
Nork Experience of Householder				-		~	55.7	***	20.3
Total civilian householders  Norked  Worked 50 to 52 weeks  Full time  Worked 1 to 49 weeks	6 678 4 500 3 234 2 968 1 266	2 085 839 360 250 479	31.2 18.6 11.1 8.4 37.9	1 275 543 237 161	19.1 12.1 7.3 5.4	1 742 666 274 183	26.1 14.8 8.5 6.2	1 629 623 263 173	24.4 13.8 8.1 5.8

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

-Con.

<u> -</u>	<del></del>		Reió	w 125 percent of t	ne poverty level			
Characteristic	Current pove definition	rtý	Market valu concept	е	Recipient val concept	ue	Poverty but share conc	lget ept '
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.								:
Black							,	
Persons								
Total	11 629	41.4	9 005	32.1	10 636	37.9	10 548	37.6
Age								
Under 6 years	1 878 3 284 1 558 2 536 1 352 1 022	58.3 52.5 41.1 31.0 30.7 45.6	1 593 2 730 1 263 2 061 947 412	49.5 43.6 33.4 25.2 21.5 18.4	1 771 3 068 1 446 2 321 1 238 792	55.0 49.0 38.2 28.4 28.1 35.4	1 757 3 045 1 427 2 301 1 237 781	54.6 48.6 37.7 28.1 28.1 34.9
Family Status								
In families  Married-couple families  Related children under 18 years  Female householder, no husband	9 930 2 976 1 258	40.7 23.1 30.6	7 835 2 248 1 014	32.1 17.5 24.7	9 075 2 672 1 151	37.2 20.8 28.0	9 006 2 649 1 137	36.9 20.6 27.6
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females	6 543 3 611 411 192 137 1 561 727 144 834	63.0 73.9 36.2 53.4 69.0 44.6 39.8 57.5 49.8	5 250 3 032 337 178 134 1 036 551 62 485	50.6 62.1 29.7 49.7 67.4 29.6 30.2 24.6 28.9	6 053 3 404 350 182 137 1 423 681 121 743	58.3 69.7 30.8 50.8 69.0 40.7 37.3 48.2 44.4	5 999 3 380 358 183 137 1 405 677 115 728	57.8 69.2 31.5 51.1 69.0 40.1 37.1 45.7
65 years and over	406	74.6	154	28.4	330	60.7	311	57.2
Residence	(NIA)	(8)	(NA)	(B)	(NA)	(B)	(NA)	(B)
Inside metropolitan areas Inside central cities Outside central cities Outside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B)
Region			ļ					
Northeast North Central South West	2 128 2 511 6 236 753	40.2 45.0 41.8 32.8	1 550 1 888 4 979 588	29.3 33.8 33.4 25.6	1 945 2 291 5 702 698	36.8 41.1 38.2 30.4	1 880 2 314 5 664 689	35.5 41.5 38.0 30.0
Families				ì				
Total	2 587	38.2	2 005	29.6	2 346	34.6	2 314	34.1
Age of Householder								
Under 25 years	323 1 372 603 290	67.5 39.6 30.1 35.0	289   1 148 436 133	60.4 33.1 21.8 16.0	304 1 278 556 208	63.6 36.9 27.8 25.1	296 1 256 544 218	61.9 36.2 27.2 26.3
Size of Family								
2 persons 3 persons 4 persons 5 persons 6 persons 6 persons 7 persons 7 persons or more	768 634 514 295 176 201	34.0 36.7 37.8 38.7 48.0 67.0	540 477 430 246 148 164	23.9 27.6 31.7 32.3 40.4 54.5	663 581 474 276 165 187	29.3 33.6 34.9 36.2 45.1 62.4	646 564 469 276 171 188	28.6 32.6 34.5 36.2 46.6 62.7
Type of Family								
Married-couple families	680 462	19.6 23.1	493 374	14.2 18.7	607 421	17.5 21.0	603 <sup>-</sup> 413	17.4 20.7
present	1 797 1 567 110 77	60.6 67.1 31.9 43.7	1 424 1 282 88 67	48.0 54.9 25.5 38.0	1 648 1 458 92 70	55.6 62.4 26.6 39.9	1 616 1 432 95 71	54.5 61.3 27.5 40.6
Work Experience of Householder	ļ							
Total civilian householders	2 573 1 147 564 427 583 1 426	38.5 25.5 17.4 14.4 46.0 65.5	1 995 949 461 353 488 1 046	29.9 21.1 14.3 11.9 38.5 48.0	2 335 1 042 510 381 533 1 292	35.0 23.2 15.8 12.8 42.1 59.3	2 303 1 007 486 364 522 1 296	34.5 22.4 15.0 12.3 41.2 59.5

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

—Con.

(Numbers in thousands. Persons and families as of March 1985. For meaning of symbols, see text)

l	<b> </b>		-	Below 100 percent of the poverty level					
Characteristic		Current po definition	verty on	Market va concep		Recipient v	alue t	Poverty bu share con	
	Total	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.									
Spanish Origin¹									
Persons									
· Total	16 916	4 806	28.4	3 413	20.2	4 197	24.8	4 113	24.3
Age	i								
Under 6 years 6 to 17 years 18 to 24 years 25 to 44 years 45 to 64 years 65 years and over	2 179 3 890 2 393 5 229 2 407 819	884 1 492 649 1 178 426 176	40.6 38.4 27.1 22.5 17.7 21.5	666 1 012 537 881 284 33	30.6 26.0 22.4 16.8 11.8 4.0	800 1 283 608 1 040 364 102	36.7 33.0 25.4 19.9 15.1 12.5	785 1 246 605 1 013 374 89	36.0 32.0 25.3 19.4 15.6 10.8
Family Status									
In families Married-couple families Related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband	15 293 11 465 4 273	4 192 2 298 1 178	27.4 20.0 27.6	2 932 1 808 952	19.2 15.8 22.3	3 640 2 033 1 055	23.8 17.7 24.7	3 559 2 024 1 048	23.3 17.7 24.5
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females	3 139 1 541 689 168 143 1 481 885 75 596	1 764 1 093 130 45 69 545 301 30 244	56.2 71.0 18.9 26.8 48.0 36.8 34.0 40.3	1 014 630 111 39 63 418 260	32.3 40.8 16.0 23.1 43.9 28.2 29.4	1 488 926 118 43 69 489 284	47.4 60.1 17.2 25.4 48.0 33.0 32.1 24.0	1 415 880 120 43 67 487 284	45.1 57.1 17.4 25.8 47.2 32.9 32.1 21.0
65 years and over	141	55	40.9 39.3	158 4	26.5 2.7	204 29	34.3 20.8	203 21	34.1 15.0
Residence									
Inside metropolitan areas Inside central cities Outside central cities Outside metropolitan areas	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)
Region					İ				
Northeast	3 143 1 415 5 352 7 007	1 216 427 1 391 1 772	38.7 30.1 26.0 25.3	639 334 1 094 1 346	20.3 23.6 20.4 19.2	1 047 387 1 225 1 538	33.3 27.4 22.9 21.9	988 385 1 206 1 535	31.4 27.2 22.5 21.9
Families		ļ							
Total	3 939	991	25.2	681	17.3	854	21.7	827	21.0
Age of Householder									
Under 25 years. 25 to 44 years 45 to 64 years 65 years and over	341 2 187 1 112 299	138 599 197 58	40.4 27.4 17.7 19.4	114 420 127 20	33.4 19.2 11.4 6.6	129 523 165 37	37.8 23.9 14.8 12.4	126 503 163 35	36.9 23.0 14.7 11.8
Size of Family									
2 persons. 3 persons. 4 persons. 5 persons. 6 persons. 7 persons or more.	962 948 936 552 276 266	182 225 222 170 82 111	18.9 23.7 23.7 30.7 29.6 41.7	120 143 153 124 60 81	12.5 15.1 16.4 22.4 21.7 30.5	151 189 195 152 70 97	15.7 19.9 20.9 27.5 25.5 36.4	144 178 188 147 72 97	15.0 18.8 20.1 26.7 25.9 36.7
Type of Family		ì				- 1		•	00.7
Married-couple families	2 824 1 977	469	16.6 20.2	361 321	~ 12:8 16.2	407 355	14.4 18.0	405 352	14.3 17.8
present	905 711 210 100	483 447 39 26	53.4 62.8 18.4 26.3	288 266 32 22	31.8 37.4 15.3 22.2	412 381 34 24	45.5 53.5 16.4 24.4	388 358 35 25	42.8 50.4 16.5 24.7
Work Experience of Householder						-	-		24.7
Total civilian householders	3 913 2 967 2 143 2 037 825	989 453 173 147 280	25.3 15.3 8.1 7.2 34.0	679 373 148 125 225	17.4 12.6 6.9 6.1 27.3	852 402 153 129 248	21.8 13.5 7.2 6.3 30.1	825 397 152 127 245	21.1 13.4 7.1 6.2 29.7

Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 2. Poverty Status of Persons and Families, by the Official Poverty Definition and Alternative Methods of Valuing Noncash Benefits, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

-Con.

				w 125 percent of the				
Characteristic	Current pove definition	erty	Market valu concept	ie	Recipient va concept	llue	Poverty but share conc	
	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty rate	Number	Poverty
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.								· · · · ·
Spanish Origin¹								
Persons						-		
Total	6 100	36.1	5 108	30.2	5 759	34.0	5 745	34.0
Age								
Under 6 years 6 to 17 years 18 to 24 years 25 to 44 years 45 to 64 years 65 years and over	1 080 1 791 835 1 521 588 284	49.6 46.1 34.9 29.1 24.4 34.7	984 1 551 742 1 319 440 71	45.2 39.9 31.0 25.2 18.3 8.6	1 043 1 716 785 1 452 555 208	47.9 44.1 32.8 27.8 23.0 25.4	1 037 1 709 788 1 452 551 208	47.6 43.9 32.6 27.8 22.9 25.4
Family Status								
In families	5 347 3 203 1 575	35.0 27.9 36.9	4 516 2 786 1 427	29.5 24.3 33.4	5 059 3 025 1 498	33.1 26.4 35.1	5 046 3 016 1 496	33.0 26.3 35.0
present Related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present Related children under 18 years In unrelated subfamilies Unrelated individuals Males 65 years and over Females	1 970 1 169 174 58 79 674 364 40	62.8 75.9 25.3 34.3 55.2 45.5 41.1 53.8 52.0	1 603 995 127 45 78 514 313 8	51.1 64.6 18.5 26.8 54.4 34.7 35.4 10.1 33.7	1 879 1 140 155 52 79 621 • 352 31 268	59.9 74.0 22.5 30.9 55.2 41.9 39.8 41.5 45.0	1 877 1 131 152 51 79 620 352 30 268	59.8 73.4 22.1 30.5 55.2 41.9 39.8 45.0
65 years and over	. 92	65.6	16	11.6	55	39.4	55	39.1
Residence Inside metropolitan areas	(NA)	(B)	(NA)	(B)	(NA)	(B)	(NA)	
Inside central cities	(NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)	(NA) (NA) (NA) (NA)	(B) (B) (B) (B)
Region						.		
Northeast North Central South West	1 440 532 1 782 2 346	45.8 37.6 33.3 33.5	1 082 452 1 543 2 031	34.4 31.9 28.8 29.0	1 315 521 1 691 2 233	41.8 36.8 31.6 31.9	1 317 521 1 687 2 220	41.9 36.8 31.5 31.7
Families					.			
Total	· 1 278	32:5	1 048	26.6	1 208	30.7	1 199	30.4
Age of Householder							,	
Under 25 years 25 to 44 years 45 to 64 years 65 years and over	177 741 274 87	51.8 33.9 24.6 29.2	161 645 210 32	47.2 29.5 18.9 10.6	166 715 254 74	48.6 32.7 22.9 24.6	164 710 252 73	48.0 32.5 22.7 24.5
Size of Family								
2 persons	254 280 284 218 103 139	26.4 29.5 30.4 39.5 37.5 52.4	173 222 240 200 88 125	18.0 23.4 25.7 36.2 31.9 46.9	237 262 271 209 97 134	24.6 27.7 28.9 37.8 35.0 50.3	234 256 269 209 98 134	24.3 27.0 28.7 37.8 35.7 50.4
Type of Family								• •
Married-couple families	681 559	24.1 28.3	571 500	20.2 25.3	639 528	22.6 26.7	635 528	22.5 26.7
present With related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years	545 489 53 36	60.3 68.7 25.1 35.9	438 409 40 29	48.4 57.5 18.8 28.8	521 474 48 33	57.6 66.6 22.7 33.3	517 468 47 33	57.2 65.8 22.3 32.5
Work Experience of Householder					·			
Total civilian householders	1 273 661 304 269 356 613	32.5 22.3 14.2 13.2 43.2 64.8	1 042 591 276 243 315	26.6 19.9 12.9 11.9 38.2 47.7	1 203 627 288 253 339 576	30.7 21.1 13.4 12.4 41.1 60.9	1 194 617 284 249 333	30.5 20.6 13.3 12.2 40.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race.

Table 3. Number of Families and Unrelated Individuals Receiving Noncash Benefits and Average Benefits Received, by Type of Benefit and Valuation Method, by Poverty Status: 1984

	ļ	То	tal			Below the p	overty level			Above the p	overty level	
Type of Benefit	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS		·										
Families												
Total	:											
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals:	31 136	2 038	829	768	5 963	3 637	1 843	1 988	25 173	1 659	589	479
Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Housing and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits.	18 911 2 132 16 731 25 528 13 528 365 11 635 4 577 511 3 841 225 1 031	516 1 577 3 008 1 461 217 1 371 2 910 4 283 2 365 4 487 5 162 6 360	497 1 091 842 536 212 784 905 1 869 1 711 1 865 2 298 3 472	512 1 354 678 430 216 939 664 1 930 2 114 1 912 1 816 3 960	5 074 1 259 4 109 2 375 1 533 54 788 2 697 266 2 383 47 891	1 387 1 726 3 037 1 571 767 (B) 3 130 4 546 2 966 4 724 (B) 6 394	1 318 1 181 686 758 723 (B) 809 2 221 2 226 2 218 (B) 3 591	1 373 1 614 694 741 760 (B) 648 2 365 2 802 2 320 (B) 4 168	13 837 873 12 622 23 153 11 995 311 10 847 1 880 245 1 458 177 140	197 1 363 2 999 1 450 147 1 320 2 894 3 907 1 711 4 100 5 349 6 146	196   961   893   513   146   745   912   1 364   1 149   1 289   2 281   2 710	196 978 673 399 146 834 665 1 306 1 364 1 246 1 714 2 637
Two-Person Families, Householder Under 65 Years						,						
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving two benefits Food and Over Receiving three benefits Two-Person Families, Householder Food and Over Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing	3 700 2 088 629 2 336 2 635 1 078 170 1 386 779 116 607 55 287 7 799 280	2 066 539 1 468 2 395 1 403 1 86 1 252 2 367 3 304 (B) 4 798	826 509 826 631 475 176 686 41 1 442 1 231 1 476 (B) 2 381	845 533 1 280 518 406 185 923 515 1 523 1 722 1 479 (B) 3 037	1 192 950 330 923 424 203 17 204 526 49 455 22 242	2 894 935 1 725 2 161 1 364 405 (B) 2 285 3 242 (B) 3 271 (B) 4 820	1 394 871 1 031 537 516 357 (B) 621 1 586 (B) 1 567 (B) 2 515	1 584 922 1 604 523 505 405 (B) 1 702 (B) 1 621 (B) 3 216	2 508 1 138 1 298 1 413 2 210 875 153 1 183 253 1 183 253 45 7 306	1 672 209 1 183 2 549 1 410 135 1 193 2 381 3 433 (B) 3 761 (B) (B)	556 208 599 693 467 134 582 698 1 143 (B) 1 204 (B) 1 018 409	494 208 920 515 387 134 852 514 1 152 (B) 1 055 (B) (B) 407 1 089
Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits.  Three-Person Families	7 799 7 405 - 7 404 369 - 253 115 26	3 096 2 993 (B) 2 993 5 631 (B) 5 777 5 309 (B)	981 980 (B) 980 1 908 (B) 1 495 2 819 (B)	671 671 (B) (B) 671 1 380 (B) 1 184 1 811 (B)	493 353 - 353 126 - 114 12 15	4 021 3 410 (B) 3 410 5 834 (B) 5 954 (B) (B)	954 946 (B) 946 1 794 (B) 1 589 (B) (B)	676 672 (B) 672 1 390 (B) 1 287 (B) (B)	7 306 7 052 	3 034 2 972 (B) 2 972 5 525 (B) 5 633 5 380 (B)	982 982 (B) (B) 982 1 968 (B) 1 418 2 712 (B)	671 (671 (B) (B) 671 1 375 (B) 1 100 1 747 (B)
Receiving at least one benefit, total	6 215	1 834	752	761	1 418	3 207	1 640	1 875	4 796	1 428	489	431
Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	4 359 562 2 948 4 832 3 007 112 1 713 1 109 147 932 30 273	456 1 680 2 872 1 178 160 1 517 2 942 3 728 2 477 3 917 (B) 5 757	436 958 757 411 155 782 834 1 638 1 674 1 635 (B)	450 1 385 674 354 157 856 668 1 766 2 191 1 693 (B) 3 873	1 260 957 509 360 18 131 664 83 572 8 245	1 154 1 754 2 582 1 270 515 (B) 3 312 3 798 2 911 2 913 (B) 5 630	1 091 996 625 573 482 (B) 787 1 888 2 050 1 872 (B) 3 187	1 141 1 661 683 575 496 (B) 676 2 109 2 755 2 014 (B) 3 947	3 099 207 1 991 4 323 2 647 94 1 582 446 64 360 21 28	171 1 554 3 011 1 167 111 1 522 2 911 3 625 (B) 3 894 (B) (B)	170 894 820 391 1111 7774 838 1 265 (B) 1 259 (B)	169 1 016 670 328 111 753 667 1 256 (B) 1 183 (B) (B)

Table 3. Number of Families and Unrelated Individuals Receiving Noncash Benefits and Average Benefits Received, by Type of Benefit and Valuation Method, by Poverty Status: 1984—Con.

	,,	То	tal			Below the p	overty level			Above the	poverty level	**
Type of Benefit	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS—CON.		·										
Families-Con.												
Four-Person Families												
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food	6 998 4 6 311 427 1 704 5 772 5 104 577 611 1 008 132 856 19 218	1 152 384 1 489 2 936 447 160 (B) 2 764 4 026 2 189 4 277 (B) 6 542	570 369 917 744 235 157 (B) 803 1 865 1 598 1 899 (B) 3 461	619 383 1 358 782 234 159 (B) 783 2 017 2 044 2 012 (B) 4 348	1 252 1 202 265 796 434 385 6 444 625 66 559 1	3 589 1 400 1 652 2 982 873 663 (B) (B) 4 492 (B) 4 685 (B) 6 782	1 894 1 322 868 696 637 626 (B) 2 258 (B) 2 284 (B) 3 548	2 174 1 394 1 608 781 687 662 (B) (B) 2 480 (B) 2 440 (B) 4 537	5 746 5 109 162 908 5 338 4 719 52 567 382 67 298 18 25	621 145 1 223 2 895 412 119 (B) 2 775 3 264 (B) 3 513 (B) (B)	281 145 998: 787 202 118 (B) 810 1 222 (B) 1 179 (B) (B)	280 145 947 784 197 118 (B) 783 1 260 (B) 1 209 (B) (B)
Five-Person Families			!									
Receiving at least one benefit, total  Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical  Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical  Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	3 842 3 539 191 960 3 093 2 791 21 280 651 70 579 2	1 364 482 1 610 3 361 526 239 (B) 3 303 4 406 (B) 4 614 (B) 7 574	696 470 1 477 759 288 233 (B) 776 2 050 (B) 2 014 (B) 4 541	699 479 1 401 752 294 239 (B) 760 2 053 (B) 2 027 (B) 4 457	750 750 131 424 360 319 111 30 353 39 313 2 80	3 471 1 547 1 681 3 230 1 154 825 (B) (B) 4 934 (B) 5 129 (B) 7 439	2 042 1 490 1 474 727 785 774 (B) (B) 2 717 (B) 2 694 (B) 4 723	2 107 1 533 1 562 743 848 824 (B) (B) 2 777 (B) 2 745 (B) 4 818	3 049 2 788 60 535 2 733 2 472 10 250 297 31 266 - 19	816 195 (B) 3 466 443 163 (B) 3 172 3 778 (B) 4 009 (B) (B)	345 195 (B) 785 223 163 (B) 777 1 259 (B) 1 215 (B)	333 195 (B) 760 222 163 (B) 763 1 193 (B) 1 184 (B) (B)
Six-Person Families				i								
Receiving at least one benefit, total  Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical  Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical  Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	1 609 1 451 106 547 1 197 1 040 4 153 327 17 309 1 84	2 085 825 1 748 3 604 416 (B) 3 168 5 291 (B) 5 447 (B) 8 315	1 101 800 1 907 745 454 409 (B) 757 2 324 (B) 2 351 (B) 5 539	1 108 817 1 561 789 467 415 (B) 819 2 421 (B) 2 428 (B) 5 121	425 414 87 272 155 145 2 9 190 6 184	4 977 2 087 1 814 4 011 1 451 1 329 (B) 6 387 (B) 6 489 (B) 8 504	2 876 2 001 2 156 755 1 263 1 284 (B) 3 047 (B) 3 047 (B) 5 653	2 860 2 060 1 719 780 1 292 1 323 (B) 3 142 (B) 3 135 (B) 5 260	1 184 1 037 19 275 1 042 896 2 144 137 11 125	1 048 320 (B) 3 201 669 268 (B) 3 147 3 763 (B) 3 909 (B) (B)	464 320 (B) 736 335 268 (B) 757 1 316 (B) 1 324 (B)	480 320 (B) 798 344 268 (B) 820 1 416 (B) 1 383 (B) (B)
Seven-or-More-Person Families												
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing Housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	973 883 76 437 594 507 - 87 334 28 303 3	3 338 1 335 1 957 4 393 1 038 669 (B) 3 194 6 470 (B) 6 727 (B)	1 636 1 295 1 485 768 673 653 (B) 790 2 805 (B) 2 787 (B)	1 757 1 323 1 606 961 706 665 (B) 946 3 047 (B) 3 037 (B)	390 63 245 140 122 - 188 213 23 187 3	5 711 2 465 (B) 4 891 2 033 1 624 (B) (B) 7 200 (B) 7 637 (B)	2 996 2 371 (B) 766 1 454 1 556 (B) (B) 3 414 (B) 3 496 (B) (B)	3 194 2 440 (B) 957 1 520 1 606 (B) 3 667 (B) 3 710 (B)	584 514 12 193 455 386 - 69 122 5 116	1 754 523 (B) 3 762 733 367 (B) (B) 5 193 (B) 5 268 (B) (B)	728 523 (B) 769 433 367 (B) (B) 1 741 (B) 1 745 (B) (B)	798 521 (B) 965 456 367 (B) 1 963 (B) 1 958 (B) (B) (B)

Table 3. Number of Families and Unrelated Individuals Receiving Noncash Benefits and Average Benefits Received, by Type of Benefit and Valuation Method, by Poverty Status: 1984—Con.

		Tot	tal			Below the p	overty level			Above the p	overty level	
Type of Benefit	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND ALL MEDICAL BENEFITS—CON.												
FamiliesCon.												
Female Householder, No Husband Present												
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical. Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits.	5 332 4 926 1 189 2 848 2 112 82 294 2 058 291 1 737 30 786	2 722 1 010 1 665 2 654 615 283 1 417 2 779 3 957 2 468 4 202 (B) 6 151	1 478 963 1 113 636 353 276 879 759 2 060 1 836 2 097 (B) 3 511	1 642 999 1 554 697 367 282 1 281 719 2 235 2 309 2 222 (B) 4 126	2 864 2 736 909 2 210 578 466 23 89 1 583 166 1 400 17 704	4 062 1 576 1 761 2 588 1 045 647 (B) 2 988 4 218 2 995 4 361 (B) 6 185	2 261 1 494 1 150 608 640 619 (B) 665 2 265 2 263 2 265 3 583	2 555 1 560 1 672 692 685 646 (B) 678 2 472 2 883 2 423 4 (B) 4 274	2 468 2 191 280 638 1 910 1 646 60 205 475 125 338 13	1 166 302 1 353 2 882 485 180 (B) 2 689 3 088 1 770 3 544 (B) 5 863	569 300 994 735 267 179 (B) 800 1 380 1 270 1 400 (B) 2 896	583 299 1 170 717 271 179 (B) 737 1 445 1 548 1 387 (B) 2 865
Unrelated Individuals							-					
Total  Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and housing Food and medical Housing at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving medical Receiving at least one benefit, total Receiving medical Receiving one benefit only	2 002 1 571 10 246 8 817 597 249 7 971 1 991 56 1 008 927 340 2 590 1 341 576 1 703 1 706	2 367 421 1 483 2 265 1 857 3 81 1 979 1 995 3 941 979 1 995 3 722 4 326 6 357 2 247 454 1 197 2 656	751 390 1 434 521 517 325 647 527 1 388 (B) 906 1 925 3 108	648 408 777 506 494 368 71 1 145 873 1 482 1 719 470 437 423 446	3 653 1 549 729 3 188 2 147 357 71 1 719 1 200 38 848 314 306	3 064 457 1 653 2 911 2 004 483 (B) 3 796 6 5 234 6 404 2 464 520 1 374 2 628	958 421 1 726 499 496 401 (B) 503 1 215 (B) 921 1 978 3 193 790 468 972 432	771 443 868 470 473 472 (B) 486 1 065 (B) 883 1 611 1 709 575	7 495 453 7 058 6 671 178 6 252 791 18 160 613 34	2 027 296 1 336 1 974 1 810 229 958 3 688 (B) 3 329 3 860 (B) 1 907 278 980 2 712	650 284 1 181 531 523 212 581 534 1 650 (B) 827 1 898 (B) 519 263 604 467	588 287 699 522 501 214 34 525 1 267 (B) 820 1 415 (B) 304 267 35 346
Receiving one benefit only Food Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits 65 Years and Over	588 244 873 739 55 553 131	1 535 378 977 2 470 3 290 (B) 3 068 4 958 5 305	437 323 646 455 999 (B) 905 1 325 1 996	311 365 58 346 743 (B) 846 427 944	882 349 71 462 563 37 453 73 136	1 475 480 (B) 2 295 3 315 (B) 3 078 (B) 5 362	444 400 (B) 421 1 031 (B) 938 (B) 2 043	380 469 (B) 345 791 (B) 866 (B) 956	824 239 174 411 177 18 100 58	1 599 228 955 2 667 3 209 (B) 3 026 (B)	429 210 578 492 897 (B) 756 (B)	238 213 16 346 590 (B) 753 (B)
Receiving at least one benefit, total  Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical.  Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical.  Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	8 558 661 995 8 544 7 112 9 4 7 098 1-252 1 455 795 195	2 403 355 1 649 2 187 1 934 (B) (B) 1 937 4 326 (B) 4 515 4 221 7 139	771 345 1 797 536 (B) (B) 536 1 617 (B) 908 2 024 3 935	702 347 1 185 538 538 (B) (B) 538 1 383 (B) 906 1 655 2 296	2 073 575 412 2 064 1 265 8 1 257 637 1 396 241 171	3 521 352 1 867 3 065 2 372 (B) 2 383 4 809 (B) 4 617 5 137 7 233	1 086 341 2 304 536 532 (B) (B) 533 1 378 (B) 902 2 160 4 108	920 344 1 455 538 (B) (B) 538 1 307 (B) 902 1 971 2 308	6 485 86 583 6 479 5 847 2 4 5 841 615 60 555 24	2 045 375 1 495 1 908 1 840 (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B) (B)	671 374 1 438 537 537 (B) 537 1 866 (B) (B) 1 965 (B)	632 373 994 538 (B) (B) 538 1 461 (B) (B) (B) (B)

Table 3. Number of Families and Unrelated Individuals Receiving Noncash Benefits and Average Benefits Received, by Type of Benefit and Valuation Method, by Poverty Status: 1984—Con.

		То	tal			Below the p	overty level			Above the p	poverty level	
Type of Benefit	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES											-	<del>-</del>
Families												
Total												
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals:	31 136	1 807	797	768	5 963	3 280	1 796	1 987	25 173	1 458	560	478
Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	18 911 2 132 16 731 25 528 13 528 365 11 635 4 577 511 3 841 225 1 031	516 1 577 2 578 1 301 217 1 371 2 560 3 707 2 365 3 844 4 417 5 886	497 1 091 783 513 212 784 854 1 796 1 711 1 783 2 212 3 406	512 1 354 677 430 216 939 663 1 930 2 114 1 912 1 816 3 960	5 074 1 259 4 109 2 375 1 533 54 788 2 697 266 2 383 47 891	1 387 1 726 2 519 1 345 767 (B) 2 448 4 092 2 966 4 220 5 982	1 318 1 181 617 730 723 (B) 724 2 161 2 226 2 151 (B) 3 531	1 373 1 614 694 741 760 (B) 648 2 365 2 802 2 320 (B) 4 168	13 837 873 12 622 23 153 11 995 311 10 847 1 880 245 1 458 177 140	197 1 363 2 597 1 297 147 1 320 2 568 3 154 1 711 3 228 4 531 5 274	196 961 837 490 146 745 863 1 273 1 149 1 181 2 194 2 605	196 978 672 398 146 834 665 1 305 1 364 1 245 1 714 2 637
Two-Person Families, Householder Under 65 Years				i		-						•
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals:	3 700	1 823	792	845	1 192	2 603	1 352	1 584	2 508	1 452	526	494
Food	2 088 629 2 336 2 635 1 078 170 1 386 779 116 607 55 287	539 1 468 2 011 1 234 186 1 252 2 047 2 855 1 905 2 922 (B) 4 429	509 826 578 451 176 641 642 1 383 1 231 1 411 (B) 2 321	533 1 280 518 406 185 923 515 1 523 1 722 1 479 (B) 3 037	950 330 923 424 203 17 204 526 49 455 22 242	935 1 725 1 784 1 135 405 (B) 1 809 2 916 (B) 2 916 (B) 4 496	871 1 031 482 487 357 (B) 560 1 538 (B) 1 516 (B) 2 460	922 1 604 523 505 405 (B) 517 1 702 (B) 1 621 (B) 3 216	1 138 298 1 413 2 210 875 153 1 183 253 67 152 34 45	209 1 183 2 159 1 254 135 1 193 2 088 2 730 (B) 2 939 (B)	208 599 640 444 134 582 656 1 060 (B) 1 097 (B) (B)	208 920 515 387 134 852 514 1 152 (B) 1 055 (B)
Two-Person Families, Householder 65 Years and Over												
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	7 799 280 141 7 799 7 405 - - 7 404 369 - 253 115 26	2 749 492 1 543 2 703 2 675 (B) (B) 2 675 3 974 (B) 3 682 4 616 (B)	976 492 1 970 923 931 (B) 931 1 702 (B) 1 230 2 739 (B)	710 491 1 188 671 671 (B) (B) 671 1 380 (B) 1 184 1 811 (B)	493 129 27 493 353 - 353 126 - 114 12 15	3 013 590 (B) 2 769 2 604 (B) 2 604 3 754 3 759 (B) 3 739 (B)	1 102 590 (B) 792 841 (B) (B) (B) 1 512 (B) 1 289 (B) (B)	917 590 (B) 675 672 (B) (B) 672 1 389 (B) 1 286 (B) (B)	7 306 151 114 7 306 7 052 - 7 052 243 - 140 103 11	2 731 409 1 512 2 699 2 679 (B) (B) 2 679 4 088 (B) 3 635 4 700 (B)	967 409 1 758 931 935 (B) (B) 935 1 800 (B) 1 182 2 637 (B)	696 407 1 089 671 671 (8) (8) 671 1 375 (8) 1 100 1 747 (8)
Three-Person Families												
Receiving at least one benefit, total  Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical  Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical  Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing Food and medical Receiving three benefits	6 215 4 359 562 2 948 4 832 3 007 112 1 713 1 109 147 932 30 273	1 633 456 1 680 2 447 1 040 1 517 2 554 3 262 2 477 3 366 (B) 5 502	723 436 958 696 391 155 782 779 1 573 1 674 1 560 (B) 3 144	761 450 1 385 674 354 157 856 667 1 766 2 191 1 693 (B) 3 873	1 418 1 260 355 957 509 360 18 131 664 83 572 8	2 969 1 154 1 754 2 229 1 135 (B) 2 784 3 473 2 911 3 554 (B) 5 416	1 603 1 091 996 571 555 482 (B) 715 1 839 2 050 1 816 (B) 3 145	1 875 1 141 1 601 683 574 496 (B) 675 2 109 2 755 2 014 (B) 3 947	4 796 3 099 207 1 991 4 323 2 647 94 1 582 446 64 360 21	1 237 171 1 554 2 552 1 029 111 1 522 2 535 2 947 (B) 3 068 (B)	463 170 894 757 372 111 774 785 1 176 (B) 1 152 (B) (B)	431 169 1 016 669 328 111 753 667 1 256 (B) 1 183 (B)

Table 3. Number of Families and Unrelated Individuals Receiving Noncash Benefits and Average Benefits Received, by Type of Benefit and Valuation Method, by Poverty Status: 1984—Con.

		Tot	tal .			Below the p	overty level		_	Above the p	poverty level	
Type of Benefit	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.												
Families-Con.												
Four-Person Families												
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals:	6 998	1 042	555	618	1 252	3 341	1 861	2 174	5 746	541	271	279
Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	6 311 427 1 704 5 772 5 104 57 611 1 008 132 856 19 218	384 1 489 2 483 401 160 (B) 2 332 3 607 2 189 3 804 (B) 6 149	369 917 684 228 157 (B) 745 1 809 1 598 1 836 (B) 3 411	383 1 358 781 233 159 (B) 781 2 016 2 044 2 011 (B) 4 348	1 202 265 796 434 385 6 44 625 66 559 1	1 400 1 652 2 592 843 (B) (B) 4 144 (B) 4 294 (B) 6 367	1 322 868 643 632 626 (B) 2 210 (B) 2 230 (B) 3 496	1 394 1 608 781 686 662 (B) (B) 2 479 (B) 2 440 (B) 4 537	5 109 162 908 5 338 4 719 52 567 382 67 298 18 25	145 1 223 2 387 365 119 (B) 2 332 2 730 (B) 2 886 (B) (B)	145 998 721 196 118 (B) 751 1 154 (B) 1 099 (B)	145 947 782 197 118 (B) 781 1 258 (B) 1 207 (B) (B)
Five-Person Families												
Receiving at least one benefit, total  Noncash benefits totals: Food  Housing Medical  Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical  Receiving by benefits Food and housing Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	3 842 3 539 191 960 3 093 2 791 21 20 651 70 579 2	1 210 482 1 610 2 744 457 239 (B) 2 542 3 921 (B) 4 069 4 069 6 922	677 470 1 477 685 280 233 (B) 688 1 992 (B) 1 948 (B) 4 459	699 1 479 1 401 752 294 239 (B) 760 2 052 (B) 2 027 (B) 4 457	750 131 424 360 319 11 30 353 39 313 2 80	3 250 1 547 1 681 2 816 1 046 825 (B) 4 645 (B) 4 803 7 007	2 016 1 490 1 474 678 779 774 (B) (B) 2 680 (B) 2 652 (B) 4 658	2 107 1 533 1 562 743 848 824 (B) (B) 2 777 (B) 2 745 (B) 4 818	3 049 1 2 788 60 535 2 733 2 472 10 250 297 31 266	679 195 (B) 2 686 379 163 (B) 2 475 3 061 (B) 3 208 (B) (B)	329 195 (B) 692 215 163 (B) 689 1 174 (B) 1 121 (B) (B)	333 (B) 759 221 163 (B) 762 1 192 (B) 1 183 (B) (B) (B)
Six-Person Families												
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	1 609 1 451 106 547 1 197 1 040 4 153 327 17 309 1 84	1 868 825 1 748 2 964 688 416 (B) 2 519 4 654 (B) 4 774 (B) 7 813	1 076 800 1 907 672 446 409 (B) 691 2 250 (B) 2 273 (B) 5 469	1 108 817 1 561 788 467 415 (B) 819 2 420 (B) 2 426 (B) 5 121	425 414 87 272 155 145 2 9 190 6 184 79	4 571 2 087 1 814 3 377 1 403 1 329 (B) 5 741 (B) 5 821 6 (B) 7 974	2 827 2 001 2 156 678 1 247 1 284 (B) 2 974 (B) 2 971 (B) 5 579	2 859 2 060 1 719 780 1 292 1 323 (B) (B) 3 142 (B) 3 135 (B) 5 259	1 184 1 037 19 275 1 042 896 2 144 137 11 125	898 320 (B) 2 556 581 268 (B) 2 510 3 140 (B) 3 227 (B) (B)	320 (B) 665 326 (B) 694 1 242 (B) 1 243 (B) (B)	479 320 (B) 797 344 268 (B) 819 1 413 (B) 1 380 (B) (B)
Seven-or-More-Person Families  Receiving at least one benefit, total	973	3 061	1 606	1 750	200	E oor	2.050	9.404	F0.4	4 576		<b></b> -
Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	883 76 437 594 507 - 87 334 28 303 3	1 335 1 957 3 776 972 669 (B) 2 743 5 826 (B) 6 024 (B) (B) (B)	1 606 1 295 1 485 700 665 653 (B) 734 2 736 (B) 2 712 (B)	1 756 1 323 1 606 959 706 665 (B) 944 3 045 (B) 3 035 (B) (B)	390 369 63 245 140 122 - 18 213 23 187 3	5 285 2 465 8) 4 212 1 838 1 624 6 586 6 949 (B)	2 952 2 371 (B) 696 1 433 1 556 (B) (B) 3 351 (B) 3 367 (B)	3 194 2 440 (B) 956 1 520 1 606 (B) (B) 3 666 (B) 3 709 (B)	584 514 12 193 455 386 - 69 122 5 116	1 576 523 (B) 3 2222 707 367 (B) (B) 4 488 (B) 4 541 (B)	707 523 (B) 705 429 367 (B) (B) 1 661 (B) 1 661 (B)	797 521 (B) 963 456 367 (B) (1 960 1 955 (B) (B)

Table 3. Number of Families and Unrelated Individuals Receiving Noncash Benefits and Average Benefits Received, by Type of Benefit and Valuation Method, by Poverty Status: 1984—Con.

		To	tal			Below the p	overty level			Above the	poverty level	
Type of Benefit	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares	Number (thou- sands)	Mean market value	Mean recipient value	Mean poverty budget shares
VALUING FOOD, HOUSING, AND MEDICAL BENEFITS, EXCLUDING INSTITUTIONAL EXPENDITURES—CON.		•										
FamiliesCon.												•
Female Householder, No Husband Present	<b>!</b>							,				
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Housing and medical Receiving two benefits	5 332 4 926 1 189 2 848 2 112 82 294 2 058 1 737 30 786	2 525 1 010 1 665 2 286 547 283 1 417 2 206 3 648 2 468 3 843 (B) 5 842	1 449 963 1 113 583 345 276 887 685 2 015 1 836 2 045 (B) 3 462	1 642 999 1 554 697 367 282 1 281 718 2 235 2 309 2 222 (B) 4 126	2 864 2 736 909 2 210 2 578 466 23 89 1 583 1 66 1 400 17 704	3 818 1 576 1 761 2 273 940 647 (B) 2 307 3 942 2 995 4 053 (B) 5 901	2 225 1 494 1 150 561 625 619 (B) 570 2 226 2 263 2 222 (B) 3 535	2 554 1 560 1 672 692 685 646 (B) 677 2 472 2 883 2 423 (B) 4 274	2 468 2 191 280 638 1 910 1 646 60 205 475 125 338 13 82	1 024 302 1 353 2 332 429 180 (B) 2 162 2 667 1 770 2 969 (B) 5 345	549 300 994 659 260 179 (B) 735 1 315 1 270 1 311 (B) 2 838	583 299 1 170 716 270 179 (B) 736 1 444 1 548 1 386 (B) 2 865
Unrelated Individuals	,											
Receiving at least one benefit, total Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	11 148 2 002 1 571 10 246 8 817 597 249 7 971 1 991 56 1 008 927 340	1 903 421 1 483 1 761 1 567 381 979 1 674 2 944 (B) 2 469 3 546 4 529	700 390 1 434 466 482 325 647 489 1 285 (B) 773 1 850 2 940	648 408 777 506 494 368 71 1 145 (B) 873 1 482 1 719	3 653 1 549 729 3 188 2 147 357 71 1 719 1 200 38 848 314 306	2 210 457 1 653 1 933 1 511 483 (B) 1 744 2 856 (B) 2 473 4 022 4 585	870 421 1 726 398 442 401 (B) 435 1 086 (B) 780 1 869 3 024	771 443 868 470 473 472 (B) 486 1 065 (B) 883 1 611 1 709	7 495 453 843 7 058 6 671 241 178 6 252 791 18 160 613 34	1 753 296 1 336 1 683 1 584 229 958 1 654 3 078 (B) 2 446 3 303 (B)	618 284 1 181 497 495 212 581 504 1 587 (B) 738 1 840	588 287 699 522 501 214 34 525 1 267 (B) 820 1 415 (B)
Under 65 Years  Receiving at least one benefit, total	2 590	1 864	639	470	1 580	1 999	735	575	1 010	1 653	488	304
Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical Receiving two benefits Food and housing Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Receiving two benefits	1 341 576 1 703 1 706 588 244 873 739 55 553 131	454 1 197 2 074 1 320 378 977 2 050 2 628 (B) 2 384 4 115 4 371	412 806 374 410 323 646 402 920 (B) 824 1 224 1 898	437 72 346 311 365 58 346 743 (B) 846 427 944	974 316 1 124 882 349 71 462 563 37 453 73	520 1 374 1 974 1 225 480 (B) 1 817 2 617 (B) 2 374 (B) 4 471	468 972 354 413 400 (B) 362 947 (B) 851 (B)	501 103 346 380 469 (B) 345 791 (B) 866 (B) 956	367 259 579 824 239 174 411 177 18 100 58 9	278 980 2 269 1 422 228 955 2 313 2 663 (B) (B)	263 604 414 416 210 578 446 835 (B) 698 (B)	267 35 346 236 213 16 346 590 (B 755 (B
65 Years and Over			1									
Receiving at least one benefit, total  Noncash benefits totals: Food Housing Medical  Receiving one benefit only Food Housing Medical  Receiving to benefits Food and housing Food and medical Housing and medical Housing and medical Receiving three benefits	8 558 661 995 8 544 7 112 9 4 7 098 1 252 1 455 795	1 915 355 1 649 1 696 (B) (B) 1 626 (B) 3 131 (B) 2 572 3 453 4 647	719 345 1 797 484 500 (B) (B) 500 1 501 (B) 712 1 953 3 716	702 347 1 185 538 538 (B) (B) 538 1 383 (B) 906 1 655 2 296	2 073 575 412 2 064 1 265 8 	2 371 352 1 867 1 911 (B) (B) 1 717 3 067 (B) 2 585 3 864 4 676	973 341 2 304 422 462 (B) (B) 2 1210 (B) 698 2 052 3 880	920 344 1 455 538 (B) (B) 538 1 307 (B) 902 1 971 2 308	6 485 86 583 6 479 5 847 5 841 615 - 60 5555 24	1 769 375 1 495 1 631 1 607 (B) 1 608 3 197 (B) (B) 3 274 (B)	638 374 1 438 504 508 (B) (B) 1 803 (B) (B) (B) 1 910 (B)	632 373 994 536 (B (B 1 46 (B (B 1 518 (B)

Table 4. Families and Unrelated Individuals Below the Poverty Thresholds Based on Income, Cash Transfers, and Noncash Transfers, by Selected Characteristics: 1984

	Pove	rty before tran	nsfers	Pov	erty after soci	al security ber	nefits		Poverty after a	Il cash transfe	ers
Characteristic	Number (thou- sands)	Poverty rate	Mean deficit	Number (thou- sands)	Poverty rate	Mean deficit	Mean amount in excess of poverty thresholds	Number (thou- sands)	Poverty rate	Mean deficit	Mean amount in excess of poverty thresholds
FAMILIES	-										
Total.  2 persons Under 65 years 65 years and over 3 persons 4 persons 5 persons 6 persons 7 persons or more	11 625 5 702 2 356 3 346 2 227 1 745 989 505 456	18.5 22.5 13.6 41.9 15.0 13.2 16.8 23.2 37.2	5 435 4 301 4 697 4 021 5 241 6 279 7 084 8 588 10 264	7 775 2 503 1 892 611 1 841 1 588 938 475 430	12.4 9.9 10.9 7.6 12.4 12.0 15.9 21.8 35.1	5 498 3 878 4 406 2 243 4 978 6 054 6 648 8 081 9 728	4 900 4 933 3 638 5 153 4 935 4 608 (B) (B)	7 277 2 283 1 785 498 1 716 1 516 890 458 414	11.6 9.0 10.3 6.2 11.6 11.4 15.1 21.0	4 141 3 001 3 350 1 751 3 586 4 476 5 039 6 113 7 391	4 654 4 799 3 343 5 091 4 330 4 061 3 476 (B)
Type of Family											
Married-couple families With related children under 18 years Without related children under 18 years Female householder, no husband present With related children under 18 years Without related children under 18 years Male householder, no wife present With related children under 18 years Without related children under 18 years Without related children under 18 years	6 867 2 635 4 231 4 313 3 475 839 445 240 205	13.6 10.5 16.7 42.6 50.9 25.4 20.0 22.4 17.7	4 781 5 901 4 083 6 532 7 041 4 424 4 892 5 372 4 330	3 674 2 440 1 234 3 781 3 298 483 320 201 118	7.3 9.7 4.9 37.3 48.3 14.7 14.3 18.8 10.2	4 700 5 502 3 114 6 338 6 766 3 420 4 719 5 264 3 788	5 165 4 316 5 220 3 724 4 243 3 466 3 156 (B) 3 419	3 488 2 344 1 144 3 498 3 124 374 292 194	6.9 9.4 4.5 34.5 45.7 11.3 13.1 18.1	3 967 4 588 2 695 4 331 4 541 2 575 3 944 4 173 3 492	5 053 3 750 5 176 3 257 3 160 3 330 3 287 (B) 3 554
Recipiency of Benefits											
Neither cash nor noncash benefits	1 207 263 2 086 8 069	4.0 15.8 14.3 48.9	3 975 4 170 4 316 5 984	1 207 111 2 086 4 371	4.0 6.7 14.3 26.5	3 975 2 535 4 316 6 556	(B) 4 310 (B) 4 925	1 207 107 2 086 3 877	4.0 6.5 14.3 23.5	3 975 2 544 4 316 4 142	(B) 4 269 (B) 4 668
UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS											
Total. Under 65 years and over. Males. Under 65 years 65 years and over. Females. Under 65 years 65 years and over. Females Under 65 years 65 years and over.	10 914 5 100 5 814 3 630 2 413 1 216 7 285 2 687 4 598	36.1 23.7 66.3 26.4 20.4 63.0 44.1 27.7 67.3	3 698 3 536 3 840 3 555 3 458 3 748 3 769 3 605 3 864	6 971 4 647 2 324 2 692 2 259 433 4 279 2 388 1 891	23.0 21.6 26.5 19.6 19.1 22.4 25.9 24.6 27.7	2 714 3 209 1 726 2 982 3 191 1 895 2 546 3 226 1 687	2 315 2 303 2 317 2 538 2 515 2 542 2 246 2 194 2 252	6 609 4 486 2 123 2 575 2 173 4 01 4 035 2 313 1 722	21.8 20.9 24.2 18.7 18.4 20.8 24.4 23.8 25.2	2 274 2 777 1 210 2 611 2 844 1 350 2 058 2 714 1 178	2 217 1 967 2 259 2 381 1 972 2 501 2 164 1 964 2 190
Recipiency of Benefits											
Neither cash nor noncash benefits Cash benefits only	2 779 434 601 7 100	15.1 61.2 49.3 71.5	3 006 3 366 3 470 4 008	2 779 178 601 3 413	15.1 25.1 49.3 34.4	3 006 2 215 3 470 2 370	(B) 2 508 (B) 2 302	2 779 177 601 3 052	15.1 25.0 49.3 30.7	3 006 1 922 3 470 1 391	(B) 2 499 (B) 2 199

Table 4. Families and Unrelated Individuals Below the Poverty Thresholds Based on Income, Cash Transfers, and Noncash Transfers, by Selected Characteristics: 1984—Con.

	1				Poverty af	ter both cash	and noncas	h transfers				
		Market	value			Recipier	nt value			Poverty bu	dget share	
Characteristic	Number (thou-sands)	Poverty rate	Mean deficit	Mean amount in excess of poverty thresholds	Number (thou- sands)	Poverty rate	Mean deficit	Mean amount in excess of poverty thresholds	Number (thou- sands)	Poverty rate	Mean deficit	Mean amount in excess of poverty thresholds
FAMILIES												
Total 2 persons Under 65 years 65 years and over 3 persons 4 persons 5 persons 6 persons 7 persons or more.	4 819 1 425 1 319 106 1 088 1 048 666 303 290	7.7 5.6 7.6 1.3 7.9 11.3 13.9 23.6	3 240 2 736 2 812 1 792 2 872 3 369 3 615 4 154 4 818	2 897 3 009 2 510 3 603 2 415 2 626 2 987 3 697 4 408	6 114 1 851 1 590 260 1 409 1 328 777 377 372	9.8 7.3 9.2 3.3 9.5 10.0 13.2 17.3 30.4	3 318 2 656 2 798 1 792 2 890 3 462 3 853 4 633 5 269	961 787 662 889 795 949 1 099 2 078 (B)	5 987 1 839 1 529 310 1 356 1 266 773 378 375	9.5 7.3 8.8 3.9 9.2 9.5 13.1 17.4 30.6	3 265 2 645 2 829 1 736 2 824 3 400 3 820 4 507 5 040	957 776 902 606 935 938 1 107 1 490 (B)
Type of Family												
Married-couple families	2 452 1 791 661 2 158 1 962 196 209 135 74	4.9 7.2 2.6 21.3 28.7 5.9 9.4 12.6 6.4	3 671 3 853 3 179 2 715 2 788 1 978 3 612 3 638 (B)	3 294 2 821 3 834 2 620 2 512 3 328 2 402 (B) (B)	2 916 2 054 862 2 941 2 653 288 257 170 87	5.8 8.2 3.4 29.0 38.8 8.7 11.5 15.9 7.5	3 630 3 936 2 900 2 993 3 096 2 040 3 508 3 510 3 505	886 978 791 1 047 1 073 908 (B) (B)	2 955 2 043 912 2 782 2 492 290 251 162 89	5.9 8.2 3.6 27.5 36.5 8.8 11.2 15.1 7.7	3 554 3 882 2 820 2 934 3 036 2 060 3 518 3 551 3 459	805 981 578 1 082 1 104 918 (B) (B)
Recipiency of Benefits												
Neither cash nor noncash benefits	1 207 107 1 713 1 792	4.0 6.5 11.7 10.9	3 975 2 544 3 848 2 206	(B) (B) 1 251 3 191	1 207 107 1 811 2 990	4.0 6.5 12.4 18.1	3 975 2 544 3 935 2 707	(B) (B) 746 1 028	1 207 107 1 777 2 896	4.0 6.5 12.2 17.5	3 975 2 544 3 909 2 600	(B) (B) 930 966
UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS												
Total Under 65 years 65 years and over Males Under 65 years 65 years and over Females Under 65 years 65 years and over	4 180 3 789 390 2 019 1 936 83 2 161 1 853 308	13.8 17.6 4.5 14.7 16.4 4.3 13.1 19.1 4.5	2 675 2 807 1 391 2 801 2 835 2 007 2 556 2 777 1 226	3 021 2 975 3 040 3 003 3 007 2 999 3 027 2 958 3 049	5 508 4 264 1 244 2 355 2 098 257 3 153 2 166 987	18.2 19.8 14.2 17.1 17.8 13.3 19.1 22.3 14.5	2 310 2 664 1 097 2 593 2 751 1 305 2 099 2 580 1 044	1 087 682 1 189 1 038 654 1 238 1 099 697 1 180	5 596 4 366 1 230 2 389 2 135 255 3 206 2 231 975	18.5 20.3 14.0 17.4 18.1 13.2 19.4 23.0 14.3	2 314 2 656 1 099 2 580 2 733 1 298 2 115 2 582 1 047	724 379 770 660 (B) 742 738 393 776
Recipiency of Benefits												
Neither cash nor noncash benefits	2 779 177 514 709	15.1 25.0 42.2 7.1	3 006 1 922 2 983 1 339	(B) (B) 1 315 3 084	2 779 177 568 1 984	15.1 25.0 46.6 20.0	3 006 1 922 3 157 1 127	(B) (B) (B) 1 106	2 779 177 580 2 059	15.1 25.0 47.6 20.7	3 006 1 922 3 131 1 182	(B) (B) (B) 730



### Appendix A. U.S. Senate Statement, "Data Collection and Poverty Level"

Official poverty statistics published by the Bureau of the Census currently ignore billions of dollars of Government in-kind benefits, such as food stamps, public housing rental subsidies, and medical care. The Congressional Budget Office has estimated that including in-kind benefits in the income statistics would cause the number of people in poverty to decline to about 9 million as compared to official statistics showing nearly 25 million people in poverty. The official statistics show no significant reduction in recent years in the incidence of poverty, although in-kind benefit programs have expanded greatly. The Committee considers it essential that official poverty statistics reflect, at the earliest possible date, the effects of in-kind benefits. Without such information, Congress and the Executive Branch cannot be certain that Government transfer programs are properly targeted.

The Census Bureau has recognized the need for better data on in-kind benefits. The most recent March Current Population Survey has collected data on some types of in-kind program benefits. In addition, Census has under way an experimental survey—known as the Survey of Income and Program Participation—which collects more extensive data. However, Census has not yet published the data collected thus far and

has no current plans for integrating such data with cash income data now reported routinely.

The Committee has inscribed language in the bill directing the Secretary of Commerce to expedite the program of collecting, through surveys, data on benefits received and data on participation in federally funded, in-kind benefit programs. Programs on which data are to be reported include, but are not necessarily limited to, food stamps, Medicaid, Medicare and subsidies in areas such as housing, nutrition, child care, and transportation. The Secretary of Commerce is further directed to continue research and testing of techniques for assigning monetary values to in-kind benefits and for calculating the impact of such benefits on income and poverty estimates. The Secretary of Commerce is also directed to include in survey reports, beginning no later than October 1, 1981, appropriate summaries of data on in-kind benefits and estimates of the effect of in-kind benefits on the number of families and individuals below the poverty level.

Department of State, Justice, and Commerce, The Judiciary and Related Agencies Appropriation Bill, 1981. U.S. Senate, 96th Congress, 2nd Session. September 16, 1980: 33-34.

# Appendix B. Description of Noncash Valuation Techniques

This appendix contains descriptions of the procedures used to develop and assign values to each of the five types of non-cash benefits valued in this study. These benefits are (1) food stamps, (2) school lunches, (3) public or other subsidized rental housing, (4) Medicaid, and (5) Medicare. The first section describes procedures for the market value approach; the second, procedures for the recipient or cash equivalent approach; and the third, procedures for the poverty budget share approach.

#### **MARKET VALUE**

The market value concept values the noncash benefit at the cost of the specific goods or services in the private market place. The procedures used to assign market values to noncash benefits require the identification of analogous goods or services in the private market place and estimation of the cost of the goods or services. Because it is sometimes difficult to find and value goods or services in the private market place that are precisely the same as those provided by the noncash benefit program, various assumptions and compromises were made in the estimation process. Details of the market value estimation process are contained in the following subsections for each noncash benefit.

Food stamps. Valuing food stamps was the simplest and most straightforward of the market value procedures. The market value assigned was the annual face value as reported in the survey; i.e., the face value is equal to the purchasing power of the food stamps in the market place.

School lunches. All children eating lunches prepared in schools that participate in the National School Lunch Program receive a subsidy or benefit because the price paid by the student is less

than the cost of the meal. The value of the benefit varies depending on how much the student pays for the lunch. In the case of school lunches, it is difficult to identify the analogous good in the private market place since such a large proportion of schools participate in the program. It was decided, therefore, to assign market values that were equal to the amount of money and value of commodities contributed by the Department of Agriculture and State governments (excluding contributions directly from student payments for lunches).

Data from the Department of Agriculture allowed the calculation of the amount of contributions per meal served. These contributions differ for each of the three categories of lunches: (1) paid (full price), (2) reduced price, and (3) free. Table B-1 shows the total contributions per meal by type of lunch for 1979 to 1984. These figures were multiplied by 167 days to obtain an annual estimate per child. This assumes an average school year of 180 days and 93 percent attendance. These amounts were multiplied by the number of children in each family reporting that they usually ate a hot lunch offered at school.

Public and other subsidized rental housing. The noncash benefit for public or other subsidized rental housing was defined as the difference between the market rent of the housing unit and the subsidized or lower rent paid by the participant. The market value of the benefit is equal to this difference. Data on the market rent of public housing units are not readily available. Since these data are the key to estimating market values, procedures were developed to estimate market rents.

The market rent estimation procedure was based on survey data from the 1979 and 1981 Annual Housing Survey (AHS) national samples conducted by the Bureau of the Census. The AHS was chosen for several reasons. First, it collected rela-

Table B-1. Contributions per Meal and Annual Market Value Subsidies for National School Lunch Program, by Cost Status of Lunch: 1979-84

(Figures	1 n	1984	dollars	١
ILIEUTES	T11	エノリマ	uorrara	,

	197	9	-198	-1980		1980		1981		1982		1983		1984	
Cost status of lunch	Per meal	Annual	Per meal	Annual	Per meal	Annual	Per meal	Annual	Per meal	Annual	Per meal	Annual			
Full price Reduced price Free	.44 1.20 1.49	200.70	1.20	199.95	1.13	61.02 188.79 226.93	•25 •90 1•33	41.33 150.94 222.82	.25 .93 1.34		.25 .95 1.35	41.75 158.65 225.45			

tively current data on monthly amounts paid for rent and utilities. Second, it allowed identification of public or other subsidized housing units. Third, the AHS had a relatively large sample size, about 60,000 households. Finally, the survey can provide data needed for future updates.

The first step in the market rent estimation procedure was development of a method to "statistically" match public and private market rental units with similar housing characteristics. In this process, each sample public or subsidized housing unit was matched to two nonsubsidized units with similar housing unit characteristics. The average market rent for two matching private market units was assigned as the market rent for each matching public or other subsidized rental unit. The average market rent for two nonsubsidized units was assigned rather than a rental amount from only one unit in order to help stabilize the estimated market rents.

Once the assignment of a market rent had been made to each public or subsidized rental housing unit on the 1979 and 1981 AHS sample files, tabulations of average market rents and average subsidized rents paid were made. An examination of these data indicated that the data for both years should be combined in order to provide larger sample sizes and thus more stable estimates for the market and subsidized rents.

The tabulation and combination of the market rent and subsidized rent data for 1979 and 1981 were followed by the calculation of average market values for the rent subsidy. These averages were simply the difference between the average simulated market rents and the average reported subsidized rents paid. Tables B-2, B-3, and B-4 show the average market rents, average subsidized rents, and average market value subsidies used in the assignment of market values for public housing. The values in these tables are averages derived by combining the 1979 and 1981 data. The averages were replaced by rent-to-income ratios for purposes of making the actual calculation.

Market value estimates for public housing described here differ somewhat from those used in the original Technical Paper 50 work because slightly different procedures were used. The original work covering 1979 used data from the 1979 AHS; however, valuation techniques based on hedonic regression procedures yielded lower estimates of market rent for the public housing units and thus lower market values for the noncash housing benefit.

The rent-to-income ratios used in the assignment of the market value subsidy were held constant for all years. This meant that the market value subsidy for public housing was fixed as a function of income level based on the combined 1979 and 1981 data. This procedure yielded market value subsidies that changed only slightly over the period.

Table B-2. Mean Annual Market Rent for Public or Other Subsidized Housing Units, by Total Household Money Income and Size of Family Unit

(Figures in dollars. Combined data from the 1979 and 1981 Annual Housing Survey)

			Total h	ousehold m	oney inco	me		
Size of family unit	Less than	\$5,000 to	\$7,500 to	\$10,000 to	\$12,500 to	\$15,000 to	\$17,500 to	\$20,000
	\$5,000	\$7,499	\$9,999	\$12,499	\$14,999	\$17,499	\$19,999	more
University of								
Householder 65 years and over:						İ		ļ
One person	2,675	3,211	3,597	2,884	3,841	2,388	2,344	2,648
Two persons or more	3,049	3,208	3,158	3,728	3,472	3,604	3,627	5,068
Householder under 65 years in								
Married-couple family households:								
Two persons	2.894	3,203	3,583	3,432	3,995	4,009	3,822	2 024
Three persons	3,316	3,268	3,539	3,612	3,723	4,364	4,355	3,924
Four persons	3,450	3,470	3,680	4,047	3,858	3,623		4,570
Five persons	4,264	3,533	3,962	3,590	4,155	4,194	4,313	3,922
Six persons	3,924	3,699	4,004	3,388	3,001	4,313	4,578	3,642
Seven persons or more	4,025	3,009	4,720	3,110	4,809	, ,	3,764	5,129
Other family households:	.,025	3,007	4,720	3,110	4,009	3,685	4,290	5,880
Two persons	3,185	3,500	3,297	3,831	3,831	4,424	4,418	4,284
Three persons	3,305	3,478	4,190	3,882	3,528	3,726	3,534	4.068
Four persons	3,386	3,450	3,691	4,319	4,527	4,192	6,994	4,498
Five persons	3,325	3,481	3.321	3,933	3.388	4,908	4,481	4.020
Six persons	3,111	3,298	4,381	4,122	5,658	4,826	3,389	3,414
Seven persons or more	3,341	3,712	4.980	3,994_	5,278	5,748	4,294	2,646
-Nonfamily households:				a. 5.5.7.7.7.2	. 5,2,02	3,740	7,294	2,040
One person	2,678	3,073	3,312	3,323	3,262	3,011	6,468	4,824
Two persons	3,489	4,378	4,183	4,440	3,498	3,407	9,120	3,490
Three persons or more	5,670	5,082	5,005	4,624	3,648	4,122	2,322	3,594

Table B-3. Mean Annual Subsidized Rent for Public or Other Subsidized Housing Units, by Total Household Money Income and Size of Family Unit

(Figures in dollars. Combined data from the 1979 and 1981 Annual Housing Surveys)

			Total	household	money inc	оте	-	
Size of family unit	Less	\$5,000	\$7,500	\$10,000	\$12,500	\$15,000	\$17,500	\$20,000
	than \$5,000	to \$7,499	to \$9,999	\$12,499	to \$14,999	\$17,499	to \$19,999	or more
Householder 65 years and over:								
One person	1,058	1,541	2,217	1,942	3,145	1,632	1,631	1,885
Two persons or more	1,290	1,518	2,066	2,172	2,102	2,232	3,032	3,171
Householder under 65 years in								
Married-couple family households:								
Two persons	1,454	1,990	2,249	2,428	2,285	3,013	2,953	3,092
Three persons	2,111	1,933	2,433	2,549	2,869	2,984	3,333	2,928
Four persons	1,794	1,849	2,256	2,481	2,451	2,976	3,607	2,799
Five persons	1,945	1,859	2,081	2,243	2,469	2,642	3,358	2,538
Six persons	1,696	1,852	2,203	2,335	1,947	3,224	2,423	3,792
Seven persons or more	1,492	1,652	1,959	1,976	3,691	2,242	2,493	3,553
Other family households:		1						
Two persons	1,482	1,552	2,119	2,688	2,749	2,912	2,933	3,332
Three persons	1,344	1,863	2,150	2,265	2,394	3,157	2,331	2,297
Four persons	1,434	1,976	2,055	3,141	3,703	2,289	2,493	1,845
Five persons	1,352	1,903	1,869	2,832	1,728	2,400	2,756	3,494
Six persons	1,387	1,494	1,541	1,908	3,324	2,665	1,591	2,375
Seven persons or more	1,264	1,763	2,007	1,595	1,746	2,616	2,006	1,380
Nonfamily households:			-	1		ł		
One person	1,232	1,618	2,237	2,286	2,620	2,219	5,784	3,142
Two persons	1,585	2,900	2,590	2,424	2,304	2,482	3,204	3,011
Three persons or more	2,820	1,464	1,794	2,239	2,808	3,480	708	2,640

Table B-4. Mean Annual Market Value of Housing Subsidies for Public or Other Subsidized Housing Units, by Total Household Money Income and Size of Family Unit

(Figures in dollars. Combined data from the 1979 and 1981 Annual Housing Surveys)

	······································	То	tal hous	ehold mone	y income			
Size of family unit	Less	\$5,000	\$7,500	\$10,000	\$12,500	\$15,000	\$17,500	\$20,000
1	than	to	to	to	to	to	to	or
	\$5,000	\$7,499	\$9,999	\$12,499	\$14,999	\$17,499	\$19,999	more
Householder 65 years and over:					i			
One person	1,617	1,670	1,380	942	696	756	713	763
Two persons or more	1,760	1,690	1,092	1,556	1,370	1,371	595	1,897
Householder under 65 years in					<b>]</b> ,			
Married-couple family								
households:			1		l		1	1
Two persons	1,440	1,213	1,334	1,003	1,711	996	869	832
Three persons	1,205	1,335	1,106	1,063	853	1,380	1,023	1,642
Four persons	1,656	1,621	1,424	1,567	1,406	647	707	1,123
Five persons	2,318	1,675	1,881	1,347	1,686	1,553	1,220	1,105
Six persons	2,228	1,847	1,800	1,053	1,054	1,089	1,341	1,337
Seven persons or more	2,532	1,357	2,761	1,134	1,117	1,444	1,796	2,327
Other family households:				1.	ł	Ì	i	
Two persons	1,703	1,948	1,178	1,144	1,082	1,512	1,485	953
Three persons	1,961	1,615	2,040	1,618	1,134	569	1,203	1,771
Four persons	1,952	1,474	1,635	1,177	824	1,903	4,501	2,653
Five persons	1,972	1,578	1,452	1,101	1,660	2,508	1,706	526
Six persons	1,724	1,804	2,840	2,214	2,334	2,161	1,798	1,039
Seven persons or more	2,077	1,950	2,973	2,399	3,531	3,132	2,288	1,266
Nonfamily households:	-		1		1	1		1
One person	1,446	1,455	1,074	1,037	642	792	684	1,683
Two persons	1,903	1,478	1,593	2,016	1,194	925	5,916	479
Three persons or more	2,850	3,618	3,211	2,385	840	642	1,614	954

( )

Medicare and Medicaid. Procedures used to assign the market value of Medicare and Medicaid coverage are based on an insurance value concept. A major problem in the assignment of market values is the identification of a comparable good in the private market and estimation of the cost of the comparable good. The comparable private market, in the case of Medicare and Medicaid, would be nonprofit insurance companies charging premium amounts that cover the cost of benefits and overhead.

)

In the absence of a similar private market, the market values of Medicare and Medicaid were determined using program data covering the total amount of medical vendor payments and numbers of persons covered or enrolled in the program, including those covered but not receiving medical care benefits from the program.

The market values for Medicare are shown in table B-5 for 1979 and 1984. These values were obtained by dividing medical benefits paid by the number of enrollees. All calculations of market value were made separately by State and risk class. As can be seen in the table, the Medicare risk classes were the aged (persons over age 65) and the disabled. Supplemental medical insurance (SMI) premiums were assumed to be paid by all enrollees and were, therefore, deducted in the market value calculation process. These amounts of SMI premiums have not been deducted from the values shown in table B-5. The data in these tables include expenditures for the institutionalized population. The market values based on vendor payments that exclude institutional expenditures were estimated to be about 2 percent lower in all States even though this factor differed slightly from State to State. Unlike the earlier study, no adjustment was made to the average value to account for small amounts of program administrative costs. All of the data used in the estimation of the market value of Medicare are available from the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), Department of Health and Human Services.

The market values for Medicaid are shown in tables B-6 and B-7 for 1979 and B-8 and B-9 for 1984. Separate market values based on inclusion and exclusion of institutional expenditures have been provided to illustrate the large differences in market values resulting from the exclusion or inclusion of benefits paid on behalf of institutionalized individuals. Four risk classes were defined for estimating the market value of Medicaid. These were aged, blind or disabled, dependent children under age 21, and adults aged 21 to 64. The calculations for the child and adult risk classes were restricted to expenditures and recipients in Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) units. Calculations excluded the "other title XIX" recipients and benefits as shown in the annual HCFA tabulation.

The computation of market values for Medicaid was not made based on the "ever enrolled" population. Estimating ever enrolled populations within risk class and State for Medicaid is difficult. There are no administrative or survey data available that can be used to develop accurate ever enrolled figures and the figures on those receiving benefits are weak for some States, often requiring revision. An examination of estimates of market value based on recipients of Medicaid benefits with market value estimates based on the ever enrolled figures derived for the

original Technical Paper 50 study covering 1979 showed relatively small differences for most States, but large differences for a few States. These apparent problems were traced to major revisions to the HCFA Medicaid data following completion of the original valuation work. Considering the relatively small differences for most States, the problems in obtaining an adequate ever enrolled estimate, and the major revisions made to the 1979 Medicaid data, it was decided to compute the market values for Medicaid based on estimated recipient counts readily available from HCFA. Use of this procedure may overstate the value somewhat but provides a more consistent and stable data base for the examination of the effect of noncash benefits on *changes* in poverty levels during the 1979 to 1984 period. Administrative costs were also excluded in the calculation of Medicaid benefits.

#### RECIPIENT OR CASH EQUIVALENT VALUE

The recipient or cash equivalent concept attempts to assign a value to the noncash benefit that would make the recipient feel just as well off as the noncash benefit itself. This concept reflects the value the recipient places on the benefit. The recipient or cash equivalent concept assures that the value assigned never exceeds the market value and is, in most cases, less than the market value.

Two procedures have been used by researchers to estimate recipient values. These are the utility function approach and the normal expenditures approach. Both of these approaches have advantages and disadvantages. The major problem in either case, however, is a lack of data needed to adequately estimate recipient value accurately. A more detailed discussion of the recipient value concept and problems of estimation is contained in Technical Paper 50.

The normal expenditure approach was used to estimate recipient values in this study. The first step in this technique is to obtain expenditure data for households purchasing the good or service in the private market. In this valuation effort, the general procedure was to tabulate an average annual household expenditure matrix defined by a set of cross-classifying variables. The next step was comparison of the previously assigned market value of the noncash benefit to the average (normal) expenditure in the appropriate cell of this matrix. The recipient value assigned was equal to the average value in the matrix unless this value is greater than the market value. In this situation, the recipient value is constrained, making it equal to the market value.

Food stamps. The recipient or cash equivalent values for food stamps were based on data from the Consumer Expenditure Survey (CES) diary sample. The CES is conducted by the Bureau of the Census under the sponsorship of the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Since this survey has a relatively small sample size, it was necessary to combine expenditure data for 1980, 1981, and 1982 in order to improve the stability of the normal expenditure matrix. Table B-10 shows the figures used in the assignment of recipient value for food stamps. These figures include both food consumed at home and away from home. In practice, the average subsidy amounts were replaced by subsidy-to-

Table B-5. Annual Market Value for Medicare, by State and Risk Class: 1979 and 1984

(Figures in 1984 dollars)

State	1979 ris	sk class	1984 risk	class
	Age 65 and over	Blind and disabled	Age 65 and over	Blind and disabled
United States	1,329	1,670	1,672	2,120
Alabama	1,098	1,890	1,440	1,796
Alaska	1,524	2,413	1,602	2,489
Arizona	1,244	1,942	1,621	1,998
Arkansas	987	1,693	1,043	1,450
California	1,727	2,652	2,267	2,779
Colorado	1,281	2,039	1,592	1,880
Connecticut	1,391	2,051	1,967	2,368
Delaware	1,337	1,962	1,775	1,845
District of Columbia	1,959	3,301	3,032	3,998
Florida	1,417	2,364	1,761	2,295
Georgia	1,010	1,699	1,417	1,844
Hawaii	1,289	1,826	1,885	2,566
Idaho	977	1,547	1,035	1,496
Illinois	1,528	2,397	2,139	2,643
Indiana	1,146	1,928	1,597	1,912
Iowa	1,108	1,815	1,498	1,675
Kansas	1,285	2,111	1,976	1,874
Kentucky	944	i,633	1,086	1,473
Louisiana	1,069	1,804	1,185	1,724
Maine	1,212	1,952	1,464	1,641
Maryland	1,574	2,454	2,088	2,535
Massachusetts	1,663	2,530	1,768	2,311
Michigan	1,611	2,537	2,034	2,175
Minnesota	1,211	1,877	1,793	1,797
Mississippi	1,006	1,694	1,118	1,775
Missouri	1,302	2,154	1,474	1,978
Montana	1,027	1,699	1,201	1,253
Nebraska	1,122	1,734	1,654	1,678
Nevada	1,598	2,672	2,120	2,180
New Hampshire	1,122	1,869	1,561	1,657
New Jersey	1,365	2,217	1,875	2,740
New Mexico	1,099	1,820	1,146	1,465
New York	1,470	2,325	1,719	2,299
North Carolina	962	1,574	1,342	1,623
North Dakota	1,246	2,165	1,427	2,183
Ohio	1,269	2,147	1,635	1,818
Oklahoma	1,133	1,892	1,213	1,74
Oregon	1,209	1,953	1,377	1,73
Pennsylvania	1,378	2,325	1,786	2,46
Rhode Island	1,498	2,171	1,682	1,67
South Carolina	866	1,583	1,290	1,57
South Dakota	1,012	1,809	1,392	1,27
Tennessee	1,043	1,782	1,334	1,76
Texas	1,241	2,086	1,498	2,46
Utah	1,010	1,527	1,281	1,74
Vermont	1,122	1,806	1,396	1,56
Virginia	1,129	1,804	1,492	2,00
Washington	1,115	1,749	1,315	1,85
West Virginia	996	1,759	1,011	1,35
Wisconsin	1,212	1,972	1,550	1,78
Wyoming	1,035	1,822	1,208	1,65

Table B-6. Annual Market Values for Medicaid Including Institutional Expenditures, by State and Risk Class: 1979

(Figures in 1984 dollars)

State	Age 65 and over	Blind and disabled	Age 21-64, nondisabled	Age less than 21, nondisabled
United States	2,999	3,671	1,006	477
Alabama	1,694	1,604	830	328
Alaska	6,445	5,914	1,029	418
Arizona	2,999	3,671	1,006	477
Arkansas	1,952	2,407	727	338
California	1,939	2,185	963	475
Colorado	2,865	5,511	916	341
Connecticut	6,233	4,402	1,025	515
Delaware	5,114	3,698	806	358
District of Columbia	2,828	4,993	1,365	731
Florida	1,908	1,742	763	388
Georgia	2,129	2,506	926	371
Hawaii	3,754	3,492	912	402
Idaho	3,766	4,443	814	411
Illinois	3,775	4,253	1,095	497
Indiana	5,697	5,946	1,049	424
Iowa	3,889	5,029	997	462
Kansas	3,593	5,459	894	346
Kentucky	1,681	1,855	647	289
Louisiana	2,011	2,643	737	331
Maine	3,130	1,703	645	329
Maryland	3,628	2,451	1,022	545
Massachusetts	1,938	4,616	1,168	525
Michigan	3,985	5,020	1,372	522
Minnesota	5,638	6,324	933	399
Mississippi	1,328	1,666	575	268
Missouri	1,877	2,219	747	311
Montana	4,500	3,902	967	386
Nebraska	3,997	4,957	944	439
Nevada	3,864	5,063	973	409
New Hampshire	5,504	3,925	790	439
New Jersey	5,644	3,771	934	574
New Mexico	1,893	2,385	787	333
New York	5,282	8,589	1,547	788
North Carolina	2,231	2,712	783	326
North Dakota	4,754	3,844	1,161	548
Ohio	4,150	3,575	893	368
Oklahoma	2,886	4,345	551	399
Oregon	3,685	4,206	584	230
Pennsylvania	4,672	3,406	738	355
Rhode Island	3,115	2,989	727	345
South Carolina	2,240	1,756	760	246
South Dakota	4,171	5,235	850	379
Tennessee	2,281	2,244	864	434
Texas	2,680	3,743	1,113	382
Utah	3,831	5,152	947	608
Vermont	3,673	3,925	780	412-
Virginia	2,999	2,994	916	406
Washington	3,250	4,808	907	401
West Virginia	1,274	1,274	1,274	1,274
Wisconsin	5,027	5,063	824	422
Wyoming	4,974	3,150	780	280

Table B-7. Annual Market Values for Medicaid Including Institutional Expenditures, by State and Risk Class: 1984

(Figures in dollars)

State	Age 65 and over	Blind and disabled	Age 21-64, nondisabled	Age less than 21, nondisabled
State	and over	disabled	Holidisabled	
United States	4,037	4,124	859	430
Alabama	1,696	2,116	720	308
Alaska	7,604	10,422	1,272	666
Arizona	4,037	4,124	859	430
Arkansas	2,303	3,068	795	425
California	1,653	2,535	722	384
Colorado	3,013	5,402	829	364
Connecticut	7,828	6,736	1,073	· 512
Delaware	5,253	4,421	758	389
District of Columbia	7,075	4,217	597	315
Florida	2,810	2,765	585	281
Georgia	2,265	2,758	1,013	404
Hawaii	4,792	3,741	- 890	378
Idaho	4,759	5,212	890	398
Illinois	3,561	4,085	860	427
Indiana	6,109	6,348	1,270	. 522
Iowa	3,594	5,080	856	447
Kansas	2,458	3,436	558	317
Kentucky	2,269	2,108	591	287
Louisiana	2,615	4,310	1,030	450
Maine	4,766	3,911	820	376
Maryland	5,353	2,877	1,100	590
Massachusetts	4,610	5,325	1,118	597
Michigan	4,301	4,391	954	368
Minnesota	7,579	10,682	896	430
Mississippi	1,906	1,737	754	338
Missouri	3,267	3,160	733	418
Montana	3,722	2,505	901	301
Nebraska	4,246	5,303	832	468
Nevada	3,853	5,981	977	523
New Hampshire	6,564	5,596	539	281
New Jersey	5,999	4,897	1,045	439
New Mexico	2,976	3,650	1,072	422
New York	8,921	7,214	986	610
North Carolina	3,783	4,443	902	429
North Dakota	5,964	6,469	923	646
Ohio	5,264	5,140	962	467
Oklahoma	3,014	3,675	1,002	692
Oregon	3,894	4,892	936	338
Pennsylvania	5,446	4,864	733	361
Rhode Island	5,291	5,398	681	321
South Carolina	2,310	2,231	540	172
South Dakota	4,894	7,007	954	527
Tennessee	2,656	2,561	1,036	607
Texas	2,687	4,585	1,112	419
Utah	3,792	6,261	858	374
Vermont	4,485	5,193	812	372
Virginia	4,003	3,724	822	337
Washington	3,848	4,734	885	442
West Virginia	2,383	1,215	467	216
Wisconsin	5,087	5,189	734	427
Wyoming	4,967	3,856	926	429
MYUMITING				

Table B-8. Annual Market Values for Medicaid Excluding Institutional Expenditures, by State and Risk Class: 1979

(Figures in 1984 dollars)

State	Age 65 and over	Blind.and disabled	Age 21-64, nondisabled	Age less than 21, . nondisabled
United States	597	1 012	005	
Alabama	429	1,813	995	449
Alaska		1,129	830	328
Arizona	. 695 597	1,587	1,025	388
Arkansas	451	1,813	995	449
California	658	995	7.27	336
Colorado	474	1,701 1,503	963	47.2
Connecticut	781	1,932	913 993	312
Delaware	588	1,713	806	468
District of Columbia	1,803	3,662	ì	358
Florida	635	1,379	1,364	705
Georgia	531	1,461	926	388
Hawaii	711	1,617	910	371 401
Idaho	584	1,551	814	411
Illinois	761	2,189	1,092	494
Indiana	793	2,251	1,045	401
Iowa	675	1,491	987	462
Kansas	529	1,221	. 892	329
Kentucky	319	1,065	645	286
Louisiana	602	1,052	737	328
Maine	402	1,171	644	328
Maryland	675	1,895	1,019	545
Massachusetts	248	2,169	1,159	507
Michigan	610	2,530	1,345	455
Minnesota	757	1,832	927	395
Mississippi	475	1,115	574	258
Missouri	479	1,224	747	311
Montana	627	2,018	963	385
Nebraska	704	1,724	940	415
Nevada	654	2,809	973	402
New Hampshire	671	2,003	790	431
New Jersey	. 703	1,902	934	451
New Mexico	495	1,560	786	332
New York	740	3,648	1,508	705
North Carolina	607	1,618	781	322
North Dakota	601	2,252	1,161	548
Ohio	630	1,617	893	365
Oklahoma	664	1,182	541	. 384
Oregon	522	1,042	584	. 230
Pennsylvania	448	1,274	. 697	. 322
Rhode Island	1,113	1,382	. 727	345
South Carolina	368	- 950	753	246
South Dakota	451	1,282	850	379
Tennessee	514	1,219	863 .	424
Texas	568	1,468	1,113	382
Utah	514	1,425	943	446
Vermont	592	1,847	756 .	375
Virginia	754	1,607	913	372
Washington	685	1,943	906	401
Vest Virginia	456	1,025	1,272	1,268
Visconsin	887	1,920	810	395
Vyoming	356	1,465	778	255

Table B-9. Annual Market Values for Medicaid Excluding Institutional Expenditures, by State and Risk Class: 1984

(Figures in dollars)

State	Age 65 and over	Blind and disabled	Age 21-64, nondisabled	Age less than 21 nondisable
Jnited States	1,016	2,550	851	41
Mabama	458	1,016	720	30
laska	2,589	3,834	1,236	65
rizona	1,016	2,550	851	41
rkansas	745	1,283	795	42
alifornia	520	1,928	721	. 38
olorado	727	2,524	799	34
onnecticut	1,102	5,271	1,066	50
elaware	642	1,855	758	38
District of Columbia	1,324	2,638	594	30
lorida	742	1,507	585	28
eorgia	794	1,452	856	34
lawaii	837	2,602	889	37
daho	. 490	1,788	890	39
Ilinois	856	4,204	962	46
Indiana	905	3,181	1,270	51
Iowa	650	1,716	856	. 43
(ansas	469	2,020	558	30
Kentucky	396	1,634	590	27
ouisiana	890	1,812	1,029	44
laine	760	1,918	792	34
laryland	997	2,563	1,078	58
lassachusetts	1,702	3,647	1,117	59
dichigan	835	3,477	952	32
linnesota	915	4,249	935	. 43
dississippi	603	1,057	754	33
dissouri	648	1,532	732	41
Montana	492	1,442	900	30
Vebraska	828	3,538	831	46
Nevada	622	3,671	977	5:
New Hampshire	579	3,161	537	28
New Jersey	1,019	2,439	1,045	4:
New Mexico	724	2,184	1,068	. 42
New York	2,789	5,652	980	58
North Carolina	899	3,169	900	4:
North Dakota	555	3,801	1,088	- 59
Ohio	1,204	2,538	962	. 40
Oklahoma	970	i,758	885	5
Oregon	830	1,493	828	· 3:
Pennsylvania	552	2,228	677	3
Rhode Island	1,859	2,055	681	3
South Carolina	462	960	540	1
	681	3,436	954	5
South Dakota	552	1,384	1,034	. 5
Texas	902	1,790	1,111	4
Jtah	605	1,939	855.	3
Vermont	831	3,157	788	3
Virginia	922	1,965	820	3
Washington	677	1,993	884	4
West Virginia	417	871	467	2
West Virginia	823	1,828	725	3
Wisconsin	334	2,675	926	4

Table B-10. Mean Annual Normal Expenditures for Food, by Total Household Money Income and Size of Family Unit

(Figures in dollars. Combined data from 1980, 1981, and 1982 Current Expenditure Survey Monthly Diaries)

	Total household money income									
Size of family unit	Less	\$5,000	\$7,500	\$10,000	\$12,500	\$15,000	\$17,500	\$20,000		
	than	to	to	to	to	. to	to	or		
	\$5,000	\$7,499	\$9,999	\$12,499	\$14,999	\$17,499	\$19,999	more		
Householder 65 years and over:										
One person	1,015	1,328	1,464	1,683	1,394	1,676	2,370	2,293		
Two persons or more	1,414	1,806	2,143	2,536	2,556	2,383	2,810	3,577		
Householder under 65 years in		İ								
Married-couple family						İ				
households:					l	1	,			
Two persons	648	1,916	2,103	2,465	2,369	2.842	2,921	3,293		
Three persons	344	2,683	2,308	2,395	2,612	3,036	2,912	3,716		
Four persons	621	2,774	2,521	2,902	2,791	3,278	3,334	4,352		
Five persons	931	2,159	3,119	3,091	3,299	2,778	4,319	4,864		
Six persons	1,000	2,188	2,517	3,582	3,710	4,226	4,058	5,303		
Seven persons or more	1,250	2,938	3,914	4,642	4,291	5,191	4,563	5,570		
Other family households:		ļ. ·	l '	·	1	-,		,,,,,		
Two persons	991	1,472	1,769	1,782	2,539	2,732	2,468	2,938		
Three persons	1,404	2,177	1,719	2,329	2,958	3,250	3,272	3,546		
Four persons	1,125	2,203	2,009	2,958	3,491	2,913	2,316	4,772		
Five persons	931	2,159	3,119	3,091	3,299	2,778	4,319	4,864		
Six persons	1,000	2,188	2,517	3,582	3,710	4,226	4,058	5,303		
Seven persons or more	1,250	2,938	3,914	4,642	4,291	5,191	4,563	5,570		
Nonfamily households:	-	1	l <sup>'</sup>	ĺ	′ -			1		
One person	714	1,123	1,303	1,600	1,637	1,782	2,123	2,626		
Two persons or more	999	1,799	2,265	2,386	2,097	2,052	2,339	3,561		

income ratios in order to compute recipient values. These ratios are shown in table B-11 and were used in the estimation process throughout the 1979-84 period.

Since food stamps may have been received for a specified number of months during the year, the calculation of recipient value should be based only on the months during which the stamps were received. Data collected in the March CPS on the number of months received were used to account for these part-year recipients. This was accomplished by transforming the average annual normal food expenditures and market value of food stamps to average monthly figures. In these cases, if the average monthly normal expenditure was less than the average monthly food stamp amount, the annual recipient value was made equal to the average monthly normal expenditure multiplied by the number of months in which food stamps were received. If the monthly normal expenditure was greater than the market value, the annual recipient value equaled the annual market value of food stamps.

School lunches. Estimating normal expenditures for school lunches is difficult since virtually all school children eating lunches prepared at school are participating in the program; i.e., there is no private market from which to estimate normal expenditures. Given this problem and the relatively small size of the benefits, a decision was made to assign recipient values to

school lunch benefits that were equal to the market value of these benefits.

Public or other subsidized rental housing. Estimates of recipient value for public housing tenants were based on data from the 1979 and 1981 Annual Housing Survey as were the estimates of market value. The first step in the procedure was tabulation of average or normal annual rental expenditures in the private market place—in this case, rental units in nonpublic housing. Data for 1979 and 1981 were combined to increase the sample size in order to stabilize the average rental amounts. The normal expenditure estimates tabulated for the recipient value calculations are shown in table B-12.

The second step, calculation of recipient value for public housing, is somewhat more complicated than for food stamps because the recipients pay a reduced price rather than obtaining the goods at no cost. First, the market rent established as part of the market value procedures (table B-2) was compared to the appropriate normal expenditures figure in table B-12. If the market rent figure was less than the normal expenditure, the recipient value was assigned to be equal to the market value of the benefit. If the market rent figure was greater than the normal expenditure, the recipient value was determined as the difference between the normal expenditure and the subsidized rental payment (table B-4). In practice, the average figures shown

Table B-11. Annual Food Expenditure-to-Income Ratios, by Total Household Money Income and Size of Family Unit

(Combined data from 1980, 1981, and 1982 Current Expenditure Survey Monthly Diaries)

			Total	household	money in	come		
Size of family unit	Less than \$5,000	\$5,000 to \$7,499	\$7,500 to \$9,999	\$10,000 to \$12,499	\$12,500 to \$14,999	\$15,000 to \$17,499	\$17,500 to \$19,999	\$20,000 or more
Householder 65 years and over:								<del>                                     </del>
One person	.286	•221	•170	.149	.102	.102	.128	.074
Two persons or more	.399	• 284	• 244	•228	.186	.148	.151	.103
Householder under 65 years in								
Married-couple family households:								
Two persons	.480	.286	•237	.222	.172	.177	.156	•093
Three persons	.391	•411	•274	.215	.190	.188	.155	.107
Four persons	.409	.419	.282	.256	.204	.202	.179	.123
Five persons	.378	.332	.365	.270	.241	.172	.232	.138
Six persons	.400	.350	.274	.327	.270	.262	.216	.142
Seven persons or more	.500	•470	.435	.417	.312	.315	.239	.160
Other family households:	i		1				ľ	
Two persons	.342	.244	.203	.160	.184	.170	.132	.098
Three persons	•490	.344	.200	.210	.213	.203	.176	.119
Four persons	.450	.374	•225	.263	•255	.179	.121	.147
Five persons	.378	.332	.365	.270	•241	•172	.232	.138
Six persons	.400	.350	•274	.327	• 270	.262	.216	.142
Seven persons or more	.500	.470	.435	.417	•312	.315	.239	160
Nonfamily households:	1	i	1			[		1.
One person	.266	.183	.152	.144	.120	.112	.115	.088
Two persons or more	.340	.280	.252	•209	.150	.126	.129	.103

Table B-12. Mean Annual Normal Expenditures for Rental Units in Nonsubsidized Housing, by
Total Household Money Income and Size of Family Unit

(Figures in dollars. Combined data from 1979 and 1981 Annual Housing Survey)

			Total	househol	d money i	псоте		
Size of family unit	Less	\$5,000	\$7,500	\$10,000	\$12,500	\$15,000	\$17,500	\$20,000
	than \$5,000	to \$7. 499	to \$9,999	to \$12,499	to \$14,999	to \$17,499	to \$19,999	or more
	\$5,000	37.,433	77,777	Ş12,433	314,555	917,499	\$19,999	more
Householder 65 years and over:				i				i ·
One person	2,092	2,702	3,002	3,073	3,583	4,023	3,439	3,915
Two persons or more	2,396	2,805	3,223	3,546	3,356	3,690	3,798	4,674
Householder under 65 years in					ł			j
Married-couple family				İ		1		
households:								
Two persons	2,680	2,821	2,864	3,181	3,140	3,165	3,316	4,441
Three persons	2,836	2,846	2,889	3,134	3,284	3,502	3,574	4,495
Four persons	3,115	3,042	3,247	3,207	3,422	3,387	3,647	4,789
Five persons	2,829	2,852	3,118	3,498	3,513	3,567	3,500	4,864
Six persons	3,799	2,973	2,927	3,201	3,618	2,806	4,024	4,106
Seven persons or more	3,307	2,094	2,965	3,405	3,511	3,870	4,161	4,701
Other family households:				•	Ì		ŀ	ł ·
Two persons	2,721	3,032	2,991	3,197	3,479	3,574	3,733	4,485
Three persons	2,819	2,930	3,317	3,274	3,572	3,520	3,515	4,759
Four persons	2,971	3,027	3,324	3,680	- 3,209	3,873	3,514	4,678
Five persons	2,773	3,414	3,616	3,214	3,065	3,803	4,046	4,163
Six persons	2,614	3,346	3,358	3,042	3,566	2,498	3,468	4,188
Seven persons or more	3,209	3,204	3,204	3,467	3,332	2,383	3,594	4,602
Nonfamily households:			1			ľ	,	
One person	2,306	2,480	2,632	2,858	3,012	3,205	3,352	4,204
Two persons	2,934	3,082	3,264	3,436	3,449	3,595	3,451	4,635
Three persons or more	3,061	3,238	3,870	3,902	4,703	3,975	4,623	6,203

in these tables were replaced by expenditure-to-income ratios. These ratios were then used in the calculations for each of the 5 years.

Medical care benefits. The procedures used to estimate recipient value of medical care benefits were based on simple updates of the original 1979 techniques. For the purpose of estimating normal expenditures for medical care, a nonsubsidized population is, for all practical purposes, nonexistent. The aged population is almost totally covered by the Medicare program and the population under 65 years of age receives widespread coverage from employer-provided group health insurance.

The estimates of normal expenditures for medical care were made using data from the 1972-73 Consumer Expenditure Survey (CES) in spite of the major problems cited above. The normal expenditure tabulation used as the basis for this study is shown in table B-13. The data for the under-age-65 population were derived from CES survey cases reporting partial employer-provided coverage. The expenditure data do not include the amount of the employer's contribution, and therefore, the normal expenditures for this group are probably underestimated. The sample group used to derive the normal expenditures for the 65-and-over population included persons with Medicare coverage but excluded persons covered by Medicaid and those covered by both Medicaid and Medicare. Use of the Medicare population in estimates of normal expenditures is undesirable and probably results in underestimates of recipient value as well.

The normal expenditure data in table B-13 were tabulated from the 1972-73 CES. Adjustments were then made to the 1972-73 average medical expenditures and income classes to account for the increases in consumer prices. The expenditure data were adjusted by the change in the medical component within the overall Consumer Price Index (CPI). The income classes were adjusted by the change in the overall CPI. These same adjustments were made annually to update the 1979 figures in this table to the appropriate year between 1980 and 1984.

The assignment of recipient values followed the same procedures as outlined for food stamps. Separate estimates of recipient value were made based on the inclusion or exclusion of institutional care expenditures.

#### **POVERTY BUDGET SHARES**

The third procedure used to value noncash benefits in this study was the poverty budget share (PBS) approach. The PBS approach is a different and much more limited valuation technique that links the value of the noncash benefit directly to the current money income poverty concept. The PBS approach assumes that, for purposes of measuring poverty, the value assigned to the benefit can be no greater than the amount that is usually spent on the specified good or service by people near the poverty level, since values in excess of this amount cannot always substitute for other needs.

Food benefits. The values of food stamps and school lunch benefits were combined for the calculation of the PBS value for food benefits. The amount spent on food by families near the poverty line was assumed to be one-third of the appropriate poverty level. This reflects directly the food-to-income ratio used to develop the current poverty definition. The PBS limits for food benefits are shown in table B-14 for 1979 through 1984. The figures in this table are simply the weighted average

Table B-13. Normal Expenditure Values for Medical Care, by Age or Disability Status of the Householder and Size of Household

(In 1979 dollars)

Total household income	Householder age 65 years old and over or disabled		Householder under 65 years old and not disabled						
	One person	Two persons or more	One person	Two persons	Three persons	Four persons	Five persons or more		
in the second of		- 9.7			1 2200	_			
Under \$1,250	341	637	99	209	307	380	410		
\$1,250 to \$2,499	291	547	146	219	373	402	430		
\$2,500 to \$3,749	385	578	178.	290	390	396	421		
\$3,750 to \$4,999	443	608	209	311	263	364	393		
\$5,000 to \$6,249	488	828	248	336	256	383	414		
\$6,250 to \$7,499	646	770	306	520	. 443	460	497		
\$7,500 to \$8,749	610	891	289	549	518	419	575		
\$8,750 to \$9,999	642	807	315	576	572	450	601		
-\$10,000 to \$11,24	684	868	302	585	652	637	675		
\$11,250 to \$12,49	718	862	309	588	655	662	721		
\$12,500 to \$13,74	738	1,060	299	606	662	588	712		
\$13,750 to \$14,99	695	1,070	290.	601	661	582	715		
\$15,000 or more	.753	1,202	375	, 678	803	867	926		

Table B-14. Poverty Budget Shares for Food, by Year and Size of Family Unit: 1979-84

(Figures in dollars)

Size of family unit	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
	1,228	1,395	1,540	1,634	1,687	1,759
One person (unrelated individual)	1,258	1,429	1,576	1,673	1,727	1,800
15 to 64 years	1,157	1,314	1,453	1,542	1,592	1,660
Two persons	1,567	1,779	1.972	2.094	2,161	2,254
Householder 15 to 64 years	1,619	1,839	2.037	2,162	2,232	2,328
Householder 65 years and over	1,455	1,651	1,833	1,945	2,008	2,094
Three persons	1,921	2,180	2,417	2,564	2,646	2,759
Four persons	2,462	2,795	3,096	3,287	3,393	3,536
Five persons	2,912	3,308	3,669	3,895	4,016	4,189
Six persons	3, 283	3,738	4,150	4,402	4,543	4,736
Seven persons (or more 1)	4,071	4,628	4,703	5,012	5,167	5,365
Eight persons	(X)	(X)	5,218	5,573	5,723	5,987
Nine persons or more	(X)	(X)	6,191	6,566	6,770	7,082

<sup>11979</sup> and 1980.

poverty threshold for the specified family type multiplied by one-third.

The PBS value was computed by comparing the combined market value of food stamps and school lunch to the PBS limit. If the market value was greater than the PBS limit, the PBS value was constrained to the PBS limit. If the market value was lower, the PBS value was equal to the market value.

Public or other subsidized rental housing. The PBS values for public or other subsidized rental housing were computed using the 1979 and 1981 AHS data. Calculation of the PBS limits were based on the housing expenditure to income ratios shown in table B-15. These ratios represent the proportion of income spent on nonsubsidized rental housing by families with incomes within  $\pm\,25$  percent of the poverty level and are averages of the 1979 and 1981 data from the AHS for nonsubsidized housing units.

The calculation of the PBS limit was made by multiplying the appropriate proportion in table B-15 by the family's poverty level. If the previously assigned market rent exceeded the PBS limit,

the PBS value for public housing was made equal to the difference between the PBS limit and the amount of subsidized rent paid. If the market rent was less than the PBS limit, the PBS value for public housing was made equal to the market value of the subsidy.

Medical care. The PBS values for noncash medical care benefits were computed using the same expenditure to income ratios at the poverty line as used in the previous study. These ratios, which were derived from the 1960-61 Consumer Expenditure Survey, are shown in table B-16. The data from the 1960-61 survey were selected because they reflect expenditure patterns for medical care that existed prior to the Medicare program and expansion of employer-provided benefits. The PBS value for medical care was computed by comparing the combined market value of Medicare and/or Medicaid for the family with the PBS limit. The PBS value was equal to the PBS limit if the market value exceeded the limit or equal to the market value if the market value was lower.

X Not applicable.

## Table B-15. Poverty Budget Shares for Public or Other Subsidized Rental Housing, by Size of Family Unit

Size of family unit	Expenditure- to-income ratio
Householder 65 years and over:	
One person	•567
Two persons or more	•525
Householder under 65 years in	
Married-couple family households:	l
Two persons	.498
Three persons	.446
Four persons	
Five persons	
Six persons	.288
Seven persons or more	.270
Other family households:	
Two persons	•548
Three persons	•471
Four persons	•401
Five persons	.344
Six persons	.299
Seven persons or more	•306
Nonfamily households:	
One person	.572
Two persons	•522
Three persons or more	•487

### Table B-16. Poverty Sudget Shares for Medical Benefits, by Size of Family Unit

(Ratios based on 1960-61 Consumer Expenditure Survey)

Size of family unit	Expenditure- to-income ratio
One person (unrelated individual): 15 to 64 years	.044 .114
Two persons: Householder 15 to 64 years Householder 65 years and over Three persons Four persons Six persons or more	.060 .103 .053 .044 .054

## Appendix C. Source and Reliability of Estimates

#### SOURCE OF DATA

The estimates in this report are based on data obtained during the month of March in the years 1980 to 1985 from the Current Population Survey (CPS) conducted by the Bureau of the Census and from supplementary questions to the CPS. The monthly CPS deals mainly with labor force data for the civilian noninstitutional population. Questions relating to labor force participation are asked about each member 14 years old and over in every sample household. In addition, each March supplementary questions are asked about money income, noncash benefits, and work experience for the previous year. In order to obtain more reliable data for the Spanish origin population, the March CPS samples are enlarged to include all households from the previous November sample which contained at least one sample person of Spanish origin. For this report, persons in the Armed Forces living off post or with their families on post are also included.

The present CPS sample was initially selected from census files with coverage in all 50 States and the District of Columbia. The sample is continually updated to reflect new construction. The Spanish supplemental sample adds about 2,500 eligible households.

The following table provides a description of some aspects of the CPS sample designs in use during the referenced data collection periods.

#### **CPS DESIGN PHASE-IN**

Since the inception of the CPS in 1940, the sample has been redesigned several times, most recently in the early 1970's, to

upgrade the quality and reliability of the data and to meet changing data needs. During the period from April 1984 through June 1985 a new sample design was phased in. The March 1985 CPS sample consists of three rotation groups from the 1970 censusbased sampling frame, four rotation groups from the 1980 census-based sampling frame, and one rotation group from both sampling frames. The coverage was in all 50 States and the District of Columbia. The sample is continually updated to reflect new construction. Due to the phase-in of the new design, the March 1985 CPS sample had three rotation groups located in 629 sample areas comprising 1,148 counties, independent cities, and minor civil divisions and five rotation groups located in 729 sample areas representing 1,973 counties and equivalent geographic areas in the United States. This current number of sample areas is not completely comparable to the old number of sample areas since many of the sample areas have been redefined. In this sample, approximately 59,500 occupied households were eligible for interview. Of this number, about 2,500 occupied units were visited but interviews were not obtained because the occupants were not found at home after repeated calls or were unavailable for some other reason.

For a description of the previous CPS sample designs see the detailed reports in the series, Current Population Reports, Series P-60, Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.

The estimation procedure used in this survey involved the inflation of the weighted sample results to independent estimates of the total civilian noninstitutional population of the United States by age, race, and sex. These independent estimates were based on statistics from the 1980 Decennial Census of Popula-

#### **Description of the Current Population Survey (1980-85)**

			Housing uni	its eligible <sup>C</sup>
Time period	Number of sample areas <sup>a</sup>	Sample civil divisions <sup>b</sup>	Interviewed	Not interviewed
July 1985 (after phase-in completion) May 1981 to March 1984 January 1980 to April 1981	729 629 629	1,973 1,148 1,133	59,500 57,000 63,000	2,500 2,500 3,000

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>These areas were chosen to provide coverage in each State and the District of Columbia.

bincludes counties, independent cities and minor civil divisions in the United States.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>C</sup>Monthly averages, excluding supplemental Spanish households.

tion; statistics on births, deaths, immigration and emigration; and statistics on the strength of the Armed Forces. The estimation procedure for the data in the report also involved a further adjustment so that the husband and wife of a household received the same weight.

#### RELIABILITY OF THE ESTIMATES

Since the CPS estimates are based on a sample, they may differ somewhat from the figures that would have been obtained if a complete census had been taken using the same questionnaire, instructions, and enumerators. There are two types of errors possible in an estimate based on a sample survey—sampling and nonsampling. The standard errors provided for this report primarily indicate the magnitude of the sampling error. They also partially measure the effect of some nonsampling errors in response and enumeration, but do not measure any systematic biases in the data. The full extent of nonsampling error is unknown. Consequently, particular care should be exercised in the interpretation of figures based on a relatively small number of cases or on small differences between estimates.

Nonsampling variability. Nonsampling errors can be attributed to many sources, e.g., inability to obtain information about all cases in the sample, definitional difficulties, differences in the interpretation of questions, inability or unwillingness on the part of the respondents to provide correct information, inability to recall information, errors made in collection such as in recording or coding the data, errors made in processing the data, errors made in estimating values for missing data, and failure to represent all units within the sample (undercoverage).

Undercoverage in the CPS results from missed housing units and missed persons within sample households. Overall undercoverage from the portion of the sample selected from the 1970 census as compared to the level of the 1980 census, is about 7 percent; undercoverage is somewhat smaller for the part of the sample selected from the 1980 census. It is known that CPS undercoverage varies with age, sex, and race. Generally, undercoverage is larger for males than for females and larger for Blacks and other races combined than for Whites. Ratio estimation to independent age-sex-race population controls partially corrects for the bias due to survey undercoverage. However, biases exist in the estimates to the extent that missed persons in missed households or missed persons in interviewed households have different characteristics from interviewed persons in the same age-sex-race group. Further, the independent population controls used have not been adjusted for undercoverage in the decennial census.

The estimates of income and noncash benefits received (as derived from the March CPS) are subject to underreporting. Underreporting in household surveys can be attributed to several factors. These include a failure to report benefits received and misclassification of the source of benefits.

For additional information on nonsampling error including the possible impact on CPS when known, refer to Statistical Policy Working Paper 3, An Error Profile: Employment as Measured by

the Current Population Survey, Office of Federal Statistical Policy and Standards, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1978 and Technical Paper 40, The Current Population Survey: Design and Methodology, Bureau of the Census, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Sampling variability. The standard errors given in tables C-1 through C-4 are primarily measures of sampling variability, that is, of the variation that occurred by chance because a sample rather than the entire population was surveyed. The sample estimate and its standard error enable one to construct confidence intervals — ranges that would include the average result of all possible samples with a known probability. For example, if all possible samples were selected, each of these being surveyed under essentially the same general conditions and using the same sample design, and if an estimate and its standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

- Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.6 standard errors below the estimate to 1.6 standard errors above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples.
- Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from two standard errors below the estimate to two standard errors above the estimate would include the average result of all possible samples.

The average estimate derived from all possible samples is or is not contained in any particular computed interval. However, for a particular sample, one can say with a specified confidence that the average estimate derived from all possible samples is included in the confidence interval.

Standard errors may also be used to perform hypothesis testing, a procedure for distinguishing between population parameters using sample estimates. The most common types of hypotheses are: 1) the population parameters are identical, versus 2) they are different. An example of this would be comparing the poverty rate for Whites versus the poverty rate for Blacks. Tests may be performed at various levels of significance, where a level of significance is the probability of concluding that the parameters are different when, in fact, they are identical.

All statements of comparison in the text have passed a hypothesis test at the 0.10 level of significance or better, and most have passed a hypothesis test at the 0.05 level of significance or better. This means that, for most differences cited in the text, the estimated difference between parameters is greater than twice the standard error of the difference. For the other differences mentioned, the estimated difference between parameters is between 1.6 and 2.0 times the standard error of the difference. When this is the case, the statement of comparison will be qualified in some way; e.g., by use of the phrase "some evidence."

**Note when using small estimates.** Summary measures (such as means and percent distributions) are shown only when the base is 75,000 or greater. Because of the large standard errors in-

volved, there is little chance that summary measures would reveal useful information when computed on a smaller base. Estimated numbers are shown, however, even though the relative standard errors of these numbers are larger than those for corresponding percentages. These smaller estimates are provided primarily to permit such combinations of the categories as serve each user's needs.

#### STANDARD ERRORS OF ESTIMATES

Standard error tables and their use. In order to derive standard errors that would be applicable to a large number of estimates and that could be prepared at a moderate cost, a number of approximations were required. Therefore, instead of providing an individual standard error for each estimate, generalized sets of standard errors are provided for various types of characteristics. As a result, the sets of standard errors provided give an indication of the order of magnitude of the standard error of an estimate rather than the precise standard error.

The figures presented in tables C-1 and C-2 are approximations to standard errors of various estimates for households and persons in the United States. To obtain the approximate standard error for a specific characteristic, the appropriate standard error in table C-1 or C-2 must be multiplied by the "f" factor for that characteristic given in tables C-3 or C-4. These factors must be applied to the generalized standard errors in order to adjust for the combined effect of the sample design and the estimating procedure on the value of the characteristic.

Standard errors for intermediate values not shown in the generalized tables of standard errors may be approximated by linear interpolation.

Table C-1. Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers of Households or Persons: 1979-84

(Numbers in thousands)

Size of	Standard error
estimate	Standard error
	11
75	13
100	
250	21
500	29
1,000	41
2,000	58
3,000	71
5,000	91
7,500	111
10,000	127
15,000	154
25,000	192
50,000	247
50,000	269
100,000	243
125,000	243

 $^{1}\mathrm{These}$  values must be multiplied by the appropriate "f" factor in tables C-3 and C-4 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.

Table C-2. Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages of Households or Persons: 1979-84

Base of	Estimated percentage <sup>1</sup>						
estimated percentage (thousands)	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	25 or 75	50		
75	2.1 1.8 1.2 0.8 0.6 0.4 0.3 0.3	3.3 2.9 1.8 1.3 0.9 0.6 0.5	4.5 3.9 2.5 1.8 1.2 0.9 0.7 0.6	6.6 5.7 3.6 2.5 1.8 1.3 1.0 0.8	7.6 6.6 4.1 2.9 2.1 1.5 1.2		
10,000 15,000 25,000 50,000 100,000 125,000	0.2 0.15 0.12 0.08 0.06 0.05	0.3 0.2 0.2 0.13 0.09 0.08 0.07	0.4 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.12 0.11 0.10	0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.2	0.7 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.2		

 $^{1}$ These values must be multiplied by the appropriate "f" factor in tables C-3 and C-4 to obtain the standard error for a specific characteristic.

Two parameters (denoted "a" and "b") are used to calculate standard errors for each type of characteristic; they are presented in tables C-3 and C-4. These parameters were used to calculate the standard errors in tables C-1 and C-2 and to calculate the "f" factors in tables C-3 and C-4. They also may be used to calculate directly the standard errors for estimated numbers and percentages. Methods for computation are given in the following sections.

Standard errors of estimated numbers. The approximate standard error,  $\sigma_{\rm X}$ , of an estimated number shown in this report can be obtained in two ways. It may be obtained by use of the formula

$$\sigma_{x} = f_{\sigma}$$
 (1)

where f is the appropriate "f" factor from table C-3 or C-4 and  $\sigma$  is the standard error on the estimate obtained by interpolation from table C-1. Alternatively, it may be approximated by the following formula, from which the standard errors in table C-1 were calculated. Use of this formula will provide more accurate results than the use of formula (1) above.

$$\sigma_{X} = \sqrt{ax^2 + bx} \tag{2}$$

Here x is the size of the estimate and a and b are the parameters in tables C-3 and C-4 associated with the particular type of characteristic.

Standard errors of estimated percentages. The reliability of an estimated percentage, computed using sample data for both numerator and denominator, depends upon both the size of the

Table C-3. "a" and "b" Parameters for Computing Approximate Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers and Percentages of Households and Persons: 1979-84

Characteristic     a     b       HOUSEHOLDS       Total       Race and Spanish origin: <ul> <li>Total or White.</li> <li>-0.000010</li> <li>1389</li> <li>Black and/or other races.</li> <li>-0.000020</li> <li>1255</li> <li>Spanish origin.</li> <li>-0.000020</li> <li>1422</li> </ul> South Region.     -0.000016         1361           Other regions.             -0.000010             1389           Inside metropolitan and central-city areas             -0.000016             2170           Below the Poverty Level           Type of residence:         Metropolitan.             0.000076             1876           Nonmetropolitan.             0.000078             1932               Midwest.             0.000079             1951               South.             0.000079             1951               West.             0.000071             1745               Region (1982-84):             0.000075              1857               Midwest.             0.000074             1838               Northeast.             0.000078             1914               Northeast.             0.000074             1838               Northeast.             0.000074	0.90 0.85 0.91
Race and Spanish origin:   Total or White.	0.85
Race and Spanish origin:  Total or White.  Black and/or other races.  Spanish origin.  -0.000010 -0.000087 1255 -0.000020 1422  South Region.  Other regions.  Inside metropolitan and central-city areas.  Below the Poverty Level  Type of residence:  Metropolitan.  Nonmetropolitan.  Nonmetropolitan.  Northeast.  Northeast.  Midwest 1  South.  South.  Region (1979-81):  Northeast.  Northeast.  Northeast.  O.000078  Midwest 1  O.000079  South.  O.000079  South.  O.000079  South.  O.000079  South.  O.000079  South.  O.000079  South.  O.000071  1745  Region (1982-84):  Northeast.  Northeast.  O.000075  Midwest 1  O.000078  Midwest 1  O.000078  Northeast.  O.000078  Midwest 1  Northeast.  O.000079  South.  O.000071  Northeast.  O.000071  Northeast.  O.000075  Midwest 1  Northeast.  O.000078  Midwest 1  Northeast.  O.000078  Midwest 1  Northeast.  O.000078  Midwest 1  Northeast.  O.000078  Midwest 1  Northeast.  O.000078  Midwest 1  Northeast.  O.000074  Midwest 1  Northeast.  O.000074  Midwest 1  Northeast.  O.000074  Mest .  O.000074	0.85
Total or White	0.85
Total or White.	0.85
Black and/or other races       -0.000087       1255         Spanish origin       -0.000020       1422         South Region       -0.000016       1361         Other regions       -0.000010       1389         Inside metropolitan and central-city areas       -0.000016       2170         Below the Poverty Level       Type of residence:         Metropolitan       0.000076       1876         Nonmetropolitan       0.000078       1932         Midwest 1       0.000079       1951         South       0.000083       2045         West       0.000071       1745         Region (1982-84):       0.000075       1857         Midwest 1       0.000078       1914         South       0.000074       1838         West       0.000074       1838	0.85
Spanish origin	1
Other regions	
Other regions	
To a section of the power of	0.89
### Below the Poverty Level  Type of residence:     Metropolitan	0.90
Type of residence:  Metropolitan	1112
Metropolitan       0.000076       1876         Nonmetropolitan       0.000114       2814         Region (1979-81):       0.000078       1932         Midwest 1       0.000079       1951         South       0.000083       2045         West       0.000071       1745         Region (1982-84):       0.000075       1857         Midwest 1       0.000078       1914         South       0.000074       1838	
Nonmetropolitan.       0.000114       2814         Region (1979-81):       0.000078       1932         Midwest 1.       0.000079       1951         South.       0.000083       2045         West.       0.000071       1745         Region (1982-84):       0.000075       1857         Midwest 1.       0.000078       1914         South.       0.000074       1838	
Region (1979-81): Northeast	1.04
Northeast	1.28
Midwest 1	
South	1.06
West	1.06
Region (1982-84):  Northeast	1.09
Northeast	1.01
Midwest	1
South	1.04
West	1.05
WEST	1.03
	0.96
Race:	
Total or White	1.04
Black and/or other races	1.04
Spanish origin0.000014 2420	1.19
Type of household, age of householder, size of household,	
work experience of householder, and tenure 0.000076 1876	1.04
PERSONS ·	
Total Total	
Race and Spanish origin:	
Total or White	
Black and/or other races	1.43
5DAD18D 071g1p	1.71
·	1.60
outh Region	1.41
nside metropolitan and central city areas	1.40-

percentage and the size of the total upon which this percentage is based. Estimated percentages are relatively more reliable than the corresponding estimates of the numerators of the percentages, particularly if the percentages are 50 percent or more. When the numerator and denominator of the percentage are in different categories, use the "f" factor or parameters from table C-3 or C-4 indicated by the numerator. The approximate

standard error,  $\sigma_{(X,p)}$ , of an estimated percentage can be obtained by use of the formula

$$\sigma (x,p) = f\sigma \tag{3}$$

In this formula, f is the appropriate "f" factor from table C-3 or C-4 and  $\sigma$  is the standard error on the estimate from table C-2.

Table C-3. "a" and "b" Parameters for Computing Approximate Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers and Percentages of Households and Persons: 1979-84--Continued

	Parame	ters	
Characteristic	а	ь	factor
PERSONS—Continued			
Below the Poverty Level			
Region (1979-81): Northeast	-0.000032 -0.000032 -0.000034 -0.000029	8184 8264 8661 7390	2.18 2.19 2.24 2.07
Region (1982-84): Northeast Midwest 1 South	-0.000031 -0.000032 -0.000030 -0.000026	7867 8105 7787 6675	2.14 2.17 2.13 1.97
Race: Total or White <sup>2</sup> Black and/or other races <sup>2</sup> Spanish origin <sup>2</sup>	-0.000031 -0.000270 -0.000063	7946 7946 11528	2.15 2.15 2.59
Relationship to and age of family householder	-0.000031	7946	2.15

lFormerly the North Central Region.

 $^2$ For nonmetropolitan residence categories multiply the "a" and "b" parameters by 1.5 and the "f" factor by 1.22.

Alternatively, it may be approximated by the following formula, from which the standard errors in table C-2 were calculated. Use of this formula will give more accurate results than use of formula (3) above.

$$\sigma_{(x,p)} = \sqrt{\frac{b}{x}} \cdot p(100-p)$$
 (4)

Here x is the size of the subclass of persons or households which is the base of the percentage, p is the percentage (0 ), and b is the parameter in table C-3 or C-4 associated with the particular type of characteristic in the numerator of the percentage.

Illustration of the use of standard error tables. Table B of this report shows that there were 33,700,000 persons below the poverty level in 1984. Using table C-1 and the "f" factor of 2.15, the standard error of an estimate of this size is approximately 454,000. Alternately, using the parameters in table C-3 and formula (2) gives a more accurate estimate of the standard error of

$$482,000 \doteq \sqrt{(-0.000031)(33,700,000)^2 + (7946)(33,700,000)}$$

A 90-percent confidence interval as shown by the data is from 32,929,000 to 34,471,000. Therefore, a conclusion that in 1984 the average estimate of the number of persons below the poverty level, derived from all possible samples, lies within a range computed in this way would be correct for roughly 90 per-

cent of all possible samples. Similarly, we could conclude with 95-percent confidence that in 1984 the average estimate of the number of persons below the poverty level, derived from all possible samples, lies within the interval from 32,736,000 to 34,664,000 (using twice the standard error).

Table B of this report also shows that 33.8 percent of Black persons were below the poverty level in 1984. Table 2 of this report displays the total number of Black persons in 1984 as 28,087,000. Table C-2 shows the standard error of 33.8 percent on a base of 28,087,000 to be approximately 0.8 percent. (An "f" factor of 2.15 was applied here.)

Alternatively, this standard error could have been derived by using the "b" parameter for Blacks from table C-3 in formula (4).

$$0.8 = \sqrt{\frac{7946}{28,087,000}} (33.8) (66.2)$$

Consequently, a 90-percent confidence interval for the percentage of Black persons below the poverty level in 1984, as shown by these data, is from 32.5 to 35.1 percent, and a 95-percent confidence interval is from 32.2 to 35.4 percent.

Comparisons of estimates. Estimates are often compared by computing their difference or ratio. The two sections which follow, "Standard Error of a Difference" and "Standard Error of a Ratio" give general procedures for the computation of

Table C-4. Parameters for Estimated Numbers and Percentages of Persons, by Poverty Status, Age, Sex, Race, and Spanish Origin: 1979-84

		В	elow the pov	erty le	vel		_	"f"	
Characteristic	All ra and Wh		Black a other ra		Spanish	Spanish origin		ors	
	a	b	а	ь	а	b	1	2	
Male	-0.000064 -0.000060	7946 7946	-0.000577 -0.000508	7946 7946	-0.000130 -0.000123	11528 11528	2.15 2.15	2.59 2.59	
Under 14 years	-0.000052 -0.000019 -0.000077 -0.000098 -0.000134 -0.000070 -0.000139	6057 3017 3017 3017 3017 3017	-0.000052 -0.000178 -0.000542 -0.000939 -0.001253 -0.000765 -0.001683	6057 3017 3017 3017 3017 3017 3017	-0.000052 -0.000032 -0.000122 -0.000169 -0.000230 -0.000117 -0.000153	6057 4520 4520 4520 4520 4520 4520	1.88 1.32 1.32 1.32 1.32 1.32	1.88 1.62 1.62 1.62 1.62	

Use these factors for total or any race.

standard errors when making comparisons. This report also discusses several different estimates of poverty when noncash benefits are included as income. The standard errors needed for the comparison of such estimates are discussed in the section "Comparisons of Alternative Poverty Estimates for the Same Population."

Standard error of a difference. For a difference between two sample estimates, the standard error is approximately equal to

$$\sigma_{(x-y)} = \sqrt{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2 - 2\rho^\sigma x^\sigma y}$$
 (5)

where  $\sigma_{\rm X}$  and  $\sigma_{\rm Y}$  are the standard errors of the estimates x and y (from tables C-1 through C-4). The estimates can be numbers, percents, ratios, etc. The correlation coefficient  $\rho$  can be determined from table C-5 for year-to-year comparisons for poverty estimates and proportions (assuming the same yearly poverty definition); for other comparisons assume that  $\rho$  equals zero. Making this assumption will result in accurate estimates of standard errors for the difference between two estimates of the same characteristic in two different areas, or for the difference between separate and uncorrelated characteristics in the same area. If, however, there is a high positive (negative) correlation between the two characteristics, the assumption of zero correlation will overstate (understate) the true standard error.

Illustration of the computation of the standard error of a difference in estimates. Table B of this report shows that the number of persons below the poverty level in 1984 was 33,700,000, and in 1983 the figure was 35,515,000. The apparent difference is 1,815,000. The standard error on the 33,700,000 persons below-the poverty level, computed from formula (2), is 482,000, and similarly, the standard error on the 35,515,000 persons is 493,000. From table C-5 we obtain the correlation coefficient,  $\rho = 0.45$ . The standard error associated with the estimated difference of 1,815,000 is:

$$511,000 = \sqrt{(482,000)^2 + (493,000)^2 - 2(0.45)(482,000)(493,000)}$$

This means that the 90-percent confidence interval around the 1,815,000 difference is from 997,000 to 2,633,000, i.e., 1,815,000  $\pm$  818,000. A conclusion that the average estimate of the difference derived from all possible samples lies within a range computed in this way would be correct for roughly 90 percent of all possible samples. Thus, we can conclude with 90-percent confidence that the number of persons below the poverty level in 1984 is lower than the figure for 1983. Furthermore, the 95-percent confidence interval around the 1,815,000 difference is 1,815,000  $\pm$  1,022,000, i.e., from 793,000 to 2,837,000. Because this interval does not contain the value 0 (zero) we can conclude with 95-percent confidence that the number of persons below the poverty level in 1984 is lower than the figure for 1983.

Table C-5. Year-to-Year Correlation Coefficients for Poverty Estimates of Households and Persons: 1979-84

Characteristic	Households	Persons	
20 1 ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Total	0.35	0.45	
White	0.30	0.35	
Black and/or other races		0.45	
Spanish origin	0.55	0.65	

Note: For estimates two or more years apart assume the correlation to be zero.

Standard error of a ratio. Certain mean values for persons in households listed in the tables of this report were calculated as the ratio of two numbers. For example, the mean number of persons per household is calculated as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Use these factors for Spanish origin

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ Use these parameters for work experience and employment status data for persons.

Standard errors for these means may be approximated as shown below. There are two cases to consider. In either case, the denominator y represents a count of households of a certain class, and the numerator x represents a count of persons with the characteristic under consideration who are members of these households.

Case 1. There is at least one person having the characteristic in every household of the class; for example, the mean number of persons per household, or the mean number of persons per household with a male householder. For ratios of this kind, the standard error is approximated by the following formula.

$$\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{2}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{2} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{y}}{y}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{x}\right)^{2} - 2\rho\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{x}\right)\left(\frac{\sigma_{y}}{y}\right)\right]}$$
(6)

The standard error of the estimated number of households,  $\sigma_{\rm y}$ , and the standard error of the estimated number of persons with the characteristic in those households,  $\sigma_{\rm x}$ , may be obtained from formula (1) or (2). In formula (6),  $\rho$  represents the correlation coefficient between the numerator and the denominator of the estimate. In the above example, and for other ratios of this kind, use 0.7 as an estimate of  $\rho$ 

Case 2: The number of persons having the characteristic in a given household may be 0, 1, 2, 3, or more, for example, the mean number of persons under 18 years of age per household. For ratios of this kind the standard error is approximated by formula (6) but p is assumed to be zero. If  $\rho$  is actually positive (negative), then this procedure will provide an overestimate (underestimate) of the standard error of the ratio.

Comparisons of alternate poverty estimates for the same population. As discussed in this report, several estimates of poverty may be obtained for any given population by using different income concepts and valuation techniques in determining poverty status. The most meaningful comparisons between two measures of poverty are those in which either the income concept or the valuation technique is fixed, e.g., a comparison between a poverty estimate determined by income and the market value of food and housing benefits and a poverty estimate determined by income and the market value of food, housing, and medical benefits. All comparisons presented in this section make this assumption.

Standard errors for within-year differences between poverty estimates. In a given year the standard error for the difference of two poverty estimates (numbers or percentages) is given by the formula:

$$\sigma_{(x,y)} = \sigma_{d} \tag{7}$$

where d = |x-y|, the absolute difference between the two estimates x and y, and  $\sigma_d$  is computed by using formula (1) or (2) using d as the size of the estimate or by using formula (3) or (4) using d as the estimated percentage.

Standard errors for differences of yearly change between poverty estimates. In comparing year-to-year changes between two poverty estimates (e.g., change in poverty from 1983 to 1984 using cash income alone in determining poverty versus the change in poverty using income and food and housing benefits in determining poverty), the standard error of a difference of differences is needed.

If  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  ( $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ ) are the x(y) estimates in years 1 and 2 and d  $(x_1-x_2)-(y_1-y_2)$  then

$$\sigma_{d} = \sqrt{\sigma_{d_1}^2 + \sigma_{d_2}^2 \cdot 2\rho \sigma_{d_1}^2 \sigma_{d_2}}$$
 (8)

where for i=1 and 2,  $d_i = |x_i - y_i|$  is the absolute difference for the estimates in year i. The variance of  $d_i$ ,  $\sigma_{d_i}^2$  is obtained using formula (7) and  $\pi$  is obtained from table C-5.

Standard error of the ratio of an alternative poverty estimate to the official poverty estimate. When computing the ratio of the number of persons in poverty using an alternative poverty definition divided by the number of persons in poverty using the official poverty definition (only income included) the standard error of the ratio can be approximated by the formula:

$$o_{(x/y)} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^2 \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_x}{x}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\sigma_y}{y}\right)^2\right]}$$
(9)

where  $\sigma_{\rm X}$  and  $\sigma_{\rm Y}$  are the estimates of the standard errors of the estimates x and y as determined by formula (1) or (2).

Illustration of the computation of a standard error when comparing alternate definitions of poverty. Table C of this report shows that the number of people below the poverty level as determined by two definitions of poverty are as listed in the following table:

Method	1983	1984	Yearly Decrease
1. Official Definition	35,515,000	33,700,000	1,815,000
Market Valuation     including food/housing	32,123,000	30,103,000	2,020,000

The data show in the period from 1983 to 1984 that the apparent difference in the increase in poverty between the two methods is 205,000.

Using formula (8) we have

$$d_{1} \doteq 3,392,000,^{1} \sigma_{d1} \doteq 163,000,^{2}$$

$$d_2 \doteq 3,597,000, \sigma_{d2} \doteq 168,000,$$

and  $\rho$  = 0.45 so that the standard error associated with 205,000 is

 $174,000 \pm \sqrt{(163,000)^2 + (168,000)^2 - 2(0.45)(163,000)(168,000)}$ 

A 90-percent confidence interval around 205,000 is from -73,000 to 483,000. Thus, since this interval contains the value 0 (zero), we cannot conclude with 90-percent confidence that between 1983 and 1984 more people have been dropped from poverty status by using method 2 than by using method 1. These data show no evidence of difference between the two numbers.

Standard errors of estimated means. Standard errors for estimated means shown in this report can be obtained by calling Population Division of the Census Bureau.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>d_{1} = 35,515,000 - 32,123,000$ 

 $<sup>^{2}\</sup>sigma_{d1} = \sqrt{(-0.000031)(3,392,000)^{2} + 7946(3,392,000)}$ 

## Appendix D. Program Descriptions and Data Collection

Appendix D contains brief descriptions of each public in-kind transfer program covered in the March CPS, a description of the questions used to collect the data, and an evaluation of the data quality. The description of each program begins with a statement of program objectives and is followed by general comments regarding program characteristics, eligibility, and so forth. Next is a review of the survey questions and the limitations associated with the question wording and design.

#### **FOOD STAMPS**

The Food Stamp Act of 1977 defines this Federally funded program as one intended to "permit low-income households to obtain a more nutritious diet." (From title XIII of P.L. 95-113, The Food Stamp Act of 1977, declaration of policy.) Food purchasing power is increased by providing eligible households with coupons which can be used to purchase food. The Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) administers the Food Stamp program through State and local welfare offices. The Food Stamp program is the major national income support program for which all low-income and low-resource households, regardless of household characteristics, are eligible.

The Food Stamp Act was amended by the 1981 Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act which changed the criteria used to determine food stamp eligibility (P.L. 97-35, title I, subtitle A). As of October 1, 1981, households without an elderly or disabled member must have gross monthly income below 130 percent of the Federal poverty level. Previously, eligibility was based on "countable" income (gross income less specified deductions for shelter, medical expenses, child care, etc.) so, e.g., a household with a gross income of twice the poverty guideline and substantial specified deductions could have been eligible for food stamps. The asset (resources) limit was \$1,750 per household until July 1980, when it was changed to \$1,500. For households of two or more persons with at least one member 60 or over, the asset limit was \$3,000. This \$3,000 limit has not changed since 1979. The questions on participation in the Food Stamp program in the March CPS were designed to identify households in which one or more of the current members received food stamps during the calendar year. Once a food stamp household was identified, a question was asked to determine the number of current household members covered by food stamps during the year. Questions were also asked about the number of months food stamps were received and the total face value of all food stamps received during that period.

#### **SCHOOL LUNCHES**

The National School Lunch program is designed "to help safeguard the health and well-being of the Nation's children by assisting the States in providing an adequate supply of foods" (P.L. 79-396, the National School Lunch Act of 1946) for all children at moderate cost. Additional assistance is provided for children determined by local school officials to be unable to pay the "full established" price for lunches. Like the Food Stamp program, the National School Lunch program is administered by the Food and Nutrition Service of the U.S. Department of Agriculture through State educational agencies or through regional USDA nutrition services for some nonprofit private schools.

All students eating lunches prepared at participating schools pay less than the total cost of the lunches. Some students pay the "full established" price for lunch (which itself is subsidized), while others pay a "reduced" price for lunch, and still others receive a "free" lunch. Until January 1981, children were eligible for free school lunches if their household's income was below 125 percent of the poverty guidelines or reduced-price lunches if their household's income was between 125 and 195 percent of the poverty guidelines. The term "income" basically followed the Census Bureau definition but excluded certain Federal benefits and specified "hardship" expenses. Effective January 1, 1981, the hardship exclusion was replaced by a standard deduction. (Ref. Federal Register, Vol. 46, No. 11, January 16, 1981.) Beginning August 13, 1981, the income definition was amended to a gross income concept with the standard deduction being eliminated. At the same time, the income eligibility criteria were changed to 130 percent for free lunches and to 185 percent for reduced-price lunches. (Ref. Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981, P.L. 97-35, title VIII.)

The questions on the March CPS provide a limited amount of data for the School Lunch program. Questions concerning the program were designed to identify the number of household members 5 to 18 years old who "usually" ate hot lunches during the year. This defined the universe of household members receiving this noncash benefit. This approach was necessary because the majority of children benefit indirectly; i.e., they pay full-established price but are not aware that these lunches are subsidized. A second question identified the number of members receiving free or reduced-price lunches.

#### PUBLIC OR OTHER SUBSIDIZED HOUSING

There are numerous programs designed to "remedy the unsafe and unsanitary housing conditions and the acute shortage of decent, safe, and sanitary dwellings for low-income families" (U.S. Housing Act of 1937, declaration of policy). Several Federal, State, and local agencies administer these programs. Some are funded by USDA (for rural families) or State-local agencies, but most are administered by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Among the most important HUD rental housing programs are Low Rent Public Housing and Sections 8, 236, and 101 (rent supplements) of various U.S. Housing Acts.

Low Rent Public Housing projects are owned, managed, and administered by a local housing authority. Partial financing may be provided by the State or HUD. Participation in public housing is determined by two factors: program eligibility and the availability of housing. Income standards for initial and continuing occupancy vary by local housing authority, although the limits are constrained by Federal guidelines. Rental charges, which, in turn, define net benefits, are set by a Federal statute not to exceed 30 percent of adjusted monthly money income. A recipient household can be a family or two or more related persons or an individual who is handicapped, elderly, or displaced by urban renewal or natural disaster. Other HUD programs provide similar types of housing assistance to low-income families and individuals.

Two of the more common types of programs in which Federal, State, and local funds are used to subsidize private sector rental housing are rent supplement and interest reduction plans. Under a rent supplement plan (e.g., Sections 8 and 101), the difference between the "fair market" rent and the rent charged to the tenant is paid to the owner by a government agency. Under an interest reduction program (e.g., Section 236), the amount of interest paid on the mortgage by the owner is reduced so that subsequent savings can be passed along to low-income tenants in the form of lower rent changes.

There were two questions dealing with public and low-cost rental housing on the March CPS supplement questionnaire. The first question identified residence in a housing unit owned by a public agency. The second question identified beneficiaries who were not living in public housing projects but who were paying lower rent because of a government subsidy.

#### **MEDICAID**

The Medicaid program is designed to furnish medical assistance for needy families with dependent children and for aged, blind, or disabled individuals whose incomes and resources are insufficient to meet the costs of necessary medical services.¹ The program is administered by State agencies through grants from the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) of the Department of Health and Human Services.

Medicaid is, for the most part, a categorical program with complex eligibility rules which vary from State to State. There are two basic groups of eligible individuals: the categorically eligible and the medically needy. The major categorically eligible groups are all Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) recipients and most Supplemental Security Income (SSI) recipients.2 Other categorically eligible groups are (1) those who meet basic State cash assistance eligibility rules (the aged, blind, or disabled; needy single parents with children; and, in some States, needy unemployed parents with children who are not currently receiving money payments) and (2) needy persons meeting categorical eligibility standards who are institutionalized for medical reasons (e.g., low-income elderly persons in nursing homes). Institutionalized persons are not included in the CPS universe and, therefore, are not reflected in the CPS recipiency statistics.

In 30 States, Medicaid coverage is also extended to the medically needy: persons meeting categorical age, sex, or disability criteria and having money incomes and assets which exceed eligibility levels for cash assistance but are not sufficient to meet the cost of medical care. Families with large medical expenses relative to their incomes and assets may also meet medically needy eligibility standards by "spending down" (i.e., having high enough medical expenses) to obtain eligibility.

The Medicaid question on the March CPS attempted to identify all persons 15 years old and over who were covered by Medicaid at any time during the year. The term "covered" means enrolled in the Medicaid program, i.e., had a Medicaid medical assistance card or incurred medical bills which were paid for by Medicaid. In order to be counted, the person did not necessarily have to receive medical care paid for by Medicaid.

After data collection and creation of an initial microdata file, further refinements were made to assign Medicaid coverage to children. In this procedure, all children under 21 years old in families were assumed to be covered by Medicaid if either the householder or spouse reported being covered by Medicaid.<sup>3</sup> AFDC recipients in all States and SSI recipients living in the 36 States which legally require Medicaid coverage of all SSI recipients were also assigned coverage. The data shown in this report exclude children covered by Medicaid in households where no adult member was covered. Because there are no administrative data which separately identify these recipients, the extent of the bias is unknown.

#### MEDICARE

The Medicare program consists of two separate but complementary health plans to provide adequate medical care for the aged and disabled. The Hospital Insurance Plan (Part A) is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Taken from title XIX of the 1965 Amendments to P.L. 89-97, *The Social Security Act*, "Grants to States for Medical Assistance Programs," declaration of policy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In 1981, Public Law 97-35 made several changes in AFDC eligibility determinations under the Medicaid program. Changes in treatment of earnings and other₌income.and.resources have resulted in some persons being dropped not only from the AFDC rolls but also off of automatic Medicaid coverage. Some of these individuals may be able to regain coverage if their State offers medically needy protection; however, the range of available benefits may be less.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This procedure was required mainly because the Medicaid coverage question was asked only for persons 15 years old and over.

designed to provide basic protection against the costs of hospital and related post-hospital services. In addition to the elderly, this plan also covers virtually all persons under 65 years old who receive Social Security or Railroad Retirement benefits based on long-term disability. Part A is financed jointly by employers and employees through Social Security payroll deductions. Qualified persons 65 years old and over who are not otherwise eligible for Part A benefits may pay premiums directly to obtain this coverage. The Supplemental Medical Insurance Plan (Part B) is a voluntary plan which builds upon the hospital insurance protection provided by the basic plan and is available to all Medicare Part A beneficiaries. It provides insurance protection covering

physicians' and surgeons' services and a variety of medical and other health services received either in hospitals or on an ambulatory basis. It is financed through monthly preminum payments (about \$8.50 per month in 1979 and \$15.05 in 1984) by each enrollee and further subsidized by Federal general revenue funds.

The Medicare question on the March CPS attempted to identify all persons 15 years old and over who were covered by Medicare at any time during the year. The term "covered" means enrolled in the Medicare program. In order to be counted, the persons did not necessarily have to receive medical care paid for by Medicare.

### **Appendix E. Definitions and Explanations**

Population coverage. This report includes the civilian noninstitutional population of the United States (the 50 States and the District of Columbia) and members of the Armed Forces living off post or with their families on post but excludes all other members of the Armed Forces.

Current poverty definition. Families and unrelated individuals are classified as being above or below the poverty level using the poverty index originated at the Social Security Administration in 1964 and revised by Federal Interagency Committees in 1969 and 1980. The poverty index is based solely on money income and does not reflect the fact that many low-income persons receive noncash benefits such as food stamps, Medicaid, and public housing. The index is based on the Department of Agriculture's 1961 Economy Food Plan and reflects the different consumption requirements of families based on their size and composition. It was determined from the Department of Agriculture's 1955 Survey of Food Consumption that families of three or more persons spend approximately one-third of their income on food; the poverty level for these families was, therefore, set at three times the cost of the economy food plan. For smaller families and persons living alone, the cost of the economy food plan was multiplied by factors that were slightly higher in order to compensate for the relatively larger fixed expenses of these smaller households. The poverty thresholds are updated every year to reflect changes in the CPI. The average weighted poverty thresholds for 1979 to 1984 are shown in table E-1. The average annual CPI for 1947 through 1984 is shown in table E-2.

The poverty definition was modified slightly in 1981 based on recommendations made by the Federal Interagency Committee. These revisions (1) eliminated distinctions made between families with a female householder, no husband present, and all other families; (2) eliminated the distinctive poverty levels used for nonfarm and farm residence categories; and (3) expanded the matrix of poverty levels to include eight-person families, and nine-or-more person families that previously had been limited to seven persons or more.

An evaluation of the effect of this change showed that in 1980 the estimated poverty rate was 13.2 percent based on the revised definition compared to 13.0 percent using the definition prior to revision.

Money income. Total money income is the sum of the amounts received from wages and salaries, self-employment income (including losses), Social Security, Supplemental Security Income, public assistance, interest, dividends, rent, royalties, estates or trusts, veterans' payments, unemployment and workers' compensation, private and government retirement and disability pensions, alimony, child support, and any other source of money

Table E-1. Weighted Average Poverty Thresholds: 1979-84

Size of family unit	1984	1983	1982	1981	1980	1979
One person (unrelated individual)	\$ 5,278	\$ 5,061	\$ 4,901	\$ 4,620	\$ 4,184	\$ 3,683
15 to 64 years	5,400	5,180	5,019	4,729	4,286	3,773
65 years and over	4,979	4,775	4,626	4,359	3,941	3,472
Two persons	6,762	6,483	6,281	5,917	5,338	4,702
Householder 15 to 64 years	6,983	6,697	6,487	6,111	5,518	4,858
Householder 65 years and over	6,282	6,023	5,836	5,498	4,954	4,364
Three persons	8,277	7,938	7,693	7,250	6,539	5,763
Four persons	10,609	10,178	9,862	9,287	8.385	7,386
Five persons	12,566	12,049	11.684	11,007	9,923	8,736
Six persons	14,207	13,630	13, 207	12,449	11,215	9.849
Seven persons (or more) <sup>1</sup>	16,096	15,500	15,036	14,110	13,883	12,212
Eight persons	17,961	17,170	16,719	15,655	(X)	(X)
Nine persons or more	21,247	20,310	19,698	18,572	(x)	(x)

X Not applicable.

<sup>11979</sup> and 1980.

Table E-2. Annual Average Consumer Price Index (CPI): 1947-84

(1977 = 100)

Year	CPI	Year	CPI	Year	CPI	Year	CPI
1947	39.7 39.3 39.7 42.9 44.6 44.1 44.4	1957	47.7 48.1 48.9 49.4 49.9 50.2 51.2 52.1	1967	57.4 60.5 64.1 66.8 69.0 73.3 81.4 88.8	1977	164.4

Source: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

income which was regularly received. Capital gains (or losses) and lump sum or one-time payments such as life insurance settlements are excluded.

Underreporting. As in most household surveys, estimates from the March CPS of the number of money income recipients and the total amount of money income received are somewhat less than comparable estimates derived from independent sources, such as the Bureau of Economic Analysis, Social Security Administration, and Veterans Administration. The difference between the survey estimate and the independent estimate is generally termed "underreporting." Underreporting tends to be more pronounced for income sources such as public assistance and welfare, unemployment compensation, and property income (interest, dividends, and net rental income). Estimates of income from wages and salaries tend to have less underreporting than most income types. For further details concerning the reporting of cash income and noncash benefits, see appendix F.

Family. The term "family" refers to a group of two or more persons related by blood, marriage, or adoption and residing

together; all such persons are considered members of the same family. Thus, if the son of the householder and the son's wife are in the household, they are treated as part of the householder's family. However, a lodger and his wife not related to the householder or an unrelated servant and his wife are considered as additional families, not a part of the householder's family. These unrelated subfamilies are not included in the count of total families.

Unrelated individuals. The term "unrelated individuals" refers to persons 15 years old and over (other than inmates of institutions) who are not living with any relatives. An unrelated individual may (1) constitute a one-person household, (2) be part of a household including one or more families or other unrelated individuals, or (3) reside in group quarters (such as a rooming house). Thus, a widow living by herself or with one or more other persons not related to her, a lodger not related to the householder or to anyone else in the household, and a servant living in an employer's household with no relatives are examples of unrelated individuals.

# **Appendix F. Underreporting of Cash Income** and Noncash Benefits

This appendix discusses some important aspects of underreporting and its measurement and presents some estimates of underreporting for the year 1982. The general survey phenomenon that is commonly termed underreporting actually refers to the tendency of household surveys to underestimate the number of income or noncash benefit recipients and/or the amount of income or benefits received. There are three main causes for underreporting. These are failure to report receipt of the income type, underreporting of the amount received, and misclassification of the income type received.

Accurately measuring the extent of underreporting of cash income and noncash benefits is difficult for many of the income types and noncash benefit programs. There are two main components of measuring underreporting: the number of income or noncash benefit recipients and the total amount of income or benefits received. Measuring the survey undercount of recipients for the March CPS is extremely difficult because independent estimates (benchmarks or controls) for the CPS noninstitutional, "ever-received during the year" recipient concept are difficult to validate. In addition, some of the administrative sources required for the derivation of independent estimates have significant errors themselves.

The derivation of accurate underreporting estimates for amounts of income or noncash benefits is easier but still not without similar problems. In general, better administrative data are available on the annual amount of benefits received, or income earned, than recipients. Some of the more important problems associated with development of the independent controls for amounts are adjusting independent estimates to the CPS noninstitutional population, significant differences between alternate sources of independent estimates, especially for self-employment income, interest, dividends, and rents, and periodic revisions to the sources of independent estimates that delay availability of data and significantly alter estimates of underreporting.

Shown in table F-1 are estimates of underreporting for amounts of cash income for 1982.

Estimates of the extent of underreporting for most noncash benefits are less well defined. Following are discussions of the underreporting for each benefit type.

Food stamps. The March CPS estimate for the face value of food stamps received in 1982 was about \$7.1 billion, 72 percent of the independent estimate derived for that year. The 21.2 million recipient (persons covered) estimate for 1982 compares to a 27.3 million independent estimate of recipients. Since this in-

dependent estimate was developed using USDA monthly person recipiency counts and average months of participation as reported in the CPS, it is difficult to validate its accuracy.

School lunches. The March CPS data for 1982 show 11.2 million children usually eating free or reduced-price school lunches and 16.5 million usually eating full-price school lunches. Since independent estimates on the "ever-participated" universe are not available for this group, comparisons of the CPS estimates with peak monthly average daily participation have been made. These peak figures were 11.1 million for free or reduced-price lunches and 11.3 million for full-price lunches. The CPS estimate is 100 percent of the peak monthly average for free or reduced-price. The CPS estimate of full-price lunch participants was 146 percent of the peak monthly figure. The obvious conceptual differences between the CPS and USDA figures make these comparisons difficult to interpret.

Public or other subsidized housing. In 1982 the March CPS estimate for the number of households residing in public or other subsidized rental housing was 3.2 million. An independent estimate was derived by summing housing units in (1) low-income public housing, (2) Section 8 (including Section 202), (3) rent supplements (Section 101), and (4) Section 236. This summing yielded a figure of 3.3 million. While the CPS estimate was about 95 percent of the independent figure, it is likely that the survey estimate contains some units, such as student or military housing, that were not intended to be counted. The magnitude of this problem is probably small, but unknown.

Medicare. The independent estimate for persons covered by Medicare is probably the most reliable of all independent estimates. In 1982, this figure was 27.3 million persons. This compares to a survey estimate of 27.5 million, 101 percent of the control. The survey count probably contains some persons covered by Medicaid that report coverage under Medicare by mistake. The magnitude of this misreporting problem is not known.

Medicaid. While the previous technical paper contained figures labeled independent estimates, the derivation of these estimates used a combination of administrative counts for persons "ever receiving" benefits and the March CPS "ever covered" figures. Because the derivation of the independent estimates should not be based, even partially, on the survey data, this comparison has not been made here. The CPS estimate of 18.9 million for

1982 was about 93 percent of the "unduplicated" administative figure of 20.4 million persons "ever receiving" benefits available from the HCFA. This HCFA figure has not been adjusted for

decedents or the institutionalized population and does not include persons who were covered by Medicaid but did not receive benefits in 1982.

Table F-1. Comparisons of CPS Aggregate Money Income in 1982 With Independently Derived Estimates, by Income Type

(Billions of dollars)

Source of income	Independent estimate	CPS estimate	CPS as a percent of independent
Total	2,273.5	2,029.1	89.2
Wages and salaries	1,542.3	1,516.9	98.4
Self-employment	99.6	115.6	116.1
Social Security 1	145.2	135.1	93.1
Supplemental Security Income	8.5	6.6	77.6
Aid to Families With Dependent Children <sup>2</sup>	12.9	9.8	76.4
Interest, dividends, and rental income	314.1	139.0	44.3
Veterans payments	13.8	8.7	63.0
Unemployment compensation	25.4	20.0	78.5
Worker's compensation	13.4	5.9	44.2
Private, government, and military pensions	98.4	71.4	72.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Includes Railroad Retirement Benefits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Includes general assistance.